



## A Framework for Improving Offline Learning Models with Online Data

Sabrina Luftensteiner

Tel. +43 50 343 862 sabrina.luftensteiner@scch.at www.scch.at **Michael Zwick** 

Tel. +43 50 343 843 michael.zwick@scch.at www.scch.at

### Sabrina Luftensteiner

- 2014-2019 University of Applied Sciences Upper Austria
  - BSc in Medical and Bioinformatics
  - MSc in Software Engineering with focus on Big Data & Analytics
- Since March 2021 PhD student at JKU
  - Hierarchical Decomposition Modelling of Industry Processes
- Since September 2017 Researcher and Data Scientist at Software Competence Center Hagenberg
  GmbH
  - Online Learning & Incremental Model Adaptations
  - Prescriptive & Predictive Maintenance
  - Process Mining
  - Data Analysis & Visualization



#### scch { }

#### Content

#### scch { }

#### Introduction

- Problem & Contribution
- Relevant Deep Learning Topics

#### Framework

- Set-Up and Overview
- Algorithm
- Configurations

#### • Experiments

Comparisons

#### Conclusion

- Summary
- Outlook



scch { }

### Introduction

- Increasing amount of recorded data in Industry 4.0 settings
  - Higher Level of operational efficiency, productivity, automatization and flexibility
- Customization of applications with small batch-sizes
  - Flexible adaptations and optimizations
  - Expanding and alternating environments
  - Developed with Multi-Task setting in mind
    - · High tool and workpiece variability
- Self-learning and adaptive systems for predictions, predictive maintenance, outlier detection
  - Support for Domain Adaptation is required

### **Introduction - Problem**

scch { }

- Offline Learning not sufficient enough
  - High Training costs
  - Old Data not available
- Online Learning
  - Goal: Gain and retain knowledge
  - Problem: Catastrophic Forgetting
    - Forgetting or fading of previously learned knowledge due to the Stability-Plasticity Dilemma



6

### **Introduction - Contribution**

- Framework
  - Easing of the path for the development of models, especially in Industry 4.0 applications
  - High variety of configuration possibilities for various online learning scenarios
    - Model selection (Random Forest, Linear Regression, ...)
  - Training process
    - Usage of an offline model as a base
    - Online learning cycles for model adaptations
  - Experimental setting due to amount of configurations
    - Possibility to find best fitting configurations
  - Visualizations of (intermediate) results
- Existing Frameworks are rather restricted
  - Use-case specific
  - Not expandable

### **Relevant Deep Learning Topics**

- Catastrophic Forgetting
  - Stability-Plasticity Dilemma
    - Plasticity for integration of new knowledge
    - Stability for retaining old knowledge
- Various approaches to solve Catastrophic Forgetting
  - Memory-based approaches
  - Elastic Weight Consolidation (EWC)
  - Adapted optimizers and loss calculation





### **Framework - Wrapper**

- Intersection point between model and learning algorithm
  - Stores model
  - Enables equal treatment of models
- Storage of additional information
  - Prediction results
  - Calculation methods for metrics
    - root mean squared error (RMSE), maximum absolute error (MaxAE), sigma, sigma2 and R2
- Currently supported wrapper models
  - Neural networks
  - Linear regression
  - Random Forest

### **Framework - Structure**

- Multi-Task Learning
  - Training of similar tasks in one model to save time and even enhance results
  - Common knowledge base for all tasks
  - Task-specific layers at the top of model



- Domain Adaptation
  - Learning a model based on a source domain that performs sufficiently well on different but related target domains
  - Useful for different machine/tool setting or with different materials

#### **Framework – Configurations**

- Configuration Dictionary
  - Different scenarios
  - Multiple tasks represent a Multi-Task scenario
  - Tasks consists of various time-steps
    - Nr of time-step
    - Percentage of used data
    - · Flag for batch-wise or element-wise adding





Zeitliche Darstellung der Konfiguration (wann task 1 wann task 2, ...)

### **Framework – Configurations**

- Optimizer and Loss Configuration
  - Stochastic Gradient Descent (Optimizer)
  - Noisy Natural Gradient Descent (Optimizer)
  - Mean Squared Error (Loss)
  - Learning without Forgetting (Loss)
  - Elastic Weight Consolidation (Loss)
- Source and target columns
- Data loading
- Definition of starting and ending step

### Framework – Learning Algorithm



scch {}

#### **Framework – Visualizations**

- Visualization according to configuration
- Results also stored in Excel
- Optional anonymization of results for sensitive use-cases







### **Experiments – Set Up**

• Dataset consists of three different resin recipes provided by the Austrian company Metadynea

- 5639 samples per recipe
- 2692 features
  - Sample Id, sample time, date, batch, spectrum light intensity, process pressure, process temperature, consendation time
- Target is a temperature in °C
- Data is partitioned in various time-steps



#### **Experiments - Results**

Train/Test Step 1 (Offline) Step 2 (Online) Step 3 (Online) Step 4 (Online) Step 5 (Online) FF S with SGD/MSE Train 10.73 13.49 10.15 7.80 9.11 FF S with SGD/MSE 8.85 9.93 9.97 7.26 Test 6.65 FF S with NGD/MSE 12.87 12.7 9.81 8.32 8.28 Train FF S with NGD/MSE Test 8.35 11.34 7.36 7.15 6.34 FF M with SGD/MSE 10.38 9.19 Train 13.24 11.76 10.30 FF M with SGD/MSE Test 12.59 13.32 10.18 10.31 8.99 FF M with SGD/LwF 10.72 13.71 10.15 7.08 9.11 Train FF M with SGD/LwF Test 8.85 13.24 9.97 6.27 6.65 FF M with SGD/EWC 18.47 Train 18.78 21.46 19.13 20.01 FF M with SGD/EWC Test 17.19 17.46 18.67 18.13 20.33 FF M with NGD/MSE 10.91 12.42 9.80 10.02 10.50 Train FF M with NGD/MSE Test 10.64 11.43 9.48 9.71 9.26 FF M with NGD/LwF 12.70 12.87 9.81 8.32 8.20 Train FF M with NGD/LwF 6.29 Test 9.33 11.34 7.36 7.16 5.45 5.8 Linear Regression Train 2.06E-11 1.89 5.98 Linear Regression Test 41.92 21.34 9.54 7.32 7.47 5.43 9.78 Random Forest Train 6.44 2.30 4.67 10.13 Random Forest 10.28 Test 12.14 10.12 10.10 Elastic Net 10.75 Train 14.12 12.69 11.50 10.32 Elastic Net Test 10.32 10.37 10.10 10.05 10.08

# Conclusion

scch { }

### **Conclusion & Future Work**

• Presentation of a framework which is able to improve offline learning models with online data

- High configurability
- Various methods to avoid Catastrophic Forgetting
- Flexible and adaptive regarding new use-cases and use-case adaptations
  - Especially in Multi-Task settings
- Easily be extended regarding supported models and methods
- Future work
  - Integration of censored and truncated data
  - Integration of more flexible neural network structures
  - Automatization of optimal method selection

#### References

- K. Zhou, T. Liu, and L. Zhou, "Industry 4.0: Towards future industrial opportunities and challenges," in 2015 12th International Conference on Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery (FSKD). IEEE, 2015, pp. 2147–2152.
- Kirkpatrick and et al., "Overcoming catastrophic forgetting in neural networks," Proceedings of the National academy of sciences, vol. 114, no. 13, pp. 3521–3526, 2017.
- D. Sahoo, Q. Pham, J. Lu, and S. C. Hoi, "Online deep learning: learning deep neural networks on the fly," in Proceedings of the 27th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence. AAAI Press, 2018, pp. 2660–2666.
- J. Xu, P.-N. Tan, J. Zhou, and L. Luo, "Online multi-task learning framework for ensemble forecasting," IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 1268–1280, 2017
- M. Mermillod, A. Bugaiska, and P. Bonin, "The stability-plasticity dilemma: Investigating the continuum from catastrophic forgetting to age-limited learning effects," Frontiers in psychology, vol. 4, p. 504, 2013.



Sabrina Luftensteiner

Tel. +43 50 343 862 sabrina.luftensteiner@scch.at www.scch.at Michael Zwick

Tel. +43 50 343 843 michael.zwick@scch.a www.scch.at

SCCH is an initiative of



SCCH is located in

softwarepark Þ hagenberg

www.scch.at