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Multiagent systems (MAS): typical scenarios

Physically decoupled MAS

Agent model: \[ \dot{x}_i(t) = A x_i(t) + B u_i(t) \]

where \( i \in \{1,2,...,N\} \).

Leaderless consensus problem:
\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} (x_i(t) - x_j(t)) = 0 \quad \forall i,j \in \{1,2,...,N\} \]

Leader-follower consensus problem:
\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} x_i(t) = x_0(t) \quad \forall i,j \in \{1,2,...,N\} \]

Interconnected MAS

Agent model: \[ \dot{x}_i = A x_i + B_m u_i + B_m f_i(z_i) + B_u g_i(y_i) \]

(generally nonlinear dynamics) where
\[ z_i = C_z \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i^{az}} a_{ij}^{az} x_i \rightarrow \text{matched scenario, } G_{az} \]
\[ y_i = C_y \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i^{ay}} a_{ij}^{ay} x_i \rightarrow \text{unmatched scenario, } G_{ay} \]
Problem Statement: Focus of this work

**Linear Physically Interconnected MAS**
- Stabilization objective
  \[ x_i(t) \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\} \]
- Agent model:
  \[ \dot{x}_i = A x_i + B u_i + B C_i \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i^a} a_{ij} x_j \]
- **Assumptions** over the agent layer:
  1. \((A, B)\) is stabilizable & known
  2. \(B\) is full column rank
  3. Agent physical interconnection layer \(\mathcal{G}_a (\mathcal{N}_i^a \text{ and } a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R})\) is **known** and equal to \(\mathcal{G}_d\) (data-assisted communication topology).
  4. \(\mathcal{N}_i^a\) may include self-loops; \(a_{ij}\) can be + or –
  5. \(C_i\) are completely **unknown**

**Three-layer (closed-loop) interconnected MAS**

Cooperation topology digraph \(\mathcal{G}_c\): modified Laplacian matrix \(\mathcal{H}_c = L_c + S_c\) where \(S_c = \text{diag}\{s_i^c\}\), \(s_i^c > 0\) (selfloop), otherwise \(s_i^c = 0\).
Problem: Distributed Stabilization w/ Data-assisted Decoupling

Three-layer (closed-loop) interconnected MAS

Distributed Stabilization with Data-Assisted Decoupling

- Agent model:
  \[ \dot{x}_i = Ax_i + Bu_i + BC_i \sum_{j \in N_i^a} a_{ij}^a x_i \quad \text{or} \]
  \[ \dot{x}_i(t) = A x_i(t) + Bu_i(t) + B \sum_{j \in N_i^a} a_{ij}^a (I_n u \otimes x_j^T(t)) \theta_i^* \]
  \[ \theta_i^* = vec(C_i^T) : \text{Vector of unknown constants (interconnection strengths)} \]

- A two-component distributed stabilization protocol:
  \[ u_i(t) = u_{ci}(t) + u_{di}(t) \]

- Cooperation protocol (fixed-gain):
  \[ u_{ci}(t) = K \left( \sum_{j \in N_i^c} a_{ij}^c (x_i(t) - x_j(t)) + s_i^c x_i(t) \right) \quad \forall i \]

- Data assisted decoupling protocol:
  \[ u_{di}(t) = - \sum_{j \in N_i^a} a_{ij}^a (I_n u \otimes x_j^T(t)) \hat{\theta}_i(t) \quad \forall i \in S_a \]

\( S_a \): Set of nodes affected by some neighbor agents’ dynamics over the agent layer

At least one self-loop assumed to exist in each connected component over \( G_c \)
Problem: Distributed Stabilization w/ Data-assisted Decoupling

Distributed Stabilization with Adaptive Decoupling

- Agent model:
  \[
  \dot{x}_i(t) = A x_i(t) + B u_i(t) + B \sum_{j \in N_i} a^a_{ij} (I_{n_u} \otimes x_j^T(t)) \theta_i^*
  \]

  \[
  \theta_i^* = \text{vec}(C_i^T) : \text{Vector of unknown constants}
  \]

- A two-component distributed stabilization protocol:
  \[
  u_i(t) = u_{ci}(t) + u_{di}(t)
  \]

- Cooperation protocol:
  \[
  u_{ci}(t) = K \left( \sum_{j \in N_i^c} a^c_{ij} (x_i(t) - x_j(t)) + s_i^c x_i(t) \right)
  \]

- Adaptive decoupling protocol:
  \[
  u_{di}(t) = - \sum_{j \in N_i^a} a^a_{ij} (I_{n_u} \otimes x_j^T(t)) \hat{\theta}_i(t)
  \]

- Main control objective:
  \[
  \lim_{t \to \infty} x_i(t) = 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}
  \]

- Estimation objective:
  \[
  \lim_{t \to \infty} \hat{\theta}_i(t) = \theta_i^* \quad \forall i \in S_{ai}
  \]

- PE condition?
  \[
  \int_t^{t+T_j} x_{fj}(\tau)x_{fj}^T(\tau) d\tau \geq \gamma_j I_{n_x} > 0 \quad \forall x_{fj}(t) \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \forall t \geq 0
  \]

  to be satisfied by each neighbor \( j \in N_i^a \).
Definition 1: Collective FE Condition

- Standard condition in adaptive control for parameter convergence: PE condition
  \[ \int_t^{t+T_j} x_{f_j}(\tau)x_{f_j}(\tau)^T d\tau \geq \gamma_j I_{n_x} > 0 \quad \forall x_{f_j}(t) \neq 0 \text{ and } \forall t \geq 0 \]
  to be satisfied by each neighbor \( j \in N_i^a \).

- Proposed Collective Finite Excitation (cFE):
  \[ \sum_{l=1}^{n_{Di}} \int_{t_s}^{t_e} \sum_{j \in N_i^a} a_{ij}^a x_j(\tau) d\tau \int_{t_s}^{t_e} \sum_{j \in N_i^a} a_{ij}^a x_j^T(\tau) d\tau \geq \gamma_i I_{n_x} > 0 \]
  to be satisfied by a collection of all neighbors \( j \in N_i^a \) for each agent \( i \) whose dynamics are affected by other neighbors.

\( n_{Di} \) = number of non-overlapping, possibly non-contiguous time intervals over which data is collected by agent \( i \).

Instead of the restrictive PE, we make the cFE assumption in this work.
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Main: Preliminary Steps

- Closed-loop agent dynamics:

\[
\dot{x}_i = Ax_i + B \sum_{j \in N_i^a} (I_{n_u} \otimes x_j^T) \theta_i^* + BK \left( \sum_{j \in N_i^c} a_{ij}^c (x_i - x_j) + s_i^c x_i \right) - B \sum_{j \in N_i^a} (I_{n_u} \otimes x_j^T) \tilde{\theta}_i
\]

Or

\[
\dot{x}_i = Ax_i + BK \left( \sum_{j \in N_i^c} a_{ij}^c (x_i - x_j) + s_i^c x_i \right) - B \sum_{j \in N_i^a} (I_{n_u} \otimes x_j^T) \tilde{\theta}_i
\]

where \( \tilde{\theta}_i(t) = \hat{\theta}_i(t) - \theta_i^* \).
Main: Preliminary Steps

The fixed part of the dynamics

$$\dot{x}_i = Ax_i + BK \left( \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i^c} a_{ij}^c (x_i - x_j) + s_i^c x_i \right) - B \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i^a} (I_{n_u} \otimes x_j^T) \tilde{\theta}_i$$

Is rewritten as

$$\dot{x}_i = \overbrace{Ax_i(t) + \kappa B v_i(t)}^{\text{networked nominal dynamics}} + BK \left( \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i^c} a_{ij}^c (x_i(t) - x_j(t)) + \left( s_i^c - \kappa \right) x_i(t) \right)$$

$$v_i = K x_i$$ - virtual decoupled cooperation signal

$$\kappa$$ - positive real scalar to be designed
Main: Preliminary Steps

Fact 1:

There is a positive definite matrix $\Delta=\text{diag}\{\delta_i\}$ such that $\Delta \mathcal{H}_c + \mathcal{H}_c^T \Delta \succeq 0$ where $\delta_i = \frac{\delta_i^n}{\delta_i^d} > 0$ with $\text{col}\{\delta_i^m\} = (\mathcal{H}_c^{-1})^T 1_N$ and $\text{col}\{\delta_i^d\} = \mathcal{H}_c^{-1} 1_N$. 
Main: Fixed Gain Cooperation Protocol

- Consider the following networked nominal dynamics:
  \[ \dot{x}_i' = Ax_i' + \kappa B v_i' \]
  \( \kappa > 0 \): A design scalar.

- Let \( W_x, W_v > 0 \) be two design weighting matrices (arbitrary).

**Design Procedure:**

- Choose a non-symmetric control topology with \( H_c \) as its modified Laplacian matrix. Choose \( \kappa > 0 \) such that \( \Delta H_c + H_c^T \Delta \geq 2\kappa \Delta \).

- Find the solution \( v_i' = Kx_i' \) of the following LQR problem. Then, \( K \) is a candidate stabilization gain to be used in the cooperation protocol.

\[ V(x_i'(0)) = \min_{v_i' \in U_{vi}} \int_0^\infty (x_i'^T W_x x_i' + v_i'^T W_v v_i') d\tau \]

\[ s.t. \quad \dot{x}_i' = Ax_i' + \kappa B v_i' \]
The stabilization gain is $K = -\kappa W_v^{-1}B^T P$ where $P$ solves the Algebraic Riccati Equation (ARE):

$$A^T P + PA + W_x - \kappa^2 PBW_v^{-1}B^T P = 0$$

(The cooperation protocol is given by $u_{ci} = K \left( \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} c_{ij} (x_i - x_j) + s_i^c x_i \right)$.)

The agent model is $\dot{x}_i = A x_i + Bu_i + B C_i \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} a_{ij}^a x_j$.

$W_x, W_v, > 0$ are two completely arbitrary design weighting matrices, so the tuning rules of thumb can be useful.
Main: Data-assisted Decoupling Protocol

- Proposed distributed adaptation rule:

\[ \dot{\theta}_i = \Gamma_i \left( R_i^T(t) P x_i - \gamma_{Di} \left( D_i(t_{n_{Di}}) \dot{\theta}_i(t) - \sum_{l=1}^{n_{D_i}} R_{gi}(t_l) B^T \Delta_{gi}(t_l) \right) \right) \]

Where \( R_i = \sum_{j \in N_i} a_{ij}^q (I_{n_u} \otimes x_j^T(t)) \) and \( \Gamma_i, \gamma_{Di} \) are design parameters (p.d. matrices and positive scalars, resp.). \( t_{n_{Di}} \) = time associated with the sample \( n_{Di} \).

Integration of the agent dynamics yields

\[ \Delta_{gi}(t) := x_i(t) - x_i(t - \delta t_i) - s_{gi}(t) = BR_{gi}(t) \theta_i^* \]

Where

\[ s_{gi}(t) = A x_{gi}(t) + B u_{gi}(t) \]

\[ x_{gi}(t) = \int_{\max(t-\delta t_i,0)}^{t} x_i(\tau) d\tau \text{ and } u_{gi}(t) = \int_{\max(t-\delta t_i,0)}^{t} u_i(\tau) d\tau. \]

Data collection matrices: \( D_i(n_{Di}) = \sum_{l=1}^{n_{D_i}} R_{gi}(t_l) B^T B R_{gi}(t_l) \) are updated only at ‘acceptable’ excitation sample times defined as

\[ \lambda_{\min}(D_i(n_{Di})) > \lambda_{\min}(D_i(n_{Di} - 1)) \]

(The decoupling protocol is given by \( u_{di}(t) = - \sum_{j \in N_i} a_{ij}^q (I_{n_u} \otimes x_j^T(t)) \dot{\theta}_i(t) \) )
Main: Data-assisted Decoupling Protocol

- **Assumption 1**
  For each agent $i \in S_a$, there exists a finite $n_{Di}$ such that the collective FE condition in Definition 1 is gradually satisfied over a finite time interval $[t_{si}^{start}, t_{si}]$.

- **Properties**
  - The data collection matrix $D_i(n_{Di}) = \sum_{l=1}^{n_{Di}} R_{gi}(t_l)B^TR_{gi}(t_l)$ satisfies, for each $i \in S_a$:
    - 1) $D_i(n_{Di}) \succeq 0$ for $\forall t \geq 0$,
    - 2) $D_i(n_{Di}) > 0$ for all $t \geq t_{si}$ where $t_{si} > \delta t_i$
    - 3) $\lambda_{min}(D_i(n_i')) > \lambda_{min}(D_i(n_{Di}))$ using each new sample $n_i' > n_{Di}$
Main: Data-assisted Decoupling Protocol

Aggregated cooperation signal \( u_c = (\mathcal{H}_c \otimes K) x \)

leads to the representation of a 3-layer closed loop interconnected MAS:

\[
\dot{x} = \bar{A}x + \kappa \bar{B}\nu + \kappa \bar{B}\bar{E}_c \nu + \bar{B}u_d + \bar{B}\bar{C}\bar{A}_a x
\]

Where

\[
\bar{E}_c = \left( \frac{\mathcal{H}_c}{\kappa} - I_N \right) \otimes I_{n_u}, \quad \bar{A}_a = A_a \otimes I_{n_x}, \quad \bar{C} = \text{diag} \{ C_i \}
\]

We define

\[
\Omega_b(t) = x^T(t)\bar{P}x(t) + \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min} (\Gamma)} \| \tilde{\theta}(t) \|^2
\]

\[
\rho_{\omega} = \min \left( \frac{\lambda_{\min} (W_{xK})}{\lambda_{\max} (P)}, 2\gamma_D^{\min} \lambda_{\min} (\Gamma) \lambda_{\min} (D(n_D)) \right)
\]

Where \( W_{xK} = W_x + K^T W_v K \succ 0, \ \gamma_D^{\min} = \min_i \{ \gamma_{D_i} \}, \ \forall i \in S_a \)
Main: Data-assisted Decoupling Protocol

- **Theorem 1:** In the proposed multilayer interconnected MAS under the data assisted stabilization protocol, the following is guaranteed:
  1. All trajectories $x_i(t)$ and $\tilde{\theta}_i(t)$ are bounded $\forall t \geq 0$,
  2. All trajectories $x_i(t)$ and $\tilde{\theta}_i(t)$ exponentially converge to the origin for $t \geq t_s = \max_i \{t_{si}\}$ where $t_{si}$ defined in Assumption 1,
  3. The upper bounds on all trajectories are given by:

\[
\|x_i(t)\| \leq \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}(P)} \Omega_b(0)}, & \forall 0 \leq t < t_s \\
\sqrt{\frac{\exp^{-\rho_\omega(t-t_s)}}{\lambda_{\min}(P)} \Omega_b(t_s)}, & \forall t \geq t_s 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\|\tilde{\theta}_i(t)\| \leq \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{\max}(\Gamma) \Omega_b(0)}{\lambda_{\max}(\Gamma) \exp^{-\rho_\omega(t-t_s)} \Omega_b(t_s)}}, & \forall 0 \leq t < t_s \\
\sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(\Gamma) \exp^{-\rho_\omega(t-t_s)} \Omega_b(t_s)}, & \forall t \geq t_s 
\end{cases}
\]
Proof makes use of the Lyapunov function

$$\Omega(x, \tilde{\theta}) = V(x) + \sum_{i \in S_a} \tilde{\theta}_i^T \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta}_i > 0, \quad V(x) = x^T \bar{P} x$$

leading to

$$\dot{\Omega} \leq -x^T W_{xK} x - 2 \sum_{i \in S_a} \gamma_{Di} \tilde{\theta}_i^T D_i \left( t_{nDi} \right) \tilde{\theta}_i \leq 0$$

Hence, all states and parameter estimates are bounded at all times, even when cFE is not satisfied.
• When \( t \geq t_s \)

\[
\dot{\Omega} \leq -\frac{\lambda_{\min}(W_{xK})}{\lambda_{\max}(P)} V(x) - 2\alpha \sum_{i \in S_a} \tilde{\theta}_i^T \Gamma^{-1} \tilde{\theta}_i
\]

Where \( \alpha = \gamma_d^{\min} \lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) \lambda_{\min}(D(n_D)) > 0 \).

Using \( \rho_{\omega} = \min \left( \frac{\lambda_{\min}(W_{xK})}{\lambda_{\max}(P)}, 2\gamma_d^{\min} \lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) \lambda_{\min}(D(n_D)) \right) \)

We find \( \dot{\Omega} \leq -\rho_{\omega} \Omega \) thus

\[
\Omega(x(t), \tilde{\theta}(t)) \leq e^{-\rho_{\omega}(t-t_s)} \Omega(x(t_s), \tilde{\theta}(t_s))
\]
\[\|x(t)\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{min}}(P)} \left( x^T(0) \tilde{P} x(0) + \frac{\|\tilde{\theta}(0)\|^2}{\lambda_{\text{min}}(\Gamma)} \right)} \]

\[\|\tilde{\theta}(t)\| \leq \sqrt{\lambda_{\text{max}}(\Gamma) \left( x^T(0) \tilde{P} x(0) + \frac{\|\tilde{\theta}(0)\|^2}{\lambda_{\text{min}}(\Gamma)} \right)} \]

- Over \(0 \leq t < t_s\) we find

- And for \(t \geq t_s\):

\[\|x(t)\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{\exp^{-\rho_\omega(t-t_s)}}{\lambda_{\text{min}}(P)} \left( x^T(t_s) \tilde{P} x(t_s) + \frac{\|\tilde{\theta}(t_s)\|^2}{\lambda_{\text{min}}(\Gamma)} \right)} \]

\[\|\tilde{\theta}(t)\| \leq \sqrt{\lambda_{\text{max}}(\Gamma) \exp^{-\rho_\omega(t-t_s)} \left( x^T(t_s) \tilde{P} x(t_s) + \frac{\|\tilde{\theta}(t_s)\|^2}{\lambda_{\text{min}}(\Gamma)} \right)} \]

(detailed proof in the paper)
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Summary

- We have proposed a mixed graph, optimal, and adaptive control theoretic formulation.
- We build a two-layer control protocol for the distributed stabilization and data-assisted adaptive decoupling of interconnected multiagent systems.
- The proposed approach captures the architectural aspect of cyber-physical systems with separate agent (physical) and control (cyber) layers.
- Compared to our previous publications, the cooperation layer topology can be chosen nonsymmetric and completely independent of the agent- and data-assisted decoupling layers.
- A new collective finite excitation condition relaxes the PE condition.
- Exponential stability of all state trajectories and exponential convergence of all interconnection matrices estimates are established.
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