



ICN/SOFTNETORKING 2015

Software Defined Networking Technology – Perspectives and Challenges (focus on Standardization Aspects)

Eugen Borcoci University Politehnica Bucharest Electronics, Telecommunications and Information Technology Faculty (ETTI)

Eugen.Borcoci@elcom.pub.ro

NexComm 2015, April 19-24, Barcelona





Topic:

- Software Defined Networking (SDN) technology standardization aspects
- Motivation of this talk
 - SDN emergent, promising technology for clouds, WANs, SP networks, etc.
 - Standards bodies, Industry associations, Research, etc., work on SDN
 - This shows a real interest and promising perspectives
 - However, some overlapping and even (partially) not- compatible approaches happen
 - Additional effort is needed, to:
 - produce complementary consistent work
 - avoid duplicate work and incompatible standards
- Acknowledgement:
 - This presentation has been compiled by using several sources- see Reference list
 - Good reference on the subject: [1] J.M. Halpern, "Standards Collision around SDN", IEEE Comm. Magazine — Communications Standards Supplement, Dec. 2014, pp.10-15





- SDN main characteristics (from Open Networking Foundation ONF)
 - Separation of Control Plane from Data (Forwarding) Plane
 - CPI/DPI Decoupling: Network control is directly programmable
 - Centrally managed: Network intelligence is (logically) centralized in SDN controllers
 - CPI maintains a global network view
 - Network appears to applications and policy engines as a single, logical switch
 - Agility: Abstracting CPI from DPI allow to dynamically adjust/adapt network-wide traffic flow conforming the current needs.

SDN: based on open standards, vendor-neutral:

- SDN simplifies network design
- Operation instructions provided by SDN controllers and not multiple, vendor-specific devices and protocols
- The control programs do not depend on proprietary software
- Programmatic configuration:
 - Better management : network can be quickly configured, managed, secured, and optimized (in terms of resources) based on automated SDN programs
 - NexComm 2015 Conference, April 19-24, Barcelona





SDN Basic Architecture

- Network OS:
 - Distributed system that creates a consistent, updated network view
 - Executed on servers (controllers) in the network
 - Examples: NOX, ONIX, HyperFlow, Floodlight, Trema, Kandoo, Beacon, Maestro,..
- Uses forwarding abstraction in order to:
 - Collect state information from FE
 - Generate commands to FE







- SDN main standardization organizations
 - OPEN NETWORKING FOUNDATION ONF
 - EUROPEAN TELECOMMUNICATIONS STANDARDS INSTITUTE
 - INDUSTRY SPECIFICATION GROUP FOR NETWORK FUNCTION VIRTUALIZATION (ETSI NFV ISG)
 - ITU-T Study Group 13
 - INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE (IETF)s, IRTF
 - IEEE

. . . .

OPEN DAYLIGHT (project)





OPEN NETWORKING FOUNDATION - ONF

- User-driven org. to promote and adopt the SDN through open standards development
- Origin Stanford University + OpenFlow protocol
- 2014 industry consortium with about 150 member companies
- ONF is divided into 10 working groups (WG)
- •
- Extensibility WG defines and maintains the OpenFlow (OF) protocol specs
 - Earlier releases : OpenFlow 1.0 ,1.3, 1.4 spec.
 - Start work on OpenFlow 1.5.
 - The OF protocol specs based on the the concept of matchaction-tables.
 - The protocol allows the controller to specify entries for these tables
 - The semantics of matching fields continuously evolved





- OPEN NETWORKING FOUNDATION ONF (cont'd)
- Configuration and Management WG
- Defines and maintains protocols to manage OF switches.
 - Assumption on the common OF case; forwarder devices are strictly controlled via OpenFlow.
 - Earlier versions : 1.0, 1.1, 1.1.1 specs; working on 1.2.
 - The specs rely on the IETF NetConf Configuration protocol [RFC 6241] for its communication mechanism.
 - The specs use XML; the work was driven from the YANG work of IETF NetMOD WG

Architecture & Framework WG

- It describes SDN architecture and the role of the OpenFlow
- It should be better correlated collaboration with other standards bodies

Forwarding Abstraction WG

- OpenFlow protocol uses a single abstraction for interacting with everything.
- The Forwarding Abstraction work intends to enable pre-runtime description of the needed forwarder behavior





• OPEN NETWORKING FOUNDATION - ONF (cont'd)

Optical Transport WG

- OpenFlow specs for control of optical transport networks
- This work relies on ITU-T-developed models of optical transport networks to define the relevant components

Northbound Interface WG

 It defines the I/Fs of an OF-based SDN controller exposed to other policy and control elements e.g. operating at a higher level of abstraction

Wireless and Mobile WG (early stages)

- It extends the ONF-based work to wireless and mobile domains
- Examples: Evolved Packet Core mobile processing (EPC), Mobile Backhaul, enterprise wireless networks

Migration WG

 It defines hybrid device operation (structuring and using a device which supports simultaneously OF and other operating paradigms)
NexComm 2015 Conference, April 19-24, Barcelona





- OPEN NETWORKING FOUNDATION ONF (cont'd)
 - Other Activities in development
 - Testing and Interoperability WG : test cases , interoperability events, certification aspects
 - Marketing and Education WG: white papers and solutions briefs, etc.
 - Work on defining mechanisms for service chaining (applying OpenFlow to layers 4–7).





- EUROPEAN TELECOMM. STANDARDS INSTITUTE INDUSTRY SPECIFICATION GROUP FOR NETWORK FUNCTION VIRTUALIZATION (ETSI NFV ISG)
 - ETSI Operator driven organization (200 members)
 - NFV ISG goals:
 - To define the reqs. and architecture for the virtualization of network functions
 - NFV is not strictly linked to SDN
 - However, SDN provides a powerful tool to enable many of the use cases of interest
 - Structure: Technical Steering group + 6 WGs
 - Architecture of the Virtualization Infrastructure (NFV INF WG)
 - reference architecture for a virtualization infrastructure, and the Reference Points (RP) for components interconnection





(ETSI NFV ISG) (cont'd)

Management and Orchestration WG

- It describes the deployment, instantiation, configuration, and management of network services based on the NFV
- integration : network service delivery operational support systems (OSS) - business support systems (BSS)
- The work sometimes overlaps with other standards

Software Architecture WG

- It defines
 - the reference SW arch. of network functions to be deployed
 - the detailed requirements of the interfaces and mechanisms defined by other WGs.





(ETSI NFV ISG) (cont'd)

Reliability and Availability WG

- It defines the reliability and availability requirements in a NFV environment.
- New approach needed if considering the replacement of traditional telecomm. equipment with more data-center-oriented equipment and with dynamic and virtualized instantiation of service functions

Security Expert WG

security review and advices to the broader ETSI NFV activity.

Performance and Portability Expert WG

- The perf and the portability requirements in the new NFV environment changed significantly
- This WG advises other WGs on perf. issues, constraints, capabilities, and potential advantages - of different architectural or deployment choices





- INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS UNION TELECOMM. STD. SECTOR (ITU-T)- SG13
 - Active in defining architectures and requirements for the use of SDN in transport networks.
 - These networks have important requirements different from other networks
 - Y.3300 Recommendation
 - They describe the fundamental SDN framework: definitions, objectives, high-level capabilities, requirements, and high-level architecture of the of SDN.



ITU-T SDN Architecture





IETF

Interface to Routing Systems (I2RS) WG

- It addresses a gap in the SDN. approach
- The SDN controllers must interact with routing protocols, and SDN control must to be able to apply policy to actual routers.
- Routers could be: integrated devices, or may themselves be decomposed; also they might be SDN capable
- I2RS general goal:
 - allow applications to learn from and request changes of the routing system.
- Result expected:
 - classic distributed routing and centralized, policy- and application-driven SDN, can cooperate





- IETF (cont'd)
- Interface to Routing Systems (I2RS) WG (cont'd)
- Specifically, I2RS:
 - facilitates real-time or event-driven interaction with the routing system through a collection of protocol-based M&C I/Fs
 - allow information, policies, and operational parameters to be injected into and retrieved from the routing system while retaining data consistency and coherency across the routers and routing infrastructure
- Open issue: compatibility/cooperation with
 - ForCES,
 - NetConf with YANG,
 - RESTCong with YANG





IETF (cont'd)

Service Function Chaining (SFC) WG

- standards for the DPI component of service chains → improve the traffic-direction problem
- It defines an SFC architecture including the protocols (extensions) extensions to convey the SFC and SF Path information to nodes involved
- It defining a range of carriage mechanisms, e.g., to allow the use
 - Layer 2 encapsulations (Eth., VLANs) to identify service paths, or
 - Intermediate such as as MPLS, or IP encapsulations
- SFC does not mandate specific control mechanisms
 - However it is expected that dynamic SFC will use of SDN technologies to control and classify and forwarding functions in the service paths.
- Comments:
 - SFC-WG- Work in progress
 - Still open issues: approaches, what to be defined in the arch. or left to implementation





IEEE

- IEEE 802.1 began recently work on
 - 802.1CF (network reference model work) including defining interfaces with SDN.
 - Ongoing Work on enhancements to path control.
- The above are important components for industrial SDN and virtualization solutions
- The interaction between 802.1CF --- other SDN standards, is discussed between the OmniRAN Task Group and at ONF, IETF.
- New Research Group on Software Defined and Virtualized Wireless access





OPEN DAYLIGHT

- Linux foundation → An open source SW activity
- 2014: 36 member companies
- Why open?
- General goal:
- for SDN and NFV early adoption, the industry would benefit of establishing an open, reference framework for programmability and control through an open source SDN and NFV solution
- develop an SDN controller for a wide range of applications

Aim :

- to maintain the flexibility and choice to allow organizations to deploy SDN and NFV at will,
 - but reducing risks of adopting early-stage technologies and integrating in existing infrastructure investments.





- OPEN DAYLIGHT (cont'd)
- SW characteristics:
 - JAVA, supporting a wide range of I/Fs to applications, principally using REST technologies.
 - Includes a CLI to allow human interaction,
- It supports
 - JAVA RMI for closer coupling to the software.
 - a wide range of protocols for interacting with the network: NetConf, SNMP, Open Virtual Switch Data Base (OVSDB), OpenFlow, BGP, Path Computation Engine Protocol (PCEP), Locator/Identifier Separation Protocol (LISP).
 - The arch. also explicitly allows adding new I/Fs, e.g. proprietary.
 - The system core is based on YANG models to describe the services, I/Fs, data storage.
 - This enables automatic code generation (not fully) and a common model-driven dispatch mechanism to support the flexibility needed.





- Interactions, collaboration, overlaps, conflicts ...
 - Facts:
 - (+) Related technologies, partially common goals, need for cooperation and synergy, ..
 - (+/-)Competition, different specific objectives, different communities, ...
 - ETSI NFV ---- ONF: formal collaboration to enhance SDN support of NFV needs.
 - ETSI NFV -----IETF
 - NFV reqs : inputs the requirements work in the I2RS and SFC WGs
 - ETSI ---- Open Daylight: ETSI NFV defines PoC activities some of them expected use of Open Daylight SW
 - Usually the other standards body collaborates with ETSI to analyze the needs and gaps in the current specifications.





- Interactions, collaboration, overlaps, conflicts ...(cont'd)
- ONF ----IETF
 - ONF progressed quickly but did not start a strong cooperation with IETF.
 - They founded a new standards body, and developed a specs focused on specific needs.
 - (+) specs developed quickly
 - (-) specs are rather narrow
 - -need more work to define how to utilize them in a broader area.
 - (-) difficulty in allowing the IETF to use ONF products.
 - (-) competition between ONF and other standard bodiescomplicates the interactions...
- Example :ONF OFConfig protocol for managing OF switches.
 - (-) Currently the the market has failed to adopt this protocol.
 - More agreed is the proprietary protocol known as OVSDB
 - (-) Adopting YANG models for OF-Config- difficult





- Interaction, collaboration, overlaps, conflicts ...(cont'd)
- ONF ----IETF (cont'd)
 - RFC: 7047, The Open vSwitch Database Management Protocol, December 2013
 - Open vSwitch Database (OVSDB) is a management protocol in SDN environment.
 - OVSDB was created by the Nicira team and later acquired by VMware.
 - OVSDB is part of Open vSwitch (OVS) (feature-rich, open source virtual switch designed for Linux-based hypervisors).
 - In comparison with legacy SNMP,OVS created a modern, programmatic management protocol interface – and OVSDB can be a solution
 - Conclusion: a better cooperation ONF-IETF would be useful for everybody





- Interaction, collaboration, overlaps, conflicts ...(cont'd)
- OPEN DAYLIGHT- interaction with standards
 - Open Daylight includes people from the ONF and IETF
 - It built software using protocols from both ONF and IETF
 - This provided valuable feedback on :clear/not-clear, work/non-work useful/useless non-specified items in the standards,
 - Care should be taken to not draw general conclusions from particular implementation- given some particular choices adopted in the implementation.
- Other Industrial Fora involved in SDN specification activities
 - BroadBand Forum (BBF)
 - Metro Ethernet Forum (MEF)
 - Optical Interface Forum (OIF).





CONCLUSIONS

- The landscape of SDN standardization set of specs is broad, but contains duplicates (e.g. Forces/ONF)
- More collaboration, is needed (no single org. can do all tasks)

There are proposals

- to more clearly define the responsibilities and consider previous work when a std. body starts new std. effort
- to allow participation to multiple groups
- improve the interoperability
- avoid the tendency of one body to expand into adjacent spaces of others
- Emergence of open-source software, also has some own challenges.
 - Need that standards bodies and open-source communities cooperate better
 - Note that implementations, and standards are not the same thing







References

- [1] J.M. Halpern, "Standards Collision around SDN", IEEE Comm. Magazine, Comm Standards, Dec. 2014, pp.10-15
- [2] J. Halpern and J. Hadi Salim, RFC 5812 "Forwarding and Control Element Separation (ForCES) Forwarding Element Model,"
 - http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc5812.txt.
- [3] Open Networking Foundation "Software-Defined Networking (SDN) Definition,"
 - https://www.opennetworking.org/sdn-resources/sdn-definition.
- [4] R. Enns et al., RFC 6241 "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF),"
 - http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6241.txt.
- [5] M. Bjorklund, RFC 6020 "YANG A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF),"
 - http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc6020.txt.
- [6] A. Doria *et al.*, Editors, RFC 5810 "Forwarding and Control Element Separation (ForCES) Protocol Specification,"
 - http://www.rfceditor.org/rfc/rfc5810.txt.





References

- [7] IETF Charter for the I2RS Working Group,
 - http://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/i2rs/charter/.
- [8] ETSI ISG NFV "Our Role & Activities,"
 - http://www.etsi.org/technologies-clusters/technologies/nfv
- [9] Open Daylight "Why Opendaylight,"
 - http://www.opendaylight.org/project/why-opendaylight.
- [10] ITU-T, SG13 Joint Coordination Activity On Software Defined Networks, JCA-SDN-D-001, Geneva, Nov 2014
- [11] ITU-T SERIES Y 3300: GLOBAL INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE, INTERNET PROTOCOL ASPECTS AND NEXT-GENERATION NETWORKS: Framework of software-defined networking, June 2014