Advances on Core Technologies and Applications: Building with and around AI, ML, IOT, 5G, Mobility and Cognition

## Al-Centric Cyber Laboratory Services: Operationalizing Specific White Box Architectures for Fuzzers

Steve Chan, IARIA Fellow & Decision Engineering Analysis Laboratory



CORETA 2021

November 14-18, 2021 Athens, Greece ₽ mun

.....

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. Introduction
- II. Background
- III. Numerical Challenges
- IV. A Posited Approach [for an Enhanced White Box Architecture for Fuzzers]
- V. Concluding Remarks





## I. INTRODUCTION

Lab services must contend with cyber issues, and in some cases, the use of blackbox architectural tools, such as certain fuzzers, may lead to cyber blindspots.





## II. BACKGROUND

 Simplistically, a fuzzer is a software program that injects pseudo-random data into a target application for the purpose of detecting bugs.

• Fuzzing or Fuzz testing is the technique by which a fuzzer is utilized.

DECISION engineering Analysis Laboratory



P 173333

ane a

4

# nne"X/div> visible: width: 1811sec Wing Humpy is not installed. About the ignore\_warnings(UserWarning); distribs\_numpy: mps = next(sample string>\b(?i)if\st( for sam in samps: ter match iters (NotImplementedError lambda: next(sampla(())) NotemplementedError, control.repeat.dosbatch

**DECISION** engineering Analysis Laboratory

## Benefits of Fuzzing

networks.

## Prototypical Fuzzers have **Coverage** Issues

Prototypical fuzzers have program).

The benefits of fuzzing have been well documented, such as when researchers found dozens of vulnerabilities in wireless

coverage issues (i.e., they only fuzz certain lines of code or certain sections of the software



## Fuzzers can be divided into Black-box and White-box

coverage.

## We will not be discussing grey-box fuzzers today

In general, black-box fuzzers tend to have better computational performance, but we tend to be unsure of the coverage, and whitebox fuzzers tend to have worse computational performance, but we tend to be more sure of the

There are also grey-box fuzzers, but for the purposes of our discussion today, we shall focus on black-box and white-box.



## White-box Fuzzers:

- schedules (i.e., varying coverage.
- the input segues to new search seed pool.

For many cases, the more whitebox the fuzzer, the better we are able to discern which parts of a software program it visits and how consistent it is in doing so.

Specifically, the more white-box the fuzzer, the more insight we have into the utilized seed distributions of the fuzzing time spent among the seeds) for

The significance of this resides in the fact that the fuzzer's Enhanced Context Module or ECM selects a seed, mutates it, and serves it as input to the test target. If the input causes a crash, it will be added to the ECM's crash set. Alternatively, if coverage, it will be added to the



#### White-box Fuzzers

Mutating Seed and Seed

**DECISION** engineering Analysis Laboratory

This coverage feedback serves as input to the Numerical Stability-Centric Module or NSCM, which processes the information and informs the Adaptive Weighting System, which dynamically weights the Class Families for Schedules. This should segue to a more optimal Seed Schedules for decreasing Time-to-Exposure or TTE (i.e., speed at which bugs are found) as well as a more optimal Relative Standard Deviation or RSD (i.e., number of unique bugs found for the fuzzing iterations).

#### Spawned Nonconvex Problems

**DECISION** engineering Analysis Laboratory

## Spawned Nonconvex Problems

- paradigm.
- leveraging the same RCR hyperparameters.

It should be noted that even when the input set is specifically designed/architected to segue to a convex paradigm, the resultant output set may still turn out to be nonconvex, thereby necessitating a transformation to a convex optimization problem via certain relaxation techniques. This transformation in itself may spawn yet other nonconvex optimization problems, thereby highlighting the need/opportunity to utilize a **Robust Convex Relaxation (RCR)** 

This seems prudent not only for solving the involved convex optimization problems, but also mechanism for tuning its own

#### III. NUMERICAL CHALLENGES

 Given its advantages in terms of the reduced number of hyperparameters to tune, Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) is often implemented.
However, PSO presents its own technical challenges.





#### **Convex Relaxation**



Exemplar **Possible Stagnation** at a Local Optima

**DECISION** engineering Analysis Laboratory

- to tune, Particle Swarm implemented.
- continuous/discontinuous

#### Particle Swarm Optimization

Given its advantages in terms of the reduced number of hyperparameters **Optimization** (PSO) is often

This approach gives rise to various technical challenges. When implemented on Deep Convolutional Generative Adversarial Networks (DCGANs), PSO requires converting hyperparameters to discrete values (e.g., integers). Yet, rounding the calculated velocities to discrete integer values creates an artificial paradigm, wherein particles may stagnate prematurely. Certain techniques, such as increasing the inertia (thereby allowing particles to advance past their current local optimum) can address this issue.



**DECISION** engineering

Analysis Laboratory



Fire Layers

- cost, the notion of fire
- problems that need to be optimum).

To reduce the computational modules/layers from SqueezeNet (a deep neural network) was utilized to replace convolution layers (a.k.a. Conv) with Fire Layers (FL), and a SqueezeDet adaptation was incorporated for the replacement of certain Conv with Special Fire Layers (SFL).

The combination of FL/SFL with convex relaxation adversarial training can improve the bound tightening for each successive neural network layer to better facilitate the resolution of the various involved optimization formulations which are, in essence, Mixed Integer Non-Linear Programming (MINLP) optimally solved (e.g., the global



#### **RCR** Paradigm

**DECISION** engineering Analysis Laboratory DCGAN PSO Benefit:

Facilitates valid bounds for nearoptimal convex optimization solutions.

Benefit, via an RCR Paradigm

- case).
- Training.
- Facilitated Robust Convex Relaxations (RCR).

# Achieving the DCGAN PSO

Squeezed Convolutional Layers, Fire Layer (FL) or Special Fire Layer (SFL), with a specific implementation (Modified Squeezed YOLO version 3 Implementation or MSY3I, in this

Convex Relaxation Adversarial











MIP

# MIP PROBLEMS



## MIP PROBLEMS Mixed Integer Non-Linear Programming (MINLP) Problems



MIP













Discrete



















## IV. POSITED APPROACH

• A Series of Robust Convex Relaxations (RCR), via PSO, Represents a Viable Posited Approach.







#### Advantages of this Approach

Deep Generative Convolutional Adversarial Network (DCGAN) with particular attention paid at each neural network layer.

Increased transparency at each



Series of Robust Convex Relaxations

Facilitates valid bounds for nearoptimal convex optimization

hyperparameters to tune, via a specific implementation of PSO.

#### V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

 The posited RCR architecture, as an Enhanced White-box
Architecture for certain fuzzers, demonstrates promise for better
Insight into coverage.







Advances on Core Technologies and Applications: Building with and around AI, ML, IOT, 5G, Mobility and Cognition

## Al-Centric Cyber Laboratory Services: Operationalizing Specific White Box Architectures for Fuzzers

Thank you!

Steve Chan, IARIA Fellow & Decision Engineering Analysis Laboratory



CORETA 2021

November 14-18, 2021 Athens, Greece

