



# **Distinct Characteristics** between "Anshin" and Feeling of Safety Evaluations

# <u>Shota Matsubayashi</u><sup>1</sup>, Kazuhisa Miwa<sup>1</sup>, Hitoshi Terai<sup>2</sup>, & Yuki Ninomiya<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nagoya University, Japan, <sup>2</sup>Kindai University, Japan

matsubayashi.shota.v0@f.mail.nagoya-u.ac.jp







# Shota Matsubayashi

- Ph.D. in Information and Science
- Affiliation



- Global Research Institute for Mobility in Society (GREMO), Institutes of Innovation for Future Society (InFuS), Nagoya University, Japan
- Recent Work
  - Self-Benefit and Others' Benefit in Cooperative Behavior in Shared Space (in press), Human Factors: The Journal of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society.
  - Development of a Driving Model That Understands Other Drivers' Characteristics (2020). *HCII 2020,* 2(1918), 29–39.
  - Model-based Approach with ACT-R about Benefits of Memory-based Strategy on Anomalous Behaviors (2019), Proceedings of the 41th Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 776–781.





- In traffic studies, subjective evaluations have become important
  - Useful for developing cooperative autonomous vehicles [Hase]
    - in merging





• in shared space









- In traffic studies, subjective evaluations have become important
  - Examples:
    - Risk perception

"How much risk do you perceive in this situation?"

Comfort/discomfort

"How comfortable/discomfortable is this vehicle?"

• Fear

"How much fear do you experience about the vehicle as a pedestrian?"









- Subjective evaluation does not always match objective safety
  - Model fitting is not high enough to predict perceived risk precisely [Hasegawa]
    - Perceived risk exhibits a large variation among participants
  - Predicting perceived risk requires considering more complex independent variables [Petit]
    - Passengers perceive risk even when the vehicle maintains an objectively safe speed and gap









- In Japanese, "安心 (anshin)" is a well-known concept to express a subjective feeling
  - Dictionary definition:
    - peace of mind
    - freedom from care/fear
  - Usage Example:
    - 安心な社会 (peaceful society)
    - 安心な暮らし (comfortable living)
  - <u>Nature:</u>
    - Difficult to be translated into English precisely
      [Mukaidono]
    - Used differently from "安全 (safety)" or "安全感 (feeling of safety)" [Kikkawa]
      - "Anshin" is totally based on psychological factors
      - Safety can be ensured with technology





- What is the difference between "Anshin" and feeling of safety evaluations?
  - As for the criticality of feature,
    - Feeling of safety evaluation will be lower than "anshin" evaluation for a high-criticality feature



### As for the information about malfunction,

• "Anshin" evaluations will change significantly after the information about the unstable performance







- 4-factor design
  - 1. Evaluation ("Anshin"/Feeling of safety; between factor)
  - 2. Malfunction (MHigh/MMid/Mlow; between factor)

Malfunction	Malfunction	Malfunction
2%	0.02%	0.0002%

3. Criticality (CHigh/CMid/CLow; within factor)



- 4. Phase (Pre-evaluation/Post-evaluation; within factor)
  - Evaluation before/after the malfunction information is provided











#### "Anshin" condition CHigh condition Presentation of In recent years, the automatic driving an automobile feature feature has become popular. This feature allows a vehicle to sense its surroundings and **automatically drive** to the destination. Pre-evaluation of Although this feature is effective in "anshin" reducing drivers' efforts, malfunctions can still occur. Presentation of CMid condition malfunction rates $\sim$ , the automatic parking $\sim$ Post-evaluation of CLow condition "anshin" $\sim$ , the automatic wiper $\sim$

April 25, 2023





#### "Anshin" condition

### "Anshin" condition







1.9%

2.5%

#### "Anshin" condition



## MHigh condition

Company A

Report of	large invest	tigation s	hows the
following	malfunction	rates of	this
feature.			
	Region X	Region Y	Region Z

1.4%

1.7%

2.3%

# Company B 2.1%

		Region X	Region Y	Region Z
	Company A	0.014%	0.017%	0.019%
	Company B	0.021%	0.023%	0.025%

#### MLow condition

	Region X	Region Y	Region Z
Company A	0.00014%	0.00017%	0.00019%
Company B	0.00021%	0.00023%	0.00025%





## "Anshin" condition "Anshin" condition Presentation of Consider the malfunction rates. How do you feel about its "anshin"? an automobile feature Feeling of safety condition Pre-evaluation of Consider the malfunction rates. "anshin" How do you feel about its **safety**? Presentation of malfunction rates Post-evaluation of "anshin"





- ANOVA results show that:
  - "Anshin" < Feeling of safety
  - There was no difference with respect to the criticality







- Common Characteristics
  - Both evaluations decreased with high malfunction rates
  - Both evaluations increased with moderate or low malfunction rates







- Dinstinct Characteristics
  - With moderate or low malfunction rates,
    - the "anshin" evaluations increased uniformly
    - the feeling of safety evaluations did not increase for the low criticality features (i.e., automatic wipers)







- The difference between "Anshin" and feeling of safety evaluations
  - Overall characteristics
    - Feeling of safety evaluations was higher than the "anshin" evaluations
    - "Anshin" may have more stringent criteria than feeling of safety
  - As for the criticality of feature and the information about malfunction,
    - Feeling of safety evaluations did not improve when noncritical features were described as stable
    - Stable performance of low-critical features can be objectively interpreted as non-relevant to safety





- Feeling of safety is sensitive to feature criticality and unstable performance
  - Because feeling of safety is based on objective physical measurements
- Conversely, "anshin" may be relatively insensitive and more subjective
  - Because "anshin" includes complex processes of prediction and trust [Mukaidono2009]

 Further verification is needed to clarify the differences between "anshin" and feeling of safety