



Designing A New Graduate Course on Artificial Intelligence for Cybersecurity

Ping Wang, PhD, CISSP
University Professor
Robert Morris University
Pittsburgh, PA, USA
wangp@rmu.edu





Presenter Brief Bio

- **Education/Degrees**

- PhD in Information Systems
- MCIS (Master of Computer & Information Science)
- BA & MA in Linguistics

- **Academic Positions**

- Current:
University Professor, Computer Information Systems & Cybersecurity
PoC, National Center of Academic Excellence in Cyber Defense (NCAE-CD)
Robert Morris University
PI, NSF and DoD grant projects in Cybersecurity
- Previous:
Professor & Program Director, MS Cybersecurity
University of Maryland University College

- **Recent Research Topics**

- Cybersecurity capstone project design. Springer, 2025.
- AI-assisted pentesting using ChatGPT. Springer, 2024.
- Privacy challenges and risks in AI-enabled healthcare app. IEEE Computer Society, 2023.

Overview

- **Focus**
Integrating AI in Cybersecurity for a new graduate course design
- **Significance**
 - Fast-growing role/benefits of AI: automation, efficiency, interactivity
 - Gen AI impacts (positive) on Cybersecurity:
 - Network traffic analysis
 - Threat detection/analysis
 - Risk assessment and mitigation
 - Gen AI risks for Cybersecurity:
 - Malicious use
 - Hallucinations
 - Continued demand for cybersecurity professionals
 - Cyber workforce shortage and demand
 - Skills gap in using AI for Cyber
- **Goal**
Explore the benefits and risks/limitations of AI for cybersecurity in a graduate/master's level cybersecurity course design for cyber workforce development

Research Background (1)

- ❑ AI/LLM benefits to Cybersecurity
 - Early detection of and response to cyber threats
 - Improved efficiency/accuracy in vulnerability analysis and risk assessment
 - Automated incident response and preventive and secure software development
 - Improved accuracy in security mitigation and countermeasures
 - Efficient knowledge discovery and training of cybersecurity professionals
- ❑ Security benefits of AI and LLM applications and models will help prepare/develop qualified cyber workforce in the age of AI
- ❑ NCAE-CD new PoS in CyberAI (AI for Cyber & Secure AI)

Research Background (2)

- ❑ Double-edged sword: Risks/limitations of AI for cybersecurity
 - Malicious misuse for more powerful and automated cyber attacks
 - Potential disclosures of private/sensitive/copyrighted information
 - Hallucinations with misleading misinformation
- ❑ Students/future cyber pros should be aware of the AI risks and limitations to address them
- ❑ Major limitation for further research:
Unknown gap between AI and human intelligence/creativity in defense decision making?

Research Background (3)

- ❑ Effective curriculum and course design should consider cognitive development process and levels of learning objectives
- ❑ Bloom's Taxonomy levels of progressive learning objectives
 - Recall information, facts, terms, and basic concepts
 - Describe and interpret facts and ideas to demonstrate comprehension
 - Apply knowledge and techniques learned to solve problems in new situations
 - Analyze information to identify causes, motives, and relationships
 - Evaluate information or ideas based on certain criteria to make judgements
 - Develop and propose new or alternative solutions
- ❑ Graduate/master's level course design should emphasize and reflect more of the higher levels of learning objectives

Course Proposal: Learning Outcomes

- ❑ Proposed learning outcomes
 - Identify and describe AI-powered cyber threats and attacks
 - Evaluate AI-powered cyber threats and attacks and security implications and solutions
 - Identify and describe positive impacts of AI in cybersecurity
 - Identify and apply AI-driven solutions, techniques, and tools for cybersecurity
 - Evaluate secure development practices for protecting applications in the age of AI
 - Assess and evaluate AI-powered cybersecurity risks and solutions
- ❑ Course emphasis on more advanced level learning objectives of analysis, evaluation, and solution development in Bloom's taxonomy.

Course Proposal: Learning Activities

- ❑ Discussions
 - Gen AI implications for cybersecurity
 - Impacts of AI on cybersecurity (positive & negative)
 - AI technologies and solutions for cybersecurity (pros and cons)
- ❑ Case Studies of AI in Cybersecurity
 - Sutherland Global Services
Using automation & AI in IBM's QRadar Suite to enable faster, more targeted, and more effective responses to threats
 - Credico USA
Using IBM Security® MaaS360® with Watson®, a cloud-based, AI-infused unified mobile device management (MDM) solution for security & tablet policy compliance
- ❑ Comprehensive Project
 - Project Plan (to be approved by instructor)
 - Project Presentation (progress report)
 - Project Final Report



Project Rubric for Assessment

| | Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Below Expectations |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| AI-related Security Risks with Documented Case Examples (Weight: 60%) | 55-60 Points Excellent identification and description of AI-related security threats, vulnerabilities, and risks supported by case examples and data | 48-54 Points Good identification and description of AI-related security threats, vulnerabilities, and risks supported by case examples and data | 42-48 Points Adequate identification and description of AI-related security threats, vulnerabilities, and risks supported by case examples and data | Below 42 Points Inadequate identification and description of AI-related security threats, vulnerabilities, and risks supported by case examples and data |
| Solutions and AI Technologies/Products (Weight: 30%) | 27-30 Points Excellent description and discussion of the security solutions and AI technologies/products | 24-26 Points Good description and discussion of the security solutions and and AI technologies/products | 21-24 Points Adequate description and discussion of the security solutions and AI technologies/products | Below 21 Points Inadequate description and discussion of the security solutions and AI technologies/products |
| Writing & Formatting (Weight: 10%) | 10 Points Excellent writing and formatting with no errors | 8-9 Points Good writing and formatting with few minor errors | 7 Points Acceptable writing and formatting with a few errors | Below 7 Points Poor writing or formatting with frequent errors |



Discussions & Conclusions



- Recap of AI significance to Cybersecurity
- Recap of AI Limitations in Cybersecurity
- Need for integration of AI in Cybersecurity curriculum
- Work in progress of this research
 - Course design & development
 - Preliminary implementation
- Further research
 - More in-depth course(s) on AI for Security & Secure AI
 - More implementation data
 - Gap between AI and human intelligence/creativity in security decisions/strategies
- Questions/suggestions
- Thank you!