

UBICOMM 2022

A Programming Model for Heterogeneous CPS from the Physical Point of View

Martin Richter Theresa Werner Matthias Werner

Chemnitz University of Technology (TUC) martin.richter@informatik.tu-chemnitz.de





TUC · 15.11.22 · Martin Richter

三日 のへへ



Short Resume

- Since March 2020 research assistant at the Operating Systems Group of the TUC
- 2016–2020 tutor for research and teaching
- 2013–2020 student of Applied Informatics and Automotive Software Engineering



Research focused on programming models for CPS



CPS

- Connect digital and physical world
- Multitude of heterogeneous sensors and actuators
- Observing through sensors
- Influencing through actuators



E = 990

A Programming Model for Heterogeneous CPS from the Physical Point of View Motivation

Running Example – Robot Soccer

- Heterogeneous players
- Physical objects: players, ball, goal
- Sensors: observing ball and players
- Actuators: influencing ball and players
- Distribution, Coordination, ...



Challenges

- Distribution and heterogeneity
- Currently: digital point of view
- Impact on physical environment often not clear
- Disconnection between programmer's view and environment
- Programming is error-prone and complex
- We need abstractions!



Abstractions

- Physical point of view
 - clear physical semantics
- Program physical objects
 - Which properties?
 - Influences on them
 - Desired change
- Sensor and actuator virtualization
 - Which devices achieve above is not relevant
- Systemic view



Programming Models

- Describe programmer's view on the system
- Allow to hide system features through transparency
- Allow to access them through awareness
- Describe translation of program description into actions



A Programming Model for Heterogeneous CPS from the Physical Point of View Motivation

State of the Art - Physical Modeling

- Approaches for taking physical point of view
- Physical modeling languages (Modelica, Simulink, etc.)
- Description of physical processes
- Code generation for utilizing sensors/actuators
- No virtualization or distribution transparency



https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/39520-backhoe-model-in-simscape 🗆 🕨 🚽



Our Approach – The Programmer's View

- Developer programs physical objects
- Attributes for properties
 - What has to be measured?
- Methods for behaviour
 - How may it be influenced?
- Virtualization
 - Measurements matter, not sensors
 - Influences matter, not actuators
- Inheritance for similar properties and behaviour





ELE NOR



Physical Objects – Attributes

- Describe physical properties (shape, velocity, mass, ...)
- Constitute state of the object
- Measured by sensors
- Influenced by actuators



```
constructor() {
   this.mass = Mass(0.3[kg]);
   this.position = Position(?[m],?[m],?[m]);
   ...
}
```

ELE NOR

그는 소프는



Physical Objects – Methods

- Change of physical properties based on physical actions or internal dynamics → change of state
- Actuator inputs as parameters
- Possibly from different actuators
- Requirements on actuators to provide inputs

```
Require(Act(v) == Act(m) && Act(v).pos == this.pos)
method get_kicked(Velocity v, Mass m) {
   this.v = ...;
}
```



The Programmer's View – Physical System

- So far: physical object state and behaviour description
- Bundle of properties and behaviours of objects
- Constraints for describing target state of physical system

```
target defense() {
   abs(player, opponent) <= 1.0[m];
   abs(player, ball) <= 0.5[m];
   ...
}</pre>
```



A Programming Model for Heterogeneous CPS from the Physical Point of View The Programmer's View

The Programmer's View – Recap

- Physical system of interest is group of physical objects
- OOP for describing physical objects
- Attributes: measured by sensors, constitute state
- Methods: change of state, based on possible acuator inputs and internal dynamics
- Constraints for describing target state space of system



31

A Programming Model for Heterogeneous CPS from the Physical Point of View Runtime Environment

Runtime Environment



TUC · 15.11.22 · Martin Richter

RTE – Interpreter

- Interpreter creates property specifications from attributes
 - What has to be measured?
- Creates state space equations from methods
 - How can it be influenced?
 - How does system evolve?





RTE – Observer

- Distributed observer (O) module observes physical objects of interest
- Input:
 - Property descriptions (1)
 - Sensor measurements (2)
- Output:
 - Class instances of observed objects (3)





RTE – Constraint Solver

 Constraint solver (CS) solves state equations (1) for inputs to reach target state

Input:

- Measured object properties (2)
- Available actuator influences (3)
- Target state constraints and state equations (1)

Output:

Required actuator influences (4)





RTE – Controller

- Distributed controller (C) module for coordinating actuators
- Input:
 - Required actuator influences (1)
- Output:
 - Available actuator influences (2)
 - Actuator control (3)





Conclusion

- Provided conceptual programming model
- Allows description of desired behaviour of physical objects
- Physical impact on environment made explicit
- Sensor and actuator virtualization
- RTE for supporting programmer's view



Next Steps – Observer

- Sensor model for generic specification of sensors
- Allows mapping of available sensors to object properties
- Sensor fusion based on physical system description
- Data distribution and aggregation

B A B A B B B A A A



Thank you!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目目 のへで



State Equation

Method *m*, Class *c*, State \vec{x} , Actuator Input \vec{u} , System Σ :

$$\vec{x}_{c,m}'(t) = f_m(\vec{x}_c, \vec{u}_m, t) \xrightarrow{\text{linear}} \vec{x}_{c,m}'(t) = A_m \vec{x}_c + B_m \vec{u}_m(t)$$
(1)

$$\vec{x}_{c}'(t) = \sum_{m \in M} \vec{x}_{c,m}'(t) = \sum_{m \in M} (A_{m}\vec{x}_{c} + B_{m}\vec{u}_{m}(t))$$
(2)

$$\vec{x}_{\Sigma}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{x}_{c^0} & \vec{x}_{c^1} & \dots & \vec{x}_{c^n} \end{bmatrix}^T (t)$$
 (3)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目目 のへで