

A PRELIMINARY EXPLORATION OF THE PREVALENCE OF AUTOMATED SOFTWARE PROGRAMMES AND SOCIAL BOTS IN THE COVID-19 #ANTIVAXX DISCOURSE ON TWITTER ANTONIA EGLI (DCU), THEO LYNN (DCU), PIERANGELO ROSATI (DCU), GARY SINCLAIR (DCU)





Antonia Egli

Postgraduate Researcher

Irish Institute of Digital Business DCU Business School

About Antonia Egli

- Postgraduate Researcher, Irish Institute of Digital Business at Dublin City University
- Research focus on identity, legitimacy and the spread and influence of fake news within online subcultures, specifically vaccination deniers on social media
- MSc in Digital Business

VACCINE HESITANCY CONTRIBUTES TO 1.5 MILLION AVOIDABLE DEATHS PER ANNUM [1]

THAT WAS BEFORE COVID-19

Vaccine hesitancy lies on a continuum from vaccine acceptance to vaccine denial and is influenced by a variety of factors.







[3]

We're not just fighting an epidemic; we're fighting an infodemic.



Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus

Director-General of the World Health Organization [2]

The anti-vaxx movement comprises vaccine deniers or individuals who fully oppose vaccinations and has contributed to numerous disease outbreaks [4-6].

1970 DTP

Opposition to Diphtheria, Tetanus, and Pertussis and formation of The Association of Parents of Vaccine Damaged Children (APVDC) with medical endorsement.

1800s Smallpox

DCU

BUSINESS

SCHOOL

Anti-vaccination protests including celebrity endorsements and formation of Anti-Vaccination Leagues

1990s MMR

Driven by fraudulent medical research and media confusion, MMR vaccines were linked to autism.

2018 Green our Vaccines

Concerns over Thermisol, a preservative has resulted in concerns over autism driven by celebrity endorsed campaigns-Generation Rescue, and the organization Talk about Curing Autism (TACA).

2020 COVID-19

Misinformation on COVID-19 vaccines reinforced by senior political leaders and celebrities and COVID-19 specific movements.



The WHO is tracking over 30 discrete topics in its COVID-19 mythbusters website alone [12].



DCU

BUSINESS

SCHOOL



The Centre for Countering Digital Hate (CCDH) estimates over 59.2m people follow anti-vaxx social media accounts growing by at least 7.8m since 2018.

Anti-vaxx Positions:

- Questioning the safety and effectiveness of vaccines
- Sharing conspiracy theories online
- Publishing general misinformation and rumours
- Promoting that big pharma and scientific experts are not to be trusted
- Stating the civil liberties and humans' freedom of choice are endangered
- Questioning whether vaccinated individuals spread diseases
- · Promoting alternative medicine





Social media manipulation and deception make use of a wide range of sophisticated tactics [8]-[11].









THE ANTI-VACCINATION MOVEMENT HAS USED THESE TACTICS TO INFLUENCE VACCINATION NARRATIVES AND DECISION-MAKING PROCESSES [13].

What we wanted to know...



To what degree are automated software programmes used in the #antivaxx discourse on Twitter?

Does automated software usage differ between highly visible and highly active Twitter accounts in the #antivaxx discourse on Twitter?

How effective is Twitter at moderating content that is potentially harmful to the public?







Why Twitter?

Open Social Network



The data is historic raw Twitter data gathered from December 2020

Only English language tweets featuring the #antivaxx hashtag were included.

Metric	Count	Percentage
No. of Distinct Users	7721	-
Total Tweets	8949	100%
No. of Original Tweets	2301	25.71%
No. of Replies	336	3.74%
No. of Retweets	6312	70.53%
No. of Tweets with URLs	1680	18.77%
Avg. Tweets per User	0.3	-







To what degree are automated software programmes used in the #antivaxx discourse on Twitter?

Twitter *generator* metadata was extracted, including:

- Name of source application
- Link to source application

Approx. 45 generators (54% of all generators) self-identified as bots or exhibited bot behaviour.

Generator	No. of Tweets	No. of Users
Twitter Client	94.68%	97.71%
Bot	3.36%	0.86%
Third Party Twitter Client	1.21%	0.89%
Social Network	0.20%	0.18%
Other	0.54%	0.36%
Total	100.00%	100.00%

Only 1%-2% of all users used automated software however these accounts generated 3.5%-5% of all tweets.







Does automated software usage differ between highly visible and highly active Twitter accounts in the #antivaxx discourse on Twitter?

The top 100 active users generate nearly 4x more original tweets than top 100 visible users

21% of the top 100 active users were given a high or very high bot rating by IUNI Botometer compared to 6% of the top 100 visible users

Bot behaviour was driven by (i) bot self declaration, (ii) echo chamber behaviour, and (iii) high fake follower counts.

Metric	Count	Percentage
No. of OTs by Most Active Users	387	16.82%
No. of OTs by Most Visible Users	100	4.35%

Bot Score	Active Users	Visible Users
Very High	9	1
High	12	5
Medium	2	6
Low	19	21
Very Low	36	51
Suspended/No Longer Accessible	22	17

The IUNI Botometer leverages over 1,000 features from a Twitter account and its activity including astroturfing, spamming, bot self-declaration, fake follower count etc.







How effective is Twitter at moderating content that is potentially harmful to the public?

Automated software does not equate to true #antivaxx supporters. Only one of the high probability bot users was a true #antivaxx supporter.

Analysis of the suspended accounts in the top 100 suggested higher proportions of suspended accounts were true #antivaxx supporters (9/39 suspended accounts)









Final Remarks



False information can result in individual citizens becoming vaccine hesitant or vaccine deniers

Countering the anti-vaccination movement is a significant multi-stakeholder challenge requiring active intervention.

There is evidence that the pro-vaccination community and platforms were effective in the initial COVID-19 #antivaxx discourse. Further research on the wider discourse is required.

Understanding the different mechanisms being used by anti-vaccination promoters can mitigate the adverse effects of the anti-vaxx movement and restore faith in vaccines and vaccinations





antonia.egli@dcu.ie

THANK YOU

References

[1] World Health Organisation (2021), "Ten threats to global health in 2019," [online]. Available at:

https://www.un.org/en/un-coronavirus-communications-team/un-tackling-%E2%80%98infodemic%E2%80%99-misinformation-and-cybercrime-covid -19 [retrieved: July, 2021].

[2] E. Dub e, C. Laberge, M. Guay, P. Bramadat, R. Roy, J. A. Bettinger (2013), "Vaccine hesitancy: an overview", Human vaccines & immunotherapeutics, 9/8, pp. 1763–1773.

[3] World Health Organisation (2021), "UN tackles 'infodemic' of misinformation and cybercrime in COVID-19 crisis" [online]. Available at:

https://www.un.org/en/un-coronavirus-communications-team/un-tackling-%E2%80%98infodemic%E2%80%99-misinformation-and-cybercrime-covid -19 [retrieved: July, 2021].

[4] M. Wolfe, L. K. Sharp (2005), "Anti-vaccinationists past and present," Bmj, 325/7361, pp. 430–432.

[5] J. Colgrove, R. Bayer (2015) "Could it happen here? vaccine risk controversies and the specter of derailment," Health Affairs, 24/3, pp. 729–739.

[6] E. Dub e, M. Vivion, N. E. MacDonald (2015), "Vaccine hesitancy, vaccine refusal and the anti-vaccine movement: influence, impact and implications," Expert review of vaccines, 14/1, pp. 99–117.

[7] Burki, T. (2020), The online anti-vaccine movement in the age of COVID-19 (online). Available at:

https://www.thelancet.com/journals/landig/article/PIIS2589-7500(20)30227-2/fulltext [retrieved: July, 2021].

[8] J. P. Dickerson, V. Kagan, and V. S. Subrahmanian, "Using sentiment to detect bots on Twitter: Are humans more opinionated than bots?", Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining, IEEE/ACM International Conference, pp. 620-627, 2014.

[9] D. M. Cook, B. Waugh, M. Abdipanah, O. Hashemi, and S. A. Rahman,"Twitter deception and influence: Issues of identity, slacktivism, and puppetry," Journal of Information Warfare, vol. 13, pp. 58–71, 2013.

[10] S. Stieglitz, F. Brachten, B. Ross, and A. K. Jung, "Do social bots dream of electric sheep? A categorisation of 147 social media bot accounts," Proceedings of Australasian Conference on Information Systems, pp.1-11, 2017

[11] D. M. Cook, B. Waugh, M. Abdipanah, O. Hashemi, and S. A. Rahman, "Twitter deception and influence: Issues of identity, slacktivism, and puppetry," Journal of Information Warfare, vol. 13, pp. 58–71, 2013.







References

[12] World Health Organisation, "Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) advice for the public: Mythbusters", 2020. Available at:https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/advice-for-public/myth-busters [retrieved: July, 2021]
[13] V. S. Subrahmanian et al., "The DARPA Twitter bot challenge," Com-puter, vol. 49/6, pp. 38-46, 2016.





