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Context

- Power companies need to predict monthly of their consumers' energy consumption;
- In practice, each company defines its criteria for checking for inconsistencies in reading data;
- Forecast based on average consumption;
- Fixed percentages for defining a minimum and maximum consumption range;
Motivation

Predicting consumption:
- It is relatively simple when its consumers' consumption history exists.
- It is difficult or impractical for consumers with no consumption history.
  - Example: New consumer installation or a short history of power consumption.
Purpose

Prediction proposed method using neighborhood energy consumption information to prediction of consumers without consumption history.
Proposed Method

1. Data acquisition
2. Preprocessing
3. Find k nearest neighbors
4. Consumption forecast for neighbors
5. Definition of consumption the short series
6. Data validation

Fig. 1. Steps of the proposed method
Data Acquisition

- Power consumption data from 2,316,760 active customers
  - monthly consumption history
  - from January 2017 to April 2019
  - Clients are organized into classes and subclasses, according to ANEEL Normative Resolution.

- Clientes classes:
  - Residential, Industrial, Commercial, Public service, Self-Consumption, Rural, Government and Lighting public
(2) Preprocessing

- The Database has customers with short series
  - 1 to 4 months (95,052).
- Disregarded series that:
  - (1) have less than threes neighbor installations;
  - (2) cases where clients do not have valid coordinates, which makes it impossible to identify their location and distance to their neighbors;
  - (3) cases in which the series do not have consumption registered in the reference month of this study;
(3) Finding K-Nearest Neighbor

- k-nearest neighbors are defined based on the customer's geographic coordinates.
(4) Consumption forecast for neighbors

- Minimum and maximum prediction intervals were performed for the reference month of June 2018;

- Consumption was estimated using statistical methods:
  - STL → Better in the Industrial and Self-consumption class;
  - ARIMA → Better in the Public Lighting and Public Power class
  - BAQR → Better in the: Residential, Rural, Public Service, and Commercial classes.
(5) Definition of consumption of the short series

The proposed method considers four scenarios for consumption estimation and prediction interval.

- Scenario 1: for customers without history
- Scenario 2: Customers who have one consumption months
- Scenario 3: Customers who have two consumption months
- Scenario 4: Customers who have three ou four consumption months
(5) Definition of consumption of the short series

 Scenario 1: for customers without history

(1) Find k nearest neighbors

(2) Calculate the mean or median of neighborhood predictions

\[
\text{Mean/Median of neighbors} = \text{Prediction}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Max} & \quad \text{Min}
\end{align*}
\]
(5) Definition of consumption of the short series

→ Scenario 2: Customers who have one consumption months
  ▶ Repeats the previous month's consumption for the prediction consumption.

→ Scenario 3: Customers who have two consumption months
  ▶ Calculates the mean consumption of previous months to predict consumption.

→ Scenario 4: Customers who have three ou four consumption months
  ▶ Calculates median consumption of previews months to predict consumption.
Validation of Results

\[ MAPE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| y_i - \hat{y}_i \right| \frac{y_i}{y_i} \]

\[ sMAPE = \frac{100\%}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|\hat{y}_t - y_t|}{|\hat{y}_t| + |y_t|} \]

\[ MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\hat{y}_i - y_i| \]
Results and Discussion

- Tests were performed based on a mildly populated municipality in Maranhão, Brazil.

- In total, 86,874 customers remained after the preprocessing.

- Distance from Manhattan was used as a metric of the proximity of the points.
Results and Discussion

Table 1. Results by class of the three best methods chosen to predict neighborhood consumption.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classes</th>
<th>BAQR</th>
<th>STL</th>
<th>ARIMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>36.20%</td>
<td>47.00%</td>
<td>57.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>41.80%</td>
<td>32.90%</td>
<td>62.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>32.60%</td>
<td>61.90%</td>
<td>157.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>38.90%</td>
<td>48.70%</td>
<td>203.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government</td>
<td>129.40%</td>
<td>77.50%</td>
<td>57.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting public</td>
<td>29.50%</td>
<td>32.90%</td>
<td>25.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public service</td>
<td>31.30%</td>
<td>337.80%</td>
<td>229.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Consumption</td>
<td>14.40%</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>12.70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results and Discussion

Table 2. Results of validation metrics by consumption class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLASSES</th>
<th>QTY.</th>
<th>sMAPE (%)</th>
<th>MAPE (%)</th>
<th>MAE</th>
<th>Within range (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>79,871</td>
<td>30.92</td>
<td>1,136.56</td>
<td>490.05</td>
<td>96.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>26.57</td>
<td>133.29</td>
<td>379.28</td>
<td>94.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>4,032</td>
<td>36.42</td>
<td>301.43</td>
<td>1,292.05</td>
<td>94.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1,475</td>
<td>33.17</td>
<td>614.16</td>
<td>3,472.73</td>
<td>93.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>25.15</td>
<td>91.11</td>
<td>443.54</td>
<td>93.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting public</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22.71</td>
<td>45.12</td>
<td>667.67</td>
<td>91.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public service</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>41.57</td>
<td>200.91</td>
<td>1,548.66</td>
<td>83.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Consumption</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>22.69</td>
<td>447.65</td>
<td>86,477.52</td>
<td>89.50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results and Discussion

Table 3. Customers distribution according to sMAPE and MAPE metric value range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Percentage of customers by metric</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[0 - 10%]</td>
<td>37.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10% - 20%]</td>
<td>16.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[20% - 50%]</td>
<td>22.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[50% - inf]</td>
<td>23.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0 - 10%]</td>
<td>28.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10% - 20%]</td>
<td>15.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[20% - 50%]</td>
<td>23.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[50% - inf]</td>
<td>32.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yocuru (2003)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAPE</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10%</td>
<td>Highly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10% - 20%]</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[20% - 50%]</td>
<td>Reasonable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50%</td>
<td>Inaccurate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion

Presented a method to prediction power consumption for consumers with short or no have consumption history.

The proposed methodology:
- Euclidean distance x Manhattan distance;
- Evaluate Different regressors;
Conclusion

Main contributions:

▸ Solves a real problem of the energy supply companies, using simple techniques and with reasonable accuracy;

▸ An alternative method was developed to forecast energy consumption in customers without a history of consumption;

▸ The proposed method uses spatial data as a step in the forecast flow of energy consumption;

▸ We determine and compare the most accurate prediction methods for the different consumption classes.
Future Works

- Implementation of the proposed method in the energy company's system;

- Improvement of the method using other network-based serial data estimation techniques:
  - Temporal Convolutional Networks (TCN)
  - Neural basis expansion analysis (N-BEATs)
Questions