# Design and Implementation of

# Access Control Method Based on Correlation Among Files

<u>\*Yuki Kodaka(y\_kodaka@nii.ac.jp)</u>, \*\*Hirokazu Hasegawa, \*\*Hiroki Takakura

\*The Graduate University for Advanced Studies (SOKENDAI), Japan

\*\*Center for Strategic Cyber Resilience R&D, National Institute of Informatics, Japan

KE<sup>ND</sup>





- Name : Yuki Kodaka
- Affiliation : The graduate university for advanced studies(SOKENDAI), Japan
- Position : Student
- Contact information

Email address : <u>y\_kodaka@nii.ac.jp</u>

• Research interest :

Access Pattern Analysis, Inter-file Correlation, Access Control Optimization



## **File Access Control**

- File data = important asset in organization
  - Perspectives of Confidentiality and Business continuity
- Approaches to protect : Encryption · Backup · <u>Access control</u>
- Conventional : Coarse-grained access control due to management cost
- -> Fine-grained access control is needed as situation changes



Criteria example for access control decisions Role : Rank, Affiliation

Attribute : Time, Location

SOKENDAI

- 1. Allocation of minimum necessary file access privileges
  - Balance between over and under-access privileges
  - Manual operation = Unrealistic
- 2. Flexibility to adapt to variable file access demand
  - Demand for file access = Variable as situation change
- 3. Prevention of confidential information leakage through people Ex. Supervisor writes information in a file read by subordinates -> Leakage



## Access Control Method Based on Correlation among Files

## Key idea : Criteria for access control decisions = Correlation

- Infer correlation among files from access behavior by users in the same group
- Control file access privileges based on correlation
- Control "Read" and "Write" privileges based on user rank

cf. Bell-LaPadula model (No read up, No write down)



Create Correlation graph among files inferred from user access behavior

File access order

FileA-FileB-FileC

FileD-FileE-FileA

Determine whether a file is accessible or not

① Access history

User A

Timestamp,Filepath 2023-05-10T09:00:00,FileA 2023-05-10T09:15:00,FileB 2023-05-10T09:30:00,FileC

User B

. . .

. . .

Timestamp,Filepath 2023-05-10T11:00:00,FileD 2023-05-10T11:15:00,FileE 2023-05-10T11:30:00,FileA ③ Correlation graph among files

DĿ

Node : File Link : Correlation

<u>B</u> |

SOKE<sup>ND</sup>

#### Method

- Element of adjacency matrix exceed threshold
  - -> two files are correlated
- Determination formula
- (e.g., threshold = 0.8)

 $Matrix(File_{old}, File_{new}) \ge 0.8$ 

Correlation between files=*Matrix*(*Fileold*,*Filenew*) *Fileold*= File already accessed by User u *Filenew*= File newly accessed by User u

### Example of list of accessed file

User 1 : A, D, E User 2 : C, E

### Example of determination



SOKENDAI

Revocation of access privileges

If no access is recorded for a certain period

-> Determine there is no need for access

User A



No access to file "f" for a certain period



SOKE<sup>ND</sup>

- Addition of access privileges
- If user accesses unauthorized file
- -> Automatic determination of privileges based on file correlation
- If automatic determination : Denial
  - ->manual determination by file owner





SOKEND

Solution to Challenge( 3.Prevention of confidential information leakage through people)<sup>s</sup> o K E <sup>N D</sup>



#### Architecture of System



SOKENDAI



- Brief Implementation
  - Hardware : Intel® NUC 8 Pro Kit (NUC8v7PNH)
  - OS : Windows 11 pro edition
  - User : 6 general users and 3 users for proposed system
  - File : 10 files
- Verification of determination time by proposed system
  - Measure response time

from when users accesses one unauthorized file

to when determination results are notified to user







### Response time (seconds)

Number of Users	1 <sup>st</sup> trial	2 <sup>nd</sup> trial	3 <sup>rd</sup> trial	4 <sup>th</sup> trial	5 <sup>th</sup> trial	5-trial Average	Average per User
1 (A)	6.85	6.22	6.62	5.96	6.69	6.47	6.47
2 (A/B)	7.96	7.92	6.51	6.71	7.82	7.38	3.69
3 (A/B/C)	7.91	9.56	7.34	9.49	8.58	8.58	2.86
4 (A/B/C/D)	8.61	8.26	8.35	8.79	8.16	8.43	2.11
5 (A/B/C/D/E)	8.79	8.95	9.56	9.10	9.46	9.17	1.83
6 (A/B/C/D/E/F)	11.20	12.77	9.91	12.53	11.84	11.65	1.94



- Average response time per user decrease as number of users increase
- -> Efficiency of system improves after second case
- <-> First determination = Bottleneck of efficiency

Limitation

Implementation Environment : Simplified Verification experiment : Not high load

-> Although implementation of designed system was possible
Need to verify system scalability in more practical environment 15



- Propose Access Control Method based Correlation among Files
- In brief environment,

Verify determination time by proposed system

• Results show

First determination is bottleneck of efficiency of system

-> Future work should address above issues

and verify system scalability in more practical environment