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Narrative on the table

• Personality synthesis is an important and timely topic. Why? What are the use cases?
  • Chatbots, voice interfaces
  • Virtual assistants, humanized apps
  • Autonomous vehicles
  • Robot helpers and companions.

• Two big questions for personality design:
  • What is the right personality (or personalities) for a use case?
  • Once the right personality is decided, how is that personality expressed?

• Risks and next steps:
  • Development teams need guidance to effectively design personality into their applications.
  • What kinds of standards are needed to protect the consumer?
Food for thought

When it comes to being social, people are built to make the conservative error: When in doubt, treat it as human.

... When media violate social norms, such as by being impolite, the media are not viewed as technologically deficient... The violation is viewed as social incompetence and it is offensive.


Users of poorly designed VUIs [Voice User Interfaces] report feeling “foolish,” “silly,” and manipulated by technology, and so they avoid repeat usage. But it doesn’t have to be this way.

... Don’t leave your VUI persona to chance. From the very beginning, create the ideal employee to represent your brand... Use this persona as an anchor to ground your user experience and give it a familiar consistency.

James Giangola, “Conversation Design: Speaking the Same Language” (2017),
https://design.google/library/conversation-design-speaking-same-language/
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AI Digital Workforce: Principles for Successful Adoption and Scalability

Charles Rinker, PRSONAS, USA, crinker@prsonas.com

• Defining a human user experience and the challenges of scaling in our physical world
• Why setting AI communications back 2 billion years improves our growing hybrid workforce
• How inclusivity, empathy and emotion drive adoption
• Adapting these principles to specific use case solutions and taking them to scale

→ Focus on human communication, not replication
→ Digital employees increase the number of high-value human positions
→ Adopt frictionless technologies: No training required!
→ Creating standards to support a device-agnostic digital workforce
Inclusive Personalities for Conversational User Interfaces

Jennifer Strickland, MITRE, USA  jstrickland@mitre.org

- Background of conversational user interfaces (CUI) and inclusive design
- Differences in needs for private and public sector audiences
- Challenges for inclusive CUI personalities
- Recommendations for inclusive CUI personalities

→ The current design of CUIs generally fail to consider audience diversity.

→ The current approach is to take a ‘neutral’ personality, yet that is rooted in unconscious bias.

→ CUI research needs to consider frameworks like Inclusive Design and Design Justice to meet inclusion and equity.

→ CUI development teams should take full advantage of user-centered research and design tools, to understand and anticipate the range of needs, attitudes, and expectations of their users.
Supporting Observability through Social Cues

Natalie Friedman, Cornell Tech, USA nvf4@cornell.edu

- Approaches to artificial personalities
- How personality can be visualized in different modalities
- Related research to the visualized personality

→ How do each of these personality traits demonstrate observability?
  → Common patterns that emerge from our review
  → Design recommendations
Panellist Position

Linguistic and Speech Technological Ramifications of Personality

Dr. Corey Miller, MITRE / Georgetown University, USA camiller@mitre.org

- How is personality expressed through language?
- How do interlocutors negotiate style?
- How does speech technology impact the conversational experience?
- What macro- and microsociolinguistic factors are at play?

→ Personality infuses all levels of linguistic expression
→ Interlocutors express their relationships through linguistic convergence and divergence
→ The quality and breadth of speech technology impacts the authenticity of the experience
→ The linguistics of personality needs to be accounted for in both conversational and technological design
Panellist Position

Personality Design for a Serious Game to Promote Emotional Intelligence

Dr. (Sara) Beth Elson, MITRE, USA  selson@mitre.org

- Defining Emotional Intelligence
- Introducing a Serious Game to Promote Emotional Intelligence within Couples’ Relationships
- How We Implemented Emotional Intelligence When Designing a Personality within the Game
- Need for Research on the Validity of Such Implementations

- Emotional intelligence comprises a wide variety of concepts
- We designed a serious game to promote emotional intelligence within couples’ relationships
- To design this game, we implemented a personality with varying degree of emotional intelligence at different times
- More research is needed to verify that we have accurately implemented the concept
Panellist Position

**ACQ-SYNC | JumpStart: Building a Digital Assistant You Want to Work With**

Kelly Horinek, MITRE, USA khorinek@mitre.org

- Personality begins with a name
- The building blocks of clothing and speech
- Directability in Digital Assistants: From Pro Tips to Tough Love
- Don’t hang your Digital Assistant out to dry, back them up with live support
- Bringing it all together to design a digital assistant for acquisition

→ Design for Approachability
→ Don’t underestimate the power of Beautiful Design
→ Personality is part of your brand and acceptance
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What is an AI Digital Workforce?

An AI Digital Workforce is a scalable team of software personalities that works alongside your human employees providing safe, human customer experiences.
THE CHALLENGE – PHYSICAL WORLD CHALLENGES

- **MULTILINGUAL**
- **ATTENTION & STOPPING POWER**
- **ACCESSIBILITY AND ASL**
- **SAFE TOUCHLESS EXPERIENCE**
- **NO APP INSTALLATION**
- **PHYSICAL WORLD ANALYTICS**
- **LISTEN, LEARN, IMPROVE**
- **BRAND AMBASSADOR**
- **CREDIBILITY, EMOTION & EMPATHY**

DEMANDS OF SELF-SERVICE IN OUR PHYSICAL HUMAN WORLD

AI Digital Workforce
Principles for Successful Adoption and Scalability
THE CHALLENGE – CURRENT SOLUTIONS FAIL

NO SINGLE SOLUTION DELIVERS ON THESE DEMANDS

AI Digital Workforce
Principles for Successful Adoption and Scalability

- MULTILINGUAL
- ATTENTION & STOPPING POWER
- BRAND AMBASSADOR
- CREDIBILITY, EMOTION & EMPATHY
- LISTEN, LEARN, IMPROVE
- PERSONAL WORLD ANALYTICS
- PHYSICAL WORLD

DIGITAL SIGNAGE

MOBILE

HUMAN

KIOSKS

www.PRSONAS.com
WHY DO WE FAIL? – COMMUNICATIONS GAP

AI Digital Workforce
Principles for Successful Adoption and Scalability

Innovative Technologies
- Artificial Intelligence
- ChatBot Frameworks
- Cognitive Services*
- Machine Learning
- Actionable Analytics

Gap

Human User Experience

Communications:
- 7% Verbal
- 93% Non-Verbal

* Cognitive Services include speech recognition, natural language parsing, translation services, etc.

www.PRSONAS.com
"Be careful how you talk to my father — it's best if you just use grunts and gestures."

Setting Communications Back 2 Million Years is the Right Thing to Do

No Training Required
Approachability
Empathy & Emotion
Lower Anxiety
Frictionless Engagement

*Studies show AI with embodiment increases user confidence, assumes more intelligence, believe that data will remain more private and improved non-verbal communication resulting in vastly improved engagement.
AI Digital Workforce

Principles for Successful Adoption and Scalability

BENEFITS – AI DIGITAL WORKFORCE

A Scalable Human Experience

An AI Digital Workforce holds the potential to realize all the benefits that AI and current communications possess with losing the human experience. This is the path to adoption and scalability.
AI Digital Workforce
Principles for Successful Adoption and Scalability

SCALING – HOW TO SCALE EFFICIENTLY

LEGEND
- Items in RED are API/SDK & Standards
- Items in DARK GRAY are external to nuMedia

AI DIGITAL WORKFORCE SOLUTIONS
- Greeters
- Visitor Management
- Wayfinding
- AI Experts

3RD PARTY DEVELOPERS
- NATIVE WIN APPLICATIONS
- HTML APPLICATIONS

AI Digital Workforce SDK
AI Digital Workforce API

AI Digital Personality Engine™

MICROSOFT AZURE: AI, Cognitive Services, Analytics, Managed Services
UNITY RENDER ENGINE: 3D Rendering, Hardware Agnostic, Audio, Animation
INTEGRATED HARDWARE: Sonar, Camera, Keyboard Emulator, Buttons, etc.

www.PRSONAS.com
ANYWHERE - DEPLOYMENT INDEPENDENCE

AI Digital Workforce
Principles for Successful Adoption and Scalability

AR/VR
LARGE FORMAT DISPLAYS
MOBILE
KIOSK
HOLOLENS
WHAT'S NEXT
HOLOGRAM
INTERNET OF THINGS
DESIGN FACTORY
OPTIMIZE EXPERIENCES
PERSONALITY PLATFORM
ANALYTICS PORTAL
ENTERPRISE APPS

Digital Hosts UX

www.PRSONAS.com
THE FUTURE IS NOW

Evolving the Customer Experience through AI Digital Workforce

Charles Rinker
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Wake Forest, NC 27587
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Inclusive Personalities for Conversational User Interfaces
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Introduction

Conversational user interfaces (CUIs) such as text-based chatbots and voice-based assistants have become a popular solution for commercial services and are increasingly used to deliver government services as well.

Government services must be accessible for all, a broader audience than private companies.
Introduction: Craft a CUI personality for diverse groups of users with disparate needs, wants, and expectations

- How does a CUI’s personality include or exclude sections of the population?
- How can we ensure CUIs don’t unintentionally alienate the people being served?
- Most existing CUI standards refer to plain language, web content standards, screen reader usability, navigation, and such.
- Existing standards do not explicitly address the novel problem space of artificial personality.
Background: Inclusive design

- Inclusive design is an approach seeking to ensure all can access and are included in the design and outcome of a service or product.
- A key guidepost is being mindful of who is included or excluded.
- It’s helpful to take a “design by” approach, bringing the service consumer into the design of outcomes.
  - Hiring for lived experience is a tremendous asset to bringing awareness to inclusive processes.
  - Design workshops with consumers in the design and development processes are invaluable in ensuring outcomes serve diverse audiences.
Background: Inclusive design for the public sector

- Most private sector products are willing to exclude those with low incomes due to a profitability focus.
- For government or public sector services, people with low income are likely to be the critical users for whom access may be a matter of life or death.
- Government and public sector services are especially relevant for inclusive design due to the range of human experience served — Where else does a service have an audience with such diversity in geography, culture, economics, ability, etc.?
Background: CUI personality overview

- Personality for CUIs is a topic of interest for researchers and industry.
- Personality shapes a CUI’s response content.
- Web-based CUIs often have a visual component like an avatar that can reflect personality.
- For voice-based systems, different voice types can similarly reinforce different kinds of personalities [6].
Background: CUI personality strategies

- Some industry experts offer strategies for how to design CUI personalities, such as:
  - identifying personality traits the CUI should have, which can be based on established models of personality (e.g., [7]) or brand values (e.g., [8])
  - identifying kinds of people to use as models for the CUI’s behavior.
  - Persson et al. [9] refer to these two strategies as trait schemas versus social role schemas
  - it is possible to use both together, for instance as recommended by Google [10] when developing for Google Assistant.
Background: Application of inclusive design to CUI personality

UI experts documented six Inclusive Design Principles [11], for which we provide examples to illustrate applicability to CUI personality.

1. **Provide comparable experience:**
   A CUI should use simple straightforward language so that people who cannot fluently read the CUI’s language can complete tasks with success similar to those who can.

2. **Consider situation:**
   A CUI should use empathy if users are likely to be under pressure.

3. **Be consistent:**
   A CUI should adhere to familiar conversational conventions, such as Grice’s maxims (see [12]).

4. **Give control:**
   A CUI should give the user plenty of opportunities to steer the conversation.

5. **Offer choice:**
   A CUI should be responsive to different language styles and registers.

6. **Prioritize content:**
   A CUI should convey only content most relevant to the conversation topic so the user can stay focused.

7. **Add value:**
   A CUI should not engage in talk or offer conversation paths that do not improve user experience or satisfaction.
Challenges for inclusive CUI personalities:
Grace, respect, empathy, and mindful language

- What sort of personality will best serve the user’s purpose and scenario?
  - It varies depending on the individual’s perspective, which may itself vary based on culture, gender, age, ability, or any of several factors.
  - To bring grace, respect, and empathy to the CUI personality, the design team must conduct inclusive research with a broad range of human experience to design mindful, effective (and possibly affective) conversation.

- Empathy can improve adoption of CUIs and improve human mood [13], [14]. However, inaccurate empathy such as unmerited sympathy may decrease user’s trust [15].

- Consider how a person’s background may influence the perception of personality, and how that might impact the acceptance of a CUI.

- Follow the Inclusive Design Principles: “provide comparable experience,” “consider situation,” “be consistent,” “give control,” “offer choice,” and “add value.”
Challenges for inclusive CUI personalities:

User’s self-identification

- Imagine a CUI that refers to you regularly as a different gender than you identify as, or refers to abilities that you do not have — how would you feel?

- An individual’s identity is a personal statement reflecting their history, experience, values, and mission — how might a CUI welcome the full range of human identity, which may vary in language, lingo, tone, and even code switching?

- When designing a CUI’s personality, be aware of any biases and stereotypes informing the design and how this could interact with users’ self-identification.

- Follow the Inclusive Design Principles: “consider situation,” “give control,” and “offer choice.”
Challenges for inclusive CUI personalities:

User’s situation and mood

- There are situations that may be particularly stressful for people, such as navigating an unfamiliar city. Google Maps anticipated this by offering character voices such as Morgan Freeman or Santa Claus, which can defuse tension. Additionally, conversations between passengers and drivers tend to be simple and concise to account for their divided attention [17], [18].

- The user’s mood, like situation, affects conversational priorities. While an impatient user needs answers quickly, other users might appreciate additional content acknowledging their emotional state, such as potential targets of fraud [19].

- Follow the Inclusive Design Principles: “consider situation,” “be consistent,” and “prioritize content.”
Challenges for inclusive CUI personalities:

**Politeness**

- What level of formality and politeness should a CUI show its human user?
- Politeness theory distinguishes between positive and negative face.
- It is important to identify the range of face needs for the CUI’s intended users.
- Humans expect the politeness of an interaction to be appropriate to the social relationship. Determine whether users are likely to approach the CUI as a social partner, and if so whether the CUI is viewed as a close peer or as a formal representative of some organization.
- Follow the Inclusive Design Principles: “consider situation,” “give control,” “offer choice,” and “add value.”
Challenges for inclusive CUI personalities:

Different interaction styles and preferences

- When speaking with CUIs assisting with chronic disease management, patients preferred different healthcare provider interaction styles, such as paternalistic, informative, and deliberative, based on their ages and the nature of their disease [22]. In domains like healthcare that have clear taxonomies of interaction styles, CUI designers need to determine what user attributes will influence their preferences, or simply test a range of interaction styles with a large representative sample of target users to understand which are preferred.

- Follow the Inclusive Design Principles: “consider situation,” “give control,” “offer choice,” and “prioritize content.”
Recommendations for inclusive CUI personalities:
Know your users, be aware of who you are including/excluding

- When designing a CUI, understand your audience through user research, interviews, and contextual inquiry.
- Some teams document a list of those they are willing to exclude (for example, users of Internet Explorer 7 since it is well-past the sell-by date) and keep the list in mind throughout the design and development to guide decision-making.
- Providing a text-based chatbot along with any audio is a way to be inclusive of those with hearing considerations.
- For Veteran survivors of military sexual trauma, research might reveal some personality features may be too “soft” and make the Veteran feel they are not understood. Get to know your audience and provide personalities that suit their needs.
Recommendations for inclusive CUI personalities:
Offer a range of personalities for a range of people

- Offering a selection of personalities is one avenue that some interfaces offer. For example, Siri offers a selection of voices as well as languages from a range of countries and regions. Each has a slightly different personality, and some users select their language from a particular region because of the personality they associate with it, such as a U.S. user choosing a U.K. accented voice.

- Microsoft’s Clippy virtual assistant evolved to offer alternative avatars with different personalities. An important rule of thumb, though, is: “No matter what you choose, avatars won’t cure bad interactions. Just ask Clippy.”

In other words, personality choices must be targeted and not just for the sake of variety.
Recommendations for inclusive CUI personalities:

Make sure the bot’s personality enhances its purpose

Understanding the user’s purpose is key in designing suitable services. Depending on the audience, the bot may need to be formal or casual; humor and even conflict may be used to provoke critical thinking.

▪ In the “Bots of Conviction” case study, the bot was a cat that asked the user if they would bury their loved ones beneath their bed. Users generally were surprised, which allowed the bot to reveal that in some ancient cultures they did this to keep their loved ones close. The bot’s personality is confidently of another culture, eliciting discourse and reflection.

▪ In helping Veterans ready for life after active duty, a bot may need to be both compassionate and challenging, as it reminds users to go to training, submit forms, and attend to other tasks.

▪ In contrast, the Amazon customer service bot is friendly, upbeat, and apologetic as it addresses customer service issues. If it took a humorous approach, that would likely offend some customers already upset about a product issue.
Recommendations for inclusive CUI personalities:

Understand users’ tendency to anthropomorphize

- Some of the challenges mentioned in this paper depend on whether users are likely to view the CUI as a social partner or a transactional means to an end.

- Factors affecting a user’s tendency to anthropomorphize technology include age, gender, computer anxiety, and need for interaction [25].

- Users likely to anthropomorphize CUIs can be expected to appreciate social conventions such as appropriately polite and empathic language.
Conclusion

In this paper we presented challenges that should be systematically addressed in research to move toward inclusive CUI personalities, as well as some overarching recommendations or themes to guide development.

▪ Studies exploring the impacts of empathy and politeness in conversational robots and software need to be integrated with studies of how diverse users respond to manifestations of social cues in technology.

▪ CUI dev teams should take advantage of user-centered research and design tools, such as personas, user stories, and structured interviews to understand and anticipate the range of needs, attitudes, and expectations of their users.

▪ Most CUIs take an initially neutral personality and when an interaction becomes more complex transfers the conversation to a human being. Until a CUI can precisely adapt to a user’s preferences, that approach remains among the most inclusive. However, ambitious research, synthesis, and tool development can bring us closer to CUIs that serve all potential users at all times of day.
Thank you!

Jeff Stanley [he/him]
Lead Human-Centered Engineer

Jennifer Strickland [they/them; she/her]
Senior Human Center Design, Accessibility Engineer
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• Approaches to artificial personalities
• How personality can be visualized in different modalities
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→ How do each of these personality traits demonstrate observability?
→ Common patterns that emerge from our review
→ Design recommendations
Natalie Friedman

Education
• PhD Student, Information Science, Cornell Tech
• B.A., Cognitive Science, UC Santa Cruz

Current Projects
• Collecting dataset of faces reacting to robots making mistakes
• Designing clothes for robots + exploring the functions of clothes
Summary

Motivation

• Important to have a mental model of what a robot:
  • can do,
  • is currently doing,
  • is about to do.

• How can social cues help people understand what is going on 'under the hood'?

Method

• Review of 40 papers with instances of robot or software agent personality traits influencing observability.

• Selected and assessed six cues to clarify their relationship to observability.
Introduction

Observability
• Through transparency, teammates generate:
  • a shared understanding of the task [6]
  • calibrate trust based on the team members' capabilities [6]
  • situational awareness of the task, robot, and environment [7][8].
• Enhance perception of system reliability

Personality and Social Cues
• “characteristic sets of behaviors, cognitions, and emotional patterns that evolve from biological and environmental factors” [9].
• Example: Slouching after failure
Methods

1. Reviewed “A Taxonomy of Social Cues for Conversational Agents” by Feine et al. [4], which systematically identifies 48 social cues.

2. In parallel, search keywords in ACM Digital Library and Google Scholar:
   • “observability”
   • “mental model”
   • “status”
   • “common ground”
   • “predictability”
   • “machine personality”

3. Narrowed results using the following criteria:
   • Display system state dynamically (not static features like gender and name).
   • Applied to both physical and virtual agents.
   • One representing posture, voice, and dialogue.
## Social Cues + Relationship to Observability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social Cue</th>
<th>Feine's Definition [4]</th>
<th>Relationship to Observability</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head movement [Posture]</td>
<td>The agent moves its head. (i.e., nodding and turning)</td>
<td>In addition to more obvious indicators of agreement and disagreement, head movement can be used to indicate nuanced beliefs and goals such as confidence, thinking, and remembering</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial expression [Posture]</td>
<td>The agent expresses a gesture by executing one or more motions with his facial muscles (i.e., smile or eyebrow raise)</td>
<td>Facial expressions, like raising eyebrows (in conjunction with relaxing hands) can show that an agent is letting a person speak.</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice Tempo [Voice]</td>
<td>The pace of the agent’s voice.</td>
<td>The speed of computerized speech conveys urgency of a situation in a predictable and systematic way, and speech pacing conveys confidence.</td>
<td>[21][22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch Range [Voice]</td>
<td>The degree of variation from the agent’s average pitch. (i.e., monotone, animate voice)</td>
<td>Exaggerated pitch range can draw attention to important or high-confidence information. Humanlike pitch range should be appropriate to the trustworthiness and competence of the machine.</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greetings and farewells [Dialogue]</td>
<td>The agent expresses a word of welcome or marks someone's departure.</td>
<td>Small talk, which include greetings and farewells, can improve perception of an agent’s good will and credibility.</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ask to start/ pursue dialogue [Dialogue]</td>
<td>The agent requests the user's permission to start, continue, or end the conversation</td>
<td>Asking to start or pursue a dialogue communicates that the human is in charge and is in support of the Human-Machine Teaming theme of Directability</td>
<td>[3][32]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See paper for references.
Discussion

Risks:

• Setting wrong expectations:
  • Moving eyes on a robot might be perceived that a robot can see, which could lead to a mistrust of an agent.

• Universal vs. cultural
  • Social cues may be sensitive to the cultural context
  • Universally, lowered eyebrows, lips firmly pressed, and bulging eyes to convey anger.

• “Uncanny valley” [33], in which robots that look a lot like humans, but not quite human, are perceived as creepy and cause revulsion.

Design recommendation:

• Multiple cues in a row, or different modalities demonstrated in parallel ideal for observable personality
Conclusion

• Importance of understanding what is going on “under the hood”
• Assessed:
  1. definitions of the cue,
  2. examples of the cue,
  3. the relationship to observability
  4. associated risks of using the cue inappropriately.
• Cues should be used in parallel with other cues.

See paper for references.
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Motivation

- Personality is a two-way street: both humans and machines exhibit personality traits that interact and can be reflected in the language of each.
- Knowing how language reflects personality and is interpreted with respect to personality can help designers build more effective and accepted agents.
- The current state of speech-to-text (STT), text-to-speech (TTS) and natural language understanding (NLU) impacts the extent to which linguistically expressed personality traits can be synthesized, recognized and understood.
  - Whether or not we want to aim for human-like voices or personalities (potentially risking the uncanny valley) in our virtual agents, human perception of synthetic personality plays an important role in acceptance of technology.
  - Does anthropomorphic speech and language correlate with likeability contra Bartneck et al. (2009) with respect to robots and their ability to move?
Sociolinguistic variation & language attitudes

- **Macro: Language, dialect, diglossia**
  - Who speaks what language to whom and when? (Fishman 1965)
  - Swiss German versus Swiss Standard German (Khosravani et al. 2021)

- **Micro: Accent, sociolect, ethnolect, idiolect**
  - NZers preferred health care robot with an NZ synthetic voice over US, UK (Tamagawa et al. 2011)
  - Singaporeans preferred UK voice over Singaporean-accented for virtual help desk (Niculescu et al. 2008)
  - Viennese found local dialect synthetic voices appropriate for "lighter" applications such as "district info", "talking clock" and "game" vs. "administration" and "health domain" (Pucher et. al 2009)
Perception of synthetic (para)linguistic features

- **Phonetics**
  - Modern (neural) TTS has more reductions/fillers than previous generation (concatenative)
  - judged less intelligible but more likeable/human-like/familiar (Cohn & Zellou 2020)

- **Emotion**
  - Listeners perceive gradience in arousal but not valence in TTS (Cohn et al. 2020)

- **Gender**
  - Gender expansive (GE) individuals more likely to judge synthetic voices in androgynous zone as other– akin to native speakers picking up on native cues of voicing and phonetic contrasts (Hope & Lilley 2020)
How do interlocutors negotiate style?

- Accommodation/Entrainment/Convergence/Alignment/Imitation
  - Closeness, Empathy
- Human "copies" Computer
  - Prosody
  - Cohn & Zellou (2019)
- Computer "copies" Human
  - Yamazaki et al. (2021)
- Establishing intimacy
  - masu/desu,T/V (Chiba et al. 2021)

- Phonetics
  - Gessinger et al. (2021)

Divergence also possible (Giles & Ogay 2007)

Figure 2: F0 contour of synthesized speech. The figure shows the system utterance “Well, that’s pretty tough.” added to the end of the preceding utterance “It was so tiring.” English words in parentheses are translations from Japanese.
How does speech/human language technology (HLT) impact the conversational experience?

- **TTS**
  - Capability: Style transfer – synthesize appropriate sociolinguistic variation
  - Evaluation: intelligibility, naturalness (human-like?), acceptability

- **STT**
  - Capability: Multilingual/dialectal models – recognize natural variation/translanguaging
  - Evaluation: word error rate (WER), semantics/natural language understanding (NLU)

- **NLU + Speech Emotion Recognition (SER)**
  - From semantic (literal) to pragmatic (conventional) understanding

- **Spoken Response Generation**
  - Word/phrase emphasis, correct and natural prosody for given/new information
    (Yamazaki et al. 2021)
In-group advantages for apprehending localized speech

- Trust (Jiang et al. 2018)
- Intelligibility (Clopper et al. 2012)
- Emotions (Jakob et al. 2021)

- Own-Group Bias (Bestelmayer et al. 2015)
STT: How to handle variety

- Traditionally, locale-specific models worked best (Biadsy 2012)

- Today, combining models outperforms individual ones (Kannan et al. 2019)

- Leverage more data
- Possibility to handle multiple languages/dialects with one model: translanguaging
Conclusions

- Personality infuses all levels of linguistic expression
- Interlocutors express their relationships through linguistic convergence and divergence
- The quality and breadth of speech and human language technology impacts the authenticity of the experience
- The linguistics of personality needs to be accounted for in both conversational and technological design

*Zundapp Janus* by Hugo-90 is licensed with CC BY 2.0. To view a copy of this license, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/
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- Defining Emotional Intelligence
- Introducing a Serious Game to Promote Emotional Intelligence within Couples’ Relationships
- How We Implemented Emotional Intelligence When Designing a Personality within the Game
- Need for Research on the Validity of Such Implementations

¬ Emotional intelligence comprises a wide variety of concepts
¬ We designed a serious game to promote emotional intelligence within couples’ relationships
¬ To design this game, we implemented a personality with varying degree of emotional intelligence at different times
¬ More research is needed to verify that we have accurately implemented the concept
Dr. (Sara) Beth Elson
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▪ Principal Behavioral Scientist, MITRE Corporation (2011-present)
▪ Behavioral Scientist, RAND Corporation (2007-2011)

Publications & Activities

How Can We Address Veteran Suicide, Homelessness, and Other Problems Stemming from Couples’ Breakup?

- At present, the only information veterans and others can get on relationships is in books, workshops, videos, the internet, and from licensed therapists.
- But some don’t like to read.
- Not all can go to workshops.
- Some veterans lack access to therapists.
- Not all videos and websites are based on science, and those that are lack the experiential element that is valuable in learning.
Design a Serious Game to Promote the Emotional Intelligence Necessary to Facilitate Relationships

• Our goal was to build a game that will provide a safe, immersive, interactive, accessible, and experiential environment for learning.

• The game is based on behavioral scientific research on what makes relationships work.

• Built in Unity, the game can be deployed to multiple platforms.

• A flexible “content pack” architecture using JSON configuration files allows for easy adaptation of the game for different use cases.
The Learning Objectives of Now We’re Talking ©*

Centrality of trust in relationships
Building commitment and avoiding betrayal
Nurturing fondness and admiration instead of contempt
Building love maps
Turning towards bids instead of turning away or against
Expressing emotions instead of being closed
Validating emotions instead of dismissing them
Accepting responsibility instead of becoming defensive
Treating conflict as a win-win interaction instead of a zero-sum game
Accepting influence instead of rejecting it
Using soft start-ups instead of criticism
Addressing conflicts instead of avoiding them
Importance of shared humor
Now We’re Talking Gameplay
Now We’re Talking Gameplay (slide 2)

Partner's Trust

Partner's Emotional State

Virtual Therapist

This area of the screen shows Sue's expression. It will change based on what you say, and what is happening in the story.

Narrator

Sue trips over a discarded bottle and stumbles onto the grass.

Continue

©2021 THE MITRE CORPORATION. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE. DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED 20-03275-10.
Throughout the Game, the Virtual Partner Displays Varying Degrees of Emotional Intelligence

- Emotional intelligence is the ability to be aware of our emotions, understand them, control them, express them, and use them to attend to any given situation in an appropriate way.

- Emotional intelligence also increases our capacity for empathy—the ability to imagine yourself in another’s situation and to try and feel what they feel.

  - Empathy creates an emotional connection, which leads us to try to be more kind and helpful to others.
We Implemented Emotional Intelligence in a Variety of Ways within the Virtual Partner

- A text-based game loses the speech modality to express tone
- We used facial expressions for partner tone and reactions
- We used *stage direction* via *dialogue tags* for tone, context, narration
- Author can directly control facial expressions, or use algorithms to set based on state variables

Facial expressions and dialogue tags to express tone, context, and narration
The Following Example Depicts a Virtual Partner with Low Emotional Intelligence:

Stop it. We'll talk about this when I get home.

Now We're Talking!
The Following Example Depicts a Virtual Partner with High Emotional Intelligence:

- In conclusion, one can design emotional intelligence in a variety of ways, but research will be needed to verify the validity of these designs.
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- Design for approachability
- Don’t underestimate the power of beautiful design
- Personality is part of your brand and acceptance
ACQ-SYNC Innovation

JumpStart acquisition strategy and schedule, bring joy to the process, and connect teammates

Leverage the best in human-machine teaming, gamification, decision support, AI, mainstream apps
Personality Begins with a Name

Our Inspiration

Connotations
- Familiar
- Comforting
- Resonate
- Memorable
- Clever rather than back into an acronym no one can remember

ACQ-SYNC | JumpStart App
- PEG for Policy Education and Guidance
- Real Name
The Building Blocks of Clothing and Speech

Help Always, Hurt Never

Meet PEG
Al Avatar for Policy, Education, & Guidance

CLASSY
Kinder, Gentler
Humor
Trusted
Tone

Not Antagonize

Using Clothing to Indicate Goals

“Voice” and Tone of the messaging stays consistent

Short on time? No playing around! I will guide your team to the most direct path to success, so you award on time. I will keep an eye on the big picture timeline and suggest ways to keep on track. When you need to replan, I’ll look for the quickest option that gets you close without sacrificing quality.

Acquisition is a long journey. I’ll help you keep your team on pace. With my advice, you can make the plan and work the plan. With the team’s commitment, you won’t need to push out deadlines to make things work. You’ll have enough time built in to feel confident about meeting your goals.

I can point you to unique activities to help you find the right-fit strategy for your competition. You can count on me to suggest creative solutions around obstacles and help your team be more innovative! Additionally, you’ll be building your technical stature by trying new activities.

PEG as your “personal Board of Directors”

Speedy PEG

Innovative PEG

Avoid Replanning PEG
Directability in Digital Assistants: From Pro Tips to Tough Love

Directability = Ability to direct and redirect an agent’s tasks, resources, and priorities (McDermott et. al, 2018)
Back up your Digital Assistant

Exploring the cut point

• Users may or may not be predisposed to chatbots and digital assistants
• Chatbots as the first line of defense
• In our opinion, some have gone too far
• Don’t hang your Digital Assistant out to dry, back them up with live support
Ask yourself, “How badly do you want your idea to live?”

- Nancy Duarte, Resonate

Design for approachability

Don’t underestimate the power of beautiful design

Personality is part of your brand and acceptance