# Systems Governance

# An Overview on a New Trend Applied to Societal Systems



#### Mo Mansouri







Stevens Institute of Technology University of South-Eastern Norway

February 2020

#### Systems Governance: An Overview on a New Trend Applied to Societal Systems





# Governance

Boutlon and Watt Steam Engine



Flyball Governor: runs at a constant speed regardless of any changes in systems environment!









A genius Solution with lots of shortcomings in responding effectively in face of sudden fluctuations and oscillations.

Why not bringing the same concept to a new context?

The case of Governing Fake News (Ehsanfar and Mansouri, 2017)

$$p_{\nu}(M) = \begin{cases} 1-c & p_d(M) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ M \ge k \\ 1-a-c & if \ M < k \end{cases}$$

$$p_{\nu}(k) = \begin{cases} 1 - cf \\ 1 - a - cf \end{cases} \quad p_d(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ k \ge M \\ 1 - a & if \ k < M \end{cases}$$

 $P_{\nu}(k)$  $P_d(k)$ **Regular agents:** Fake news agents:  $\overline{P_{\nu}}(M)$  $\overline{P_d}(M)$ 

970 Mr. J. C. Maxwell on Governoos, Mar. 5

#### March 5, 1868

JOHN PETER GASSIOT, Eso., V.P., in the Char. In accordance with the Statutes, the names of the Candidatas for elec-

tion into the Society were read as follows : Alexander Armstrong, M.D. John Bally, Esq., Q.C. John Ball, Esq., M.A. Henry Chalton Bustine, M.D. Senarel Brown, Esp. Lieut.-Celouel John Cemerem, B.E. Charles Charbers, Esq. Frederic Le Gros Clark, Esc. Robert Bellumy Clifton, Esq., M.A. George Cribchett, Esq. Morgan William Crohon, Esq., B.A. Herbert Davies, M.D. Jeseph Barnard Davis, M.D. Henry Direks, Esc. P. Martin Dancan, M.D. William Errory Erq., M.A. Alexander Plenning, M.D. George Carey Fester, Esq., 3.A. Piter Le Neve Foster, Esq., N.A. Sir Charles Fox. Edward Hondian Greenhow, M.D. Peter Onen, Eoq. Augustus George Verson Encourt, Esq. Edward Thomas Higgins, Esq. William Charles Hosd, M.D. George Johnson, M.D. Bost-Admiral Astley Cooper Key, C.E. David Madoughlin, M.D.

St. George Mirart, Esc. Edward Chambers Micholson, Esq. Thomas Numerlay, Rec. Rear-Admiral Ressoure Occusacy, C.B. Captain Shenard Othorn, B.N., C.E. Rev. Stephen Parlinson, B.D. Junce Boll Petigsow, M.D. Charles Bland Badeliffs, M.D. Jehn Russell Reynolds, H.D. Vice-Admiral Lobert Spencer Rebinson. Edward Hurry Sirveking, M.D. Edward James Stene, Esq., M.A. Coloust Heary Edward Landor Thuller, B.A. Hev. Henry Baker Tristrum, M.A. Edward Burnet Tyler, Esq. William Sandys Wright Vany, Faq., M.A.

George Matthey, Esq.

Augustus Vollder, Esq., Fh.D. Edward Waller, Esq., M.A. George Charles Walten, M.D. J. Alfred Winklyn, Esq. Edward John Waring, M.D. Heary Wilds, Log. Gamuel Wilks, M.D. Leary Vorms, Eq.

The following communications were read :--

L." On Governors." By J. CLERK MAXWEEL, M.A., P.R.SS.I. & B. Leceived Feb. 20, 1868.

A 6 overnor is a part of a machine by means of which the relocity of the machine is kept acerly uniform, notwithstanding variations in the drivingpower or the resistance.

#### **James Clerk** Maxwell

On stability of governors using mathematical models, which lead to a the new field of **Control** Theory.

|--|

centrifugal place), and let the kinetic energy of the whole be

```
\frac{1}{2}A\frac{di}{dt}^2 + \frac{1}{2}B\frac{ds}{dt}^2
```

where B may also be a function of \$, if the centrifugal piece is complex. If we also assume that P, the potential energy of the apparatus, is a function of \$\$, then the force tending to dissistant \$\$, arising from the action of gravity, springs, &c., will be de

The whole energy, kisete and potential, is

Differentiating with respect to t, we find

 $\frac{d\phi}{dt} \Big( \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\Lambda}{d\phi} \frac{\overline{d\phi}^2}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{dB}{d\phi} \frac{\overline{d\phi}^2}{dt} + \frac{dP}{d\phi} \Big) + \Lambda \frac{d\phi}{dt} \frac{d\Phi}{dt^2} + B \frac{d\mu}{dt} \frac{d\Phi\phi}{dt^2}$ . . (3)  $=\!\mathrm{L}_{d\ell}^{d\theta}\!\!=\!\!\frac{d\theta}{d\ell}\!\!\left(\!\frac{d\Lambda}{d\phi}\,\frac{d\theta}{d\ell}\,\frac{d\theta}{d\ell}\!+\!\Lambda\,\frac{d^2\theta}{d\ell}\!\right)\!\!.$ 

whence we have, by eliminating L,

1868.]

 $\frac{d}{dt}\left(B\frac{d\phi}{dt}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d\lambda}{d\phi}\frac{\overline{d\theta}^{-1}}{dt} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{dB}{d\phi}\frac{\overline{d\phi}^{2}}{dt} - \frac{dP}{d\phi}, \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad (4)$ 

The first two terms on the right-hand sile indicate a force tending to increase o, depending on the squares of the velocities of the main shaft and of the centrifugal piece. The force indicated by these terms may be called the centrifugal force.

If the apparatus is so arranged that

 $P = \frac{1}{2} A \omega^3 + somet.$  (5) where wis a constant velocity, the equation becomes

- $\frac{d}{dt} \left( \mathbf{B} \frac{d\phi}{dt} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\Lambda}{\phi\phi} \left( \frac{dt}{dt} \right)^2 \mathbf{s}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{dB}{d\phi} \frac{dq}{dt}$
- In this case the value of  $\phi$  cannot remain constant unless the angular relocky is equal to w.

A shaft with a centrifugal piece arranged on this principle has only one velocity of rotation without disturbance. If there be a small disturbance, the equations for the disturbances # and \$ may be written

 $\Lambda \frac{d^2 \ell}{d \theta} + \frac{d \Lambda}{d \mu} = \frac{d \phi}{d \theta} = L_1 + \dots + \dots + (i)$  $B\frac{d^2q}{dt} - \frac{d\Lambda}{dt} = 0$ . . . . . . . . . (8) The period of such small disturbances is and (AR)-i revolutions of the 278 Mr. J. C. Maxwell on Governors, [Mar. 5, shaft. They will neither increase nor diminish if there are no other terms in the equations. To convert this apparatus into a governor, let us assume viscosities X and Y in the motions of the main shaft and the centrifugal piece, and a resistance Gs applied to the main shaft. Putting  $\frac{d\Lambda}{d\phi} = X$ , the equations become  $\Lambda \frac{d^{\prime}\theta}{da} + X \frac{di}{dt} + K \frac{d\phi}{dt} + 6\phi = L_{s} + ... + (0)$  $B\frac{d^{2}b}{d^{2}}+\Upsilon\frac{db}{d^{2}}-K\frac{db}{d^{2}}=0, \dots, (10)$ The condition of stability of the motion indicated by these equations is

that all the possible roots, or parts of roots, of the cubic equation

 $ABn^{i}+(AY+BX)n^{i}+(XY+K^{*})n+GK=0$  . . . (11) shall be negative ; and this condition is

$$\left(\frac{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{A}} + \frac{\mathbf{Y}}{\mathbf{E}}\right) (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{E}^{i}) > \mathbf{GE}.$$
 (12)

Combination of Governora .- If the break of Thomson's povernor is appied to a moveable wheel, as in Jenkin's governor, and if this wheel works a steam-valve, or a more powerful break, we have to consider the motion of three pieces. Without entering into the calculation of the general equations of motion of these pieces, we may confine purseives to the case of small disturbances, and write the equations

$$\begin{array}{l} \Lambda \frac{d^{2}\theta}{dt^{2}} + \Sigma \frac{d\theta}{dt} + K \frac{dq}{dt} + \Gamma \phi + J \psi = P - B_{s} \\ B \frac{d^{2}\phi}{dt^{2}} + \Sigma \frac{d\phi}{dt} - K \frac{d\theta}{dt} = -0, \\ C \frac{d^{2}\phi}{dt^{2}} + \Sigma \frac{d\psi}{dt} - T \phi = -0, \end{array}$$
(13)

where 1, 4, \$ are the angles of disturbance of the main shaft, the centrifegal arm, and the moviable wheel suspectively, A, B, C their moments of inentia, X, Y, 2 the viscosity of their connexions, K is what was for. merry denoted by  $\frac{d'A}{d\varphi}\omega$ , and T and J are the powers of Thomson's and

Jenkin's breaks respectively.



The Reyal Society is collaborating with JSTOPito slightes, prevenue, and extend an edings of the No;al/Desley of Londor

277 Now, let A be a function of mother variable \$\$ (the livergence of the

# Governance of Societal Systems

#### Implementation of governing policies through:



#### Understanding the Nature of the Beast



# Trends in Data Science Approach to Governance

- High frequency data analytics
   Financial systems
- Discovering patterns of behavior

   Topological analysis: Netflix
   Relational analysis: Target
- Users profiling
  - Marketing
  - Politics
  - Policymaking
  - Designing products and markets
- Conceptual analytics
  - Influencing behavior: Twitter
  - Text/Voice/Pattern analysis: NSA



# Cities as Complex Systems

Holistic approach

Equilibrium and dynamics

Patterns and processes

Paradoxes and conflicts

Behavioral patterns

**Collective actions** 

Agency and emergency

Connectivity and diversity

Autonomy and belonging

Competition and collaboration

Accessibility and segregation

## Cities as a Platform for Transactions



# Change is necessary for survival!

- Technological advancements
  - $\circ$  Transportation
  - $\circ$  Healthcare
  - Energy
  - Finance
- Disasters
- Maintenance
- Environmental concerns

#### Sustainability Innovation

#### Resilience



# Influencing Behavior: Transportation Systems Successful cases around the world

- Trans Millennia project in Bogota to incentivize bus riders
  - Flexible development and protection of public interest
- Bikers' lane project in Bogota

   Allocating budget based on public need
- Sunday project in Bogota
  - Closing down a part of city to traffic for encouragement of pedestrians
- Copenhagen protective plan for bikers
  - 37% of all work related commutes are on bikes and the number of bikers has doubled in 10 years (by 2010)
- Paying attention to cognitive sciences
  - Horizontal sight senses
  - $\circ$  Feeling of belonging
  - $\circ$  Sensations of public joy







#### Influencing Behavior: Energy Systems Case of Tidy St., Brighton

- Using a non-technological approach:
  - Self-reporting on the site
  - Street visualization
  - Door-to-door training
- Application of simple principles:
  - Communal engagement
  - Peer pressure as an incentive force
  - Public education on consumption
- Emergence of technology:
   Using sensors and digital visualization
- Study patterns of social behavior:
   Influence the collective action
  - Engagement and interaction



#### Sensing and Action: Smart City Case of Rio De Janeiro

- Human-centric city sensing:
  - Cameras and sensors all over the city
  - Situation room with relevant representatives
  - Crisis management
  - Social assistance
  - Security and safety
  - Utility services monitoring
  - Infrastructural services monitoring
  - Integrated and real-time operation



# Hoboken: A Urban Governing Lab

- Hoboken is the perfect candidate to experiment with a full-scale smart city implementation across all aspects of urban living.
- The strong institutional support of the City government is key to the success of the project.
- First project of this scope in the U.S.





#### Fact Sheet

- Population (2011): 50,060.
- % change since 2000: +29.8%
- Median resident age: 31.2 years
- Median household income (2009): \$112,174
- Median NJ household income (2009): \$68,342
- Per capita income (2009): \$75,941
- Median home value (2009): \$579,045
- Cost of Living Index: 132



# Sensing, Analyzing, and Learning













# **Smart Cities App**

Open Source Platform with more than 35 Smart City functionalities for use and customization by any city





- Air Quality, Noise and GHG Emissions
- Flooding data
- Abnormal Activity
- Parking and accident data
- Fire and Police department reports
- Emergency planning data
- Flooding data (historical)
- Energy consumption data
- Health data
- Urban infrastructure maintenance data
- Startup and commercial activity data

Individual Data

App

# Sensors

#### **Real-time Data**

Archival

Historical Data

#### Multi-layered Governing Framework for Urban Systems Dynamics and Hierarchical Structure







#### **Simulation Results**

Pure shortest-path route choices within ex-ante ATIS may lead to:

- 1. Decreased levels of trust in ATIS predictions
- 2. Increased delays for all drivers



#### Antarctica: A Different Kind of Complexity



# **Virtual Journey**



Pegesus Surface (053) RSP Bate 2007-2005







#### Simulation Results and Dashboard



#### Smart City Lab @ Stevens

Urban visualization platform and command center



#### Influencing Human Behavior in Social Networks



# NYC Hashtags Analysis

The graph is for the "nycgov", which is the official account of NYC municipality.



- This is a graph of hashtag (keyword) used in reference to an official account.
- These hashtags represent the general ideas in users' minds about the important issues.

- In this graph the hashtags associated (used in the same tweet) with Clinton are listed.
- Using the hashtags in the same tweet indicates a relation between hashtags (keywords).



# Following specific topic 2015

Top right: **Wants to be influenced** (high in degree) – Follower of lots of similar hashtags.

#### Top Left: Consensus Leader

(high out degree) – Is followed by many on similar hashtags.

Bottom Right: **Trusted Source** – has high number of retweets with respect to the same hashtags.



#### Information Governance Case of Fake News



#### Total Facebook Engagements for Top 20 Election Stories



(Ehsanfar and Mansouri, 2017)

ENGAGEMENT REFERS TO THE TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES, REACTIONS, AND COMMENTS FOR A PIECE OF CONTENT ON FACEBOOK SOURCE: FACEBOOK DATA VIA BUZZSUMO

# Incentivizing Dissemination of Truth in Social Networks



- Truth vs Fake news in social networks
- Individual cost of authentication collective benefits of dominance
- Collective cost and individual benefits of failure
- Public good and volunteering
  - Game theoretic model
  - Volunteer's dilemma
- Model equilibriums
- Results

 $p_{\nu}(M) = \begin{cases} 1-c & p_{d}(M) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ M \ge k \\ 1-a-c & if \ M < k \end{cases}$   $p_{\nu}(k) = \begin{cases} 1-cf & p_{d}(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ k \ge M \\ 1-a-cf & p_{d}(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ k \ge M \\ 1-a & if \ k < M \end{cases}$ Regular agents:  $\overline{P_{\nu}}(k) \quad \overline{P_{d}}(k)$ Fake news agents:  $\overline{P_{\nu}}(M) \quad \overline{P_{d}}(M)$ 

# Mathematical Models

**Regular Users** 

$$\overline{P_{v}}(k) = \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(1-c)} \\ + \left[1 - \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}}\right](1-c-a) \\ + \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{N})} \end{array} \qquad \overline{P_{d}}(k) = \sum_{M=k}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}} \\ + \left[1 - \sum_{M=k}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{N})} \right] \\ - \frac{\sigma}{N} * \sum_{M=k}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}} \\ + \left[1 - \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{N})} \right] \\ - \frac{\sigma}{N} * \sum_{M=k}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}} \\ + \left[1 - \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{N})} \right] \\ - \frac{\sigma}{N} + \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{N})} \\ - \frac{\sigma}{N} + \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{N})} \\ - \frac{\sigma}{N} + \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{N})} \\ - \frac{\sigma}{N} + \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{N})} \\ - \frac{\sigma}{N} + \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{N})} \\ - \frac{\sigma}{N} + \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{N})} \\ - \frac{\sigma}{N} + \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{N})} \\ - \frac{\sigma}{N} + \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{N})} \\ - \frac{\sigma}{N} + \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{N})} \\ - \frac{\sigma}{N} + \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{N})} \\ - \frac{\sigma}{N} + \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{N})} \\ - \frac{\sigma}{N} + \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{N})} \\ - \frac{\sigma}{N} + \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{N})} \\ - \frac{\sigma}{N} + \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{N})} \\ - \frac{\sigma}{N} + \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{M+1})} \\ - \frac{\sigma}{N} + \sum_{M=k-1}^{N-1} {\binom{N-1}{M} x^{M}(1-x)^{N-M-1}(\frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{M+1} + \frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{M+1} - \frac{\sigma}{M$$

"Fake news" Users

$$\overline{P_{\nu}}(M) = \sum_{k=M-1}^{F-1} {\binom{F-1}{k} x^{k} (1-x)^{F-k-1} (1-cf)} \\ + [1 - \sum_{k=M-1}^{F-1} {\binom{F-1}{k} x^{k} (1-x)^{F-k-1} (1-cf-a)} \\ \overline{P_{d}}(M) = \sum_{k=M}^{F-1} {\binom{F-1}{k} x^{k} (1-x)^{F-k-1} (1-cf-a)} \\ + [1 - \sum_{k=M}^{F-1} {\binom{F-1}{k} x^{k} (1-x)^{F-k-1} (1-cf-a)} \\ \overline{P_{d}}(M) = \sum_{k=M}^{F-1} {\binom{F-1}{k} x^{k} (1-x)^{F-k-1} (1-cf-a)}$$

Fake news expected payoff:

$$\overline{P_v} = \sum_{M=0}^{F} {N \choose M} p^{*M} (1-p^*)^{F-M} \overline{P_v}(M)$$
$$\overline{P_d} = \sum_{M=0}^{F} {N \choose M} p^{*M} (1-p^*)^{F-M} \overline{P_d}(M)$$

- Maximum volunteering probability among regular users
- Lowering potential net payoff by the primary equilibrium
- Elastic stable secondary equilibrium points
- Elastic secondary equilibrium range
- Maximum group size for feasible volunteering
- Two sets of equilibrium points
- Inelastic equal equilibrium points
- Elastic equilibrium range
  - Minimum reward for feasible volunteering
  - Two sets of equilibrium points
  - Elastic stable equilibrium points
  - Inelastic equilibrium range





#### Influential Users and Clustering Content



Frequency Matrix	Popu	larity Matrix	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{ c c c }\hline p_{121} \\ p_{131} \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} p_{12t} & p_{12T} \\ p_{13t} & p_{13T} \end{array}$	$\mu_{12}, \sigma_{12} \\ \mu_{13}, \sigma_{13}$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Eq. 2 : $p_{ij1}$ : $p_{(N-2)N1}$ $p_{(N-1)N1}$	$\begin{array}{c c} \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline p_{ijt} & \cdots & p_{ijT} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline p_{(N-2)Nt} \\ p_{(N-1)Nt} & p_{(N-1)NT} \\ \end{array}$	$ \mu_{ij}, \sigma_{ij} $ $ \mu_{(N-2)N}, \sigma_{(N-2)N} $ $ \mu_{(N-1)N}, \sigma_{(N-1)N} $
	L	Burstiness (Eq. 3)	,
Network Model of Terms			
Popularity Matrix			
Burstiness Matrix			
Relevance Adjace	ency Matrix		
Content Clus	ters		

# Data Driven Models

#### Popularity vs Burstiness Time Frame Granularity



#### Temporal Map of Clustered Topics

# Data Visualization Approach

#### IDI

MDI

• Lower contentTF

• Longer content TF

• Subjects: Political

• Consistent

- Dynamic
- Subjects: Social/Economical/ Life Style



11k-14 112-141

Trent month

ALINAMA CO

MDI

• Higher-level

connection

connection

• Temporal:

• Hierarchal:

• Stronger

NAX AN

#### Networked Model of Clusters



### Theoretical Approach: *Time to Dominance*

- Mathematical Model
- Effects of: *Network History External Influence*

 $U(i, j, t) = \alpha U_N + \beta U_E + \gamma U_H$  $U_N(i, j, t): Ratio of agent j friends who chose option i$  $U_E(i, j, t): General ratio of agents who chose option i$  $U_H(i, j, t): Ratio of how many times agent j chose option i in the past$ 

#### Network vs. History

- Social Networks
- Uber

#### Network vs. External Influence

- VHS vs Betamax
- Wireless family plans
- Game consoles
- Back lives matter







#### Effect of Initial Conditions (Diversity)

Partisanship or Party Ratio:

$$\Phi = \max_{i} \left( U_N(i, j, t) \right)$$

Highest ratio of an option that is chosen by agent j friends.

- Interracial marriage (1880-1960) and gay marriage (1990-2010)



(Vesaghi and Mansouri, 2020)

# Effect of Different Events (Fortification)

- Gridlock in politics (One Castle)
- Climate change debate (Two Castle)
- Women right to vote (One Castle)
- Comparison of Network effect vs External effect vs History effect:
- 1- The results are consistent over different network structures (Node, edge, ...)
- 2- Increasing the network effect increases the consensus time.
- 3- Increasing the history effect increases the consensus time.
- 4- Decreasing diversity increases the consensus time.
- 5- Forming Castles increases the probability of majority to dominate.



(Vesaghi and Mansouri, 2020)

# The Emotional Factor in the Post-Truth Era





#### The dilemma of being true

(Borrelli et al, 2020)

# Thank you for your attention!