



# Performance Predictions for Adaptive Cloud-Based Systems using FMC-QE

ADAPTIVE 2020

**Stephan Kluth** 

FOM University of Applied Sciences mail@stephankluth.de

# Short Resume Stephan Kluth





#### Hasso Plattner Institute for Software Systems Engineering, Potsdam (2000-2011):

- Bachelor of Science in Software Engineering, 2004
- Master of Science in Software Engineering, 2005
- Ph.D., Topic: "Quantitative Modeling and Analysis with FMC-QE", 2011

#### SQS AG, Cologne (2010-2016):

IT-Consultant

#### FernUni Hagen, Hagen (2014-2020):

Mentor (Business Informatics, Math)

#### Gemeinnützige Sabine Blindow-SchulGmbH, Hannover (2016-2020):

Lecturer (IT-Security, Software-Engineering, IT-Basics)

#### Design-Your-Future-BildungsGmbH (2017-2020):

Head of IT, Product Owner, Business Analyst

#### FOM University of Applied Sciences (since 2019):

Lecturer (Business Informatics)

#### **Certifications:**

- Certified ScrumMaster
- Microsoft Certified Professional (MCP)
- ISTQB Certified Tester, Foundation Level
- ISTQB Certified Tester, Advanced Level, Test Manager

## Fundamental Modeling Concepts for Quantitative Analysis

- Technique to model and evaluate quantitative behavior of systems
- Complexity Reduction through:
- Hierarchical Modeling
- Multidimensional System view based on FMC
- Distinction of operational- and control states
- Hierarchical interpretable structure for performance prediction

### **FMC-QE** multidimensional system perspectives



Ó

X<sub>Exec WS</sub> = 1s



Dynamic Behavior and Control Flow



## FMC-QE Calculus based on:

Little's Law

 $N^{[bb]} = \lambda^{[bb]} * R^{[bb]}$ 

Forced Traffic Flow Law

$$\lambda_{\text{int}}^{[\text{bb}]} = v_{\text{int}}^{[\text{bb}]*} \lambda_{\text{ext}}^{[\text{bb-1}]}$$

Experime	ntal Parameters:
n <sub>ges</sub>	30
λ <sub>bott</sub>	2,0000
f	0,8000
λ	1,6000

	Service F	Request	Section				Server Section						Dynamic Evaluation Section								
[bb]	SRq <sub>i</sub> <sup>[bb]</sup>	<b>p</b> <sub>[bb-1],i</sub>	V <sub>i,ext</sub> [bb-1]	$v_{i,int}$ [bb]	$v_i^{[bb]}$	$\lambda_i^{[bb]}$	Server <sub>i</sub>	[bb] X <sub>i,measured</sub>	m <sub>i,ext</sub> <sup>[bb-1]</sup>	m <sub>i,int</sub> <sup>[bb]</sup>	m <sup>[bb]</sup>	X <sub>i,mpxed</sub> <sup>[bb]</sup>	$\mu_i^{[bb]}$	<b>ρ</b> <sup>[bb]</sup>	n <sub>i,q</sub> <sup>[bb]</sup>	n <sub>i,s</sub> <sup>[bb]</sup>	n <sup>[bb]</sup>	R <sup>[bb]</sup>			
2	Webservice	1	1	3	3	4,8000	Webserver	1,0000	1	1	1	1,0000	1,0000		0,0000	4,8000	4,8000	1,0000			
2	Initialization	1	1	1	1	1,6000	App. Server	0,2000	1	1	1	0,5000	2,0000	0,8000	3,2000	0,8000	4,0000	2,5000			
1	Request	1	1	1	1	1,6000			1	1	1		2,0000		3,2000	5,6000	8,8000	5,5000			
1	<b>Request Generation</b>	1	1	1	1	1,6000			1	1	1	13,2500	0,0755		0,0000	21,2000	21,2000	13,2500			

Multiplexer Section											
Multiplexer <sub>j</sub>	m <sub>j</sub>	<b>X</b> <sub>j</sub> <sup>[1]</sup>	μ <sub>j</sub> <sup>[1]</sup>	μ <sub>j</sub> <sup>[1]</sup> *m <sub>j</sub>							
App. Server	1	0,5000	2,0000	2,0000							
Webserver	80	1,0000									



## Servers cloud be represented as multiplexers

















# Case-Study – Example SAP-Workflow **Performance Predictions**



Ex	Experimental Parameters								
n <sub>ges</sub> <sup>[1]</sup>	80								
$\lambda_{bott}^{[1]}$	0,5556								
f	0,9500								
λ <sup>[1]</sup>	0,5278								

		Service R	eques	t Sectior	ı					Dynamic Evaluation Section												
[b	b] i	SRq <sup>[bb]</sup>	p <sub>p(i),i</sub>	V <sub>p(i)</sub> <sup>[bb-1]</sup>	V <sub>i,int</sub> [bb	vi <sup>[bb]</sup>	$\lambda_i^{[bb]}$	Server <sub>i</sub> <sup>[bb]</sup>	m <sub>p(i)</sub> [bb-1]	m <sub>i,int</sub> [bb]	mi <sup>[bb]</sup>	Мрх <sub>і</sub>	Xi <sup>[bb]</sup>	m <sub>i,mpx</sub> <sup>[bb]</sup>	μi <sup>[bb]</sup>	$\rho_i^{[bb]}$	n <sub>i,q</sub> <sup>[bb]</sup>	Wi <sup>[bb]</sup>	n <sub>i,s</sub> <sup>[bb]</sup>	Yi <sup>[bb]</sup>	ni <sup>[bb]</sup>	Ri <sup>[bb]</sup>
2	2 1	Get Employee Details from SuccessFactors	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	0,528	Details Retriever	1	1	1	1	0,750	1,000	1,333	0,396	0,259	0,491	0,396	0,750	0,655	1,241
4	1 2	Get Buddy List from SFSF	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	0,528	Get Buddy List Handler	1	1	1	2	0,500	30,000	60,000	0,009	0,000	0,000	0,009	0,017	0,009	0,017
4	1 3	Process Buddy List	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	0,528	Process Buddy List Handler	1	1	1	2	0,800	30,000	37,500	0,014	0,000	0,000	0,014	0,027	0,014	0,027
з	3 4	Get and Process Buddy List	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	0,528	Get and Process Buddy List Handler	1	1	1				37,500		0,000	0,001	0,023	0,043	0,023	0,044
з	3 5	Determine Equipment	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	0,528	Determine Equipment Handler	1	1	1	2	0,950	30,000	31,579	0,017	0,000	0,001	0,017	0,032	0,017	0,032
2	2 6	Get Buddy List and Equipment	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	0,528	List and Equipment Handler	1	1	1				31,579		0,001	0,001	0,040	0,075	0,040	0,076
з	3 7	Change or Confirm Equipment	1,00	1,20	1,00	1,20	0,633	C. or C. Equipment Handler	1	1	1	4	2,100	4,000	1,905	0,333	0,166	0,262	0,333	0,525	0,498	0,787
з	8 8	Approve Equipment	1,00	1,20	1,00	1,20	0,633	Approve Equipment Handler	1	1	1	3	1,500	1,000	0,667	0,950	18,050	28,500	0,950	1,500	19,000	30,000
2	2 5	Equipment Negotiation	1,00	1,00	1,20	1,20	0,633	Equipment Negotiation Handler	1	1	1				0,800		18,216	28,762	1,283	2,025	19,498	30,787
2	2 6	Accept workplace for new hire	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	0,528	Accept Workplace Handler	1	1	1	4	1,000	4,000	4,000	0,132	0,020	0,038	0,132	0,250	0,152	0,288
1	7	Order Notebook for new Employe	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	0,528	Request Handler	1	1	1				0,667		18,496	35,044	1,850	3,505	20,345	38,549
1	8	Request Generation	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	0,528	Client	1	1	1		113,030		0,009		0,000	0,000	59,655	113,030	59,655	113,030

Multiplexer Section										
j	Name <sub>j</sub>	mj	<b>X</b> <sub>j</sub> <sup>[1]</sup>							
1	HR System	1	0,750							
2	Cloud System	30	1,300							
3	Manager KI	1	1,800							
4	Mentor KI	4	2,250							



### Conclusion



- hierarchical modeling and hierarchical performance calculations could derive performance values (response times or queue lengths) for distributed cloud-based systems
- performance predictions could be used to adapt Service-Level-Agreements (SLAs)
- predictions could be integrated into the algorithms of self-adaptive systems, while the hierarchical approach reduces the complexity dramatically
- performance predictions are integrated into a spreadsheet but are not limited to this
- In the future:
  - Further integrate calculations of the FMC-QE Tableau to BPMN
  - More patterns for the hierarchical modeling to transform BPMN Diagrams



This presentation is connected to the following publication:

Stephan Kluth:

Performance Predictions for Adaptive Cloud-Based Systems using FMC-QE

The Twelfth International Conference on Adaptive and Self-Adaptive Systems and Applications (ADAPTIVE 2020 – Nice, France, October 2020)

available through ThinkMind digital library

All further references are to be found in this publication.