Image Classification Methods Assessment for Identification of Small-Scale Agriculture in Brazilian Amazon
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Topics of research interest and current projects

- Land Use Change in Amazon: Institutional Analysis and Modelling at multiple temporal and spatial scales;
- Scenarios for the Amazon: Climate, Biodiversity and Land use;
- Environmental Monitoring of the Amazon Biome by Satellite.

- Assessment of forest deforestation impacts;
- Quantification of forest biomass and carbon stock;
- Remote sensing mapping of burnt forests.
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Small-scale agriculture

- Food for local population
- Income for families
- Invisible
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This paper aims to test different methods for image classification focusing on small-scale agriculture in the region of Mocajuba and Cametá, municipalities in the Northeast of Pará state, Brazil.
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In Brazilian Amazon, several studies on agriculture have been carried out. Yet, most of them addresses large-scale agriculture. Few studies can be found. On the other hand, there are plenty of techniques that can be tested for mapping this land use class. Testing and evaluating techniques capable of detecting this type of agriculture, which is largely invisible, despite its importance to society, environment and economy.
We could observe the combination of different techniques: in some studies, authors adapted and tested techniques used to large-scale agriculture, but considering the unique features of small-scale agriculture in Amazon.

| Maximum likelihood + neural networks | Multiresolution segmentation + adapted nearest neighbor | Segmentation + random forest |

Object-based analysis are broadly used in many studies: segmentation allows the use of more features, such as shape, texture and so on, rather than only spectral ones. Small-scale agriculture has specific shape and texture, and spectral mixture, so an object-based analysis unfolds as a key technique.

Inoue et al. (2007), Blaschke (2010), Dutrieux et al. (2015), Vogels et al. (2019), Souza et al. (2019), Nguyen et al. (2020)
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**Brazilian Amazon**: Mocajuba and Cametá municipalities, Baixo Tocantins region, Northeast of Pará State

**Hotspot**: smallholders and secondary vegetation

**Shifting cultivation**: system with swidden-fallow cycles

**Main crops**: cassava and açaí
1) Data

PlanetScope Surface Reflectance Mosaics

Date: September, 2020
Spatial resolution: 4.77 m
Bands: R, G, B, NIR

2) Image processing

Multiresolution segmentation
Classification
C5.0 Decision trees
Adapted nearest neighbor

3) Results

Accuracy assessment
Descriptive statistics

Legend

Data Process Result
**Water**
Rivers, lagoons, etc.

**Forest**
Natural vegetation with predominance of trees

**Secondary vegetation**
Natural vegetation in regeneration emerged from previously deforested areas, with trees, shrubs and herbs

**Urban areas**
Built-up areas with population clusters: city, village and community

**Pasture**
Predominance of herbaceous and grassy vegetation, it may occur also sparse shrub vegetation and few arboreal individuals

**Small-scale agriculture**
Small agriculture lands with mainly annual crops

**Others**
Aggregate of land use and land cover, such as rocky outcrops, sand banks

**Non observed**
Clouds and cloud shadows
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### Descriptive Statistics from Image Classification According to Land Use and Land Cover, in Hectare

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classes</th>
<th>Adapted Nearest-neighbor</th>
<th>C5.0 Decision Trees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>μ</td>
<td>σ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>5.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary vegetation</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Area</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasture</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small-scale agriculture</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Units: hectare; μ = polygon mean area; σ = standard deviation; σ² = variance.

### Confusion Matrix for Adapted Nearest-Neighbor and C5.0 Decision Trees Algorithms

#### Adapted Nearest-neighbor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>%</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>User’s accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) Water</td>
<td>(A) 100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) Forest</td>
<td>(B) 0</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Secondary vegetation</td>
<td>(C) 0</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D) Urban area</td>
<td>(D) 0</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E) Pasture</td>
<td>(E) 0</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F) Small-scale agriculture</td>
<td>(F) 0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G) Others</td>
<td>(G) 0</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Producer’s accuracy</strong></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Samples</strong></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Kappa = 0.70*  
*Overall accuracy = 73%*

#### C5.0 Decision trees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>%</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>User’s accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) Water</td>
<td>(A) 90</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) Forest</td>
<td>(B) 0</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Secondary vegetation</td>
<td>(C) 0</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D) Urban area</td>
<td>(D) 0</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E) Pasture</td>
<td>(E) 0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F) Small-scale agriculture</td>
<td>(F) 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G) Others</td>
<td>(G) 10</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Producer’s accuracy</strong></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Samples</strong></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Kappa = 0.88*  
*Overall accuracy = 75%*
High spatial resolution sensors are more adequate to improve classification accuracy due to the small-scale agriculture’s size: our results presented mean area of **0.97 ha ± 0.69 ha** for Adapted Nearest-neighbor and **0.70 ha ± 0.39 ha** for C5.0 Decision trees.

**Adapted Nearest-neighbor may be overclassifying small-scale agriculture**: this method had a **commission error** of 19%, which means that a significant number of polygons were classified by mistake as small-scale agriculture, increasing the area of this class. These classification errors occurred due to confusion, especially with **secondary vegetation, forest, and others**.

**C5.0 Decision trees did not have commission error** for small-scale agriculture class. In other words, this method is more conservative for mapping small-scale agriculture and did not included other classes in small-scale agriculture by mistake as Adapted Nearest-neighbor did.

Both algorithms had the same **omission errors** for small-scale agriculture regarding **secondary vegetation** (15%) and **pasture** (10%). **Adapted Nearest-neighbor** also had omission errors for small-scale agriculture with the class **others** (10%).

**C5.0 Decision trees algorithm found better results when mapping small-scale agriculture (75%), compared to Adapted Nearest-neighbor (65%).** This performance of Adapted Nearest-neighbor algorithm is corroborated with other studies that found around 62% of producer’s accuracy for small-scale agriculture carried out in the same region of Brazilian Amazon.

Overall, the results for small-scale agriculture were adequate and despite the different accuracies, both methods showed limitations when differentiating this class from pasture and secondary vegetation.
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Challenges: use of same training and test samples to promote an adequate comparison

Small-scale agriculture:

C5.0 Decision trees -> Higher producer’s accuracy -> Adapted nearest-neighbor

More suitable
Future work

We recommend investigating which features are more significant for the identification of small-scale agriculture by C5.0. We suggest a systematically removal of features at the classification level and performing a sensitive analysis.

The inclusion of the temporal component coupled with machine learning and deep learning techniques may contribute for selecting other important variables for small-scale agriculture classification.

We recommend testing different sampling design to test better results and perform a sensitive analysis.
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