

Panel COGNITIVE

Cognitive Mechanisms and Machine-Brain Interaction

Panel

Moderator

Giorgio Bonmassar, Harvard Medical School, USA

Panelists Charlotte

Charlotte Sennersten, CSIRO, Australia Xia Lin, Drexel University, USA Hans M. Dietz, University of Paderborn, Germany Martin Lochner, CSIRO Computational Informatics (CCI), Australia

Brain Maps and Information Retrieval

Cognitive 2014 Panel: Cognitive Mechanisms and Machine-brain interaction

Xia Lin

College of Computing and Informatics Drexel University Philadelphia, PA USA



Retrieval of information

- Learn from how brain retrieves information
- and apply it to
- Information retrieval in databases, search engines, documents,

• Can the brain map help?



Brainmap.org



brainmap.org

home forum software tools publications collaborations credits contact

What is BrainMap?

BrainMap is a database of published functional and structural neuroimaging experiments with coordinate-based results (x,y,z) in Talairach or MNI space. The goal of BrainMap is to develop software and tools to share neuroimaging results and enable meta-analysis of studies of human brain function and structure in healthy and diseased subjects.

The BrainMap Project is developed at the Research Imaging Institute of the University of Texas Health Science Center San Antonio. BrainMap was conceived in 1988 and originally developed as a web-based interface. After more than 20 years of development, BrainMap has evolved into a much broader project whose software and data have been utilized in numerous publications. BrainMap provides not only data for meta-analyses and data mining, but also distributes software and concepts for quantitative integration of neuroimaging data.

Collaborations

The BrainMap development team welcomes collaborations. We will provide guidance and assistance in the execution of meta-analyses upon request. We encourage collaborations that develop new tools for meta-analysis or use BrainMap data to develop or validate other neuroinformatics tools and strategies.

BrainMap ICA Results

Quick Author Search

Want to check if a paper is already in the BrainMap database? Just type in the author's last name below:

Search

Activation Coordinate Experiment-wise Search (ACES)

Upload a tab-delimited file of locations to find which BrainMap experiments are most similar:

Browse... No file selected.

Reference space: • Talairach MNI Find similar experiments: Search

Functional Database Status

Papers: 2426 Experiments: 11545 Paradigm Classes: 107 Subjects: 47166 Locations: 92664

VBM Database Status

Papers: 978 Experiments: 3045 Subjects: 68760 Locations: 19983



Everyone Knows but Uncertain

- How information is organized in memory
 - Organized by clustering or chunking ?
 - Organized by semantic networks ?
 - Self-organization ?
- How information is retrieved from memory
 - Recall -- direct access
 - Recognition --
 - Recollection -- reconstruct through logical structures, partial memories, or clues
 - Relearning –experiencing the same information multiple times



BrainMap Indexing

Content Descriptors

- "Coordinates: centre of activity"
- "Volume of activation"
- "Percentage signal change"
- "Published statistical parameter: t-score,"
- "r-value, z-score and so on"
- "Standardized statistical parameter: z-score"
- "Significance level"
- "Standard anatomical descriptor: Talairach"
- "Daemon labels"
- "Functional area terms: V1, V2, area MT/V5,"
- "supplementary motor area and so on"

College of Computing & Informatics

BrainMap Indexing

Context Descriptors

- Intent: normative mapping, ageing,
- development, disease effects and so on
- Subjects: number, gender, handedness, diseases and so on
- Behavioural domain: perception, action, cognition, emotion and so on
- Experimental conditions and contrasts
- Acquisition modality and methods
- Analysis software and methods



BrainMap Sleuth





Lessons Learned

- The more detailed indexing, the more accuracy in retrieval
- The map can help to cluster and group information based on its relevance to specific functionalities and locations.
- Need more analysis and self-organization?



Neurolex.org



HOW TO CONTRIBUTE CURATION POLICIES

BACK TO NIF HOMEPAGE REGISTER A RESOURCE

SUBSCRIBE

REPORT A BUG

THE NEUROSCIENCE LEXICON POWERED BY THE NEUROSCIENCE INFORMATION FRAMEWORK

		Search this wiki	
	🖀 Neurons 🕶 Brain Regions 👻		
ABOUT WHAT'S NEW	▶ Main Page		
FAQS	Page Discussion	History	More 🔻
NIFSTD ONTOLOGIES			

Welcome to NeuroLex, the Neuroscience Lexicon.

A dynamic lexicon of 32,943 neuroscience terms , including 747 neurons and 1281 parts of the nervous system supported by The Neuroscience Information Framework and the International Neuroinformatics Coordinating Facility

Molecules

Qualities

Subcellular Parts

Resource Types

Nervous System Function

About • Help • What's new • FAQs • NIFSTD ontologies • How to Contribute • Curation policies • Subscribe to Neurolex mailing list

Find a Term!

Show me a Random Term!

All Categories A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

Enter text into box to create your new page.

Create a new cell
Create a new brain region
Create a new resource
Create a new generic

HIERARCHIES	TABLES		
Behavioral Activity	 Behavioral Activity 		
 Behavioral Paradigms 	 Behavioral Paradigms 		
Brain Regions	 Brain Regions 		
Cells	 Overlapping Brain Regions 		
Neurons	Brain parcels		
Diseases	Cell Types		
 Imaging protocols 	Diseases		

- Molecules
 - Nervous System Function
 - Neurons
 - Neurons by Neurotransmitter
 - Organisms
 - · Resources and Information Entities
 - Subcellular Parts
 - Techniques
 - Qualities
 - Tissue hanks



Cognitive Atlas



Welcome to Cognitive Atlas

The Cognitive Atlas is a collaborative knowledge building project that aims to develop a knowledge base (or ontology) that characterizes the state of current thought in cognitive science. The project is led by Russell Poldrack, Professor of Psychology and Neurobiology at the University of Texas at Austin in collaboration with the UCLA Center for Computational Biology (A. Toga, PI) and UCLA Consortium for Neuropsychiatric Phonomics (P. Bilder, PI). It is supported by grant PO1MH082705 from the Netional

Sign In

Registered users may edit and contribute to the Cognitive Atlas

your email address

.....

Koon mo logged in



BBC: Human Body & Mind

	Nature	Wildlife Finder	Science	Pret	nistoric Life	Human Body & Mind	Space
BBC Homepage	You are here: <u>BBC Science</u> > <u>Human Body & Mind</u> > <u>The Body</u> >				 Organs 		
Science & Nature Homepage	Human	Human Brain Map					
In Human Body & Mind: The mind	Anger Consciousn	iess	Bre	athing		(shown in yellow) is responsible ative emotions such as anger, sadr	
The body Organs Muscles Skeleton	Disgust		Coordi Fight or			n-emotional mental tasks inhibits	
Nervous system Puberty Brain Sex	Happiness Language u	inderstanding	your mind off feeling angry. Hearing				
Sleep <u>Contact Us</u>	Movement Self awaren			idness			
Like this page? Send it to a friend!	Smell		Self c Speech prod	ontrol			
	Taste Touch		Thirst and h	unger Vision			



Mapping functions to areas of the Brain

	Nature	Wildlife Finder	Science	Prei	nistoric Life	Human Body & Mind	Space
BBC Homepage	You are here	You are here: <u>BBC Science</u> > <u>Human Body & Mind</u> > <u>The Body</u> > Organs					
Science & Nature Homepage	Human Brain Map						
In Human Body & Mind: The mind The body Organs Muscles Skeleton Nervous system Puberty Brain Sex	Anger Consciousno Disgust Happiness Language un	ess	Coordi Fight or		lobe near the pa language. People who suff what is being sa meaningful sent	derstanding (shown in yellow) is located in t rietal lobe. It is essential for unde fer brain damage to this area can tid to them and are unable to put tences. They can speak fluently w hat they say is likely be nonsense	t understand together vith correct
Sleep	Movement	I	ong-term episodic m	emory adness			
<u>Contact Us</u> Like this page? <u>Send it to a friend!</u>	Self awarend Smell Taste Touch	255	Self c Speech prod Thirst and h	control			



Neuroscience Literature Retrieval





How libraries organize information

Indexing + Classification

Dewey Classification System:

The Ten Main Classes

- 000 Computer science, information & general works
- 100 Philosophy & psychology
- 200 Religion
- 300 Social sciences
- 400 Language
- 500 Science
- 600 Technology
- 700 Arts & recreation
- 800 Literature
- 900 History & geography

Second Summary

The Hundred Divisions

000 Computer science, knowledge & systems	500 Science
010 Bibliographies	510 Mathematics
020 Library & information sciences	520 Astronomy
030 Encyclopedias & books of facts	530 Physics
040 [Unassigned]	540 Chemistry
050 Magazines, journals & serials	550 Earth sciences & geology
060 Associations, organizations & museums	560 Fossils & prehistoric life
070 News media, journalism & publishing	570 Life sciences; biology
080 Quotations	580 Plants (Botany)

Classification + self-organization



College of Computing & Informatics

Zoom-in and Interaction





Another Example

How should the concept "brain" be represented?





A Classification View

MeSH Tree Structures

Nervous System [A08]

Central Nervous System [A08.186]

Brain [A08.186.211]

Blood-Brain Barrier [A08.186.211.035]

Brain Stem [A08.186.211.132] +

Cerebellum [A08.186.211.212]

Cerebral Ventricles [A08.186.211.276] +

Limbic System [A08.186.211.577] +

Prosencephalon [A08.186.211.730] +

Meninges [A08.186.566] +

Neural Analyzers [A08.186.667]

<u>Spinal Cord [A08.186.854] +</u>

College of Computing & Informatics

A Dynamic View



Computing & Informatics

A Self-Organizing View



... based on ERIC

College of Computing & Informatics

A "Brain" Map based on ...



MEDLINE

College of Computing & Informatics

A Social Classification View



College of Computing & Informatics "BRAIN" Map on Del.icio.us

Conclusions

- There is so much we should learn from the brain for
 - indexing, clustering, and classifying
 - mapping and organizing knowledge based on locations and regions
 - using self-organizing maps to represent concepts dynamically
 - enhancing associative retrieval and recollection.



Panel COGNITIVE 2014 Cognitive Mechanisms and Machine Brain Interaction -volume brain –volume world, adjectives, irrationality and 3D glasses

Dr. Charlotte Sennersten

www.csiro.au



The volumetric world



Computational brain_ volumetric and dynamic comprehension



Is human memory representing past events as 2D representation(s) in the brain? Is human memory representing future events as 3D representation(s) in the brain? Does it matter?



Domain of Adjectives

Cognitive Mechanisms

<u>Explanations</u> > <u>Behaviors</u> > <u>Coping</u> > Cognitive Mechanisms <u>Description</u> | <u>Example</u> | <u>Discussion</u> | <u>So what?</u>

We cope with difficulties in various ways. Some are more positive than others. Here are various mental mechanisms that help us cope.

<u>Aim Inhibition</u>: lowering sights to what seems more achievable.

- <u>Altruism</u>: Helping others to help self.
- Avoidance: mentally or physically avoiding something that causes distress.

Compartmentalization: separating conflicting thoughts into separated

compartments.

<u>Conversion</u>: subconscious conversion of stress into physical symptoms.

Denial: refusing to acknowledge that an event has occurred.

Displacement: shifting of intended action to a safer target.

Dissociation: separating oneself from parts of your life.

Fantasy: escaping reality into a world of possibility.

http://changingminds.org/explanations/behaviors/coping/cognitive_mechanisms.htm



Rationality versus irrationality in system interaction, do we design for this?

ir•ra•tion•al•i•ty (I ræ∫ ə næl I ti)

n., pl. **-ties.**

the quality or condition of being irrational.
 an irrational action, thought, etc.

http://www.thefreedictionary.com/irrationality







Specs appeal: British scientists have made the world's smallest pair of 3D glasses (pictured) for praying mantises to wear



http://www.dailymail.co.uk/sciencetech/article-2612169/The-praying-mantis-specs-appeal-Scientists-craft-worlds-smallest-pair-3D-glasses-carnivorous-insects.html



7 | -digital brain, adjectives, irrationality and 3D glasses | Charlotte Sennersten

Can Human Cognition Benefit from Machine Cognition?

Hans M. Dietz

dietz@upb.de University of Paderborn Institute of Mathematics

May 29, 2014 IARIA Cognitive 2014 – Panel Discussion

1/1

Several layers of the question:

- Can the "cognition of the human society" benefit from artificial cognition?
 - ▷ Certainly YES
 - ▷ ANY RISKS???
- Can (individual) human cognition + AI together perform better?
 ▷ Certainly YES
 ▷ ANY RISKS???
- Can (individual) human cognition (alone) benefit from machine cognition?
 ▷ ???

Recall: Starting point of my talk about "CAT"* this morning:

(* "CAT - a Semiformal Concept-building Procedure for Teaching Mathematics")

Cognitive sciences	Teaching:		
understand, model (!), replicate**,	understand, model (?), support,		
human's cognitive activities			

** e.g. by AI, "thinking machines"

Open questions in teaching:

- (A) support error avoidance
- (B) support of abstraction
- (C) ...

Today: Focus on error avoidance.

Recall: CAT supports "reading maths"

- from processing symbols, signs and words
- up to a valid mental concept

Example: Consider the following piece of text:

Let A denote an arbitrary set and

 $H:=\{ \ A \ | \ A\subseteq B \ \} \ \dots$
Recall: CAT's reading procedure:



CAT's correctness requirements:



A correct translation result is "guaranteed", given correct ...

- ... metacognitive process (level) organization
- ... object classification and choice
- ... data memorization
-

Neither of these is guaranteed in human cognition!

Some error examples:

(from interviews conducted by J. Rohde under the author's supervision)

Task example 1:

(Fictious) **Definition**: A natural number is called "nice", if the series of its digits contains a "2", or if it contains the factor "2". **The task**:

Decide whether the following statement is true (W) or false (F). (Mark the correct answer with a cross and provide a written justification of your choice.)

- ii) 13794 is nice.
- iii) 0 is nice.
- iv) A natural number is nice, if exactly two of its digits are equal to "2".

Example answers (wrong!) :

- (ii) is wrong, as "there is no 2 in the digits", as "a number is nice, if its digits contain a 2" (neglects 2nd part of the definition)
- (iii) "0 can be divided by two and thus, 0 is nice" (neglects context: 0 is not a natural number.)

Task example 2: Let A and B be given non-empty subsets of \mathbb{R} . Exemplify

$$A+B:=\{a+b\mid a\in A \land b\in B\}.$$

"Solution" (wrong):



OBSERVATION:High complexity of error structures!QUESTION:How to construct error avoidance strategies?HYPOTHESIS:Much can be gained by ...

- ... making thinking processes transparent to the thinking persons
- ... supporting memorization of undispensable basics

CAT's transparency advice: Use

- checklists to monitor regulary working steps
- conscious knowledge management
- "toolboxes" to support problem solving
- ...

CAT's memorization advice: Develop a basic vocabulary

Example entry for "set inclusion":

Vocabulary:	
key word:	⊆
definition:	$A \subseteq B :\Leftrightarrow (x \in A \Rightarrow x \in B)$
	syntax: A, B sets
description:	set inclusion symbol
"read out'	"A is a subset of B"

(black parts: recommended categories)

(blue parts: to be inserted in by the students)

CAT's concept advice: Extend the vocabulary entry to a concept base

Example entry for "power set":

Vocabulary:		
Extensions:		
examples:	$\mathcal{P}(\emptyset) := \{\emptyset\}, \mathcal{P}(\{1\}) := \{\emptyset, \{1\}\},$	
	$\mathcal{P}(\{1,2\}) := \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1,2\}\},$	
"non-examples":	Attention: $\mathcal{P}(\emptyset) \neq \emptyset$	
visualisation:	$\mathcal{P}(M)$ is the set of all possible A like this:	
	М	
important statements:	(a) If M is a finite set with n elements	
(conjected)	then $\mathcal{P}(M)$ has 2^n elements	
	(b) $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(B)$	
applications:	(to follow later in the course)	

recommended categories | content to be provided by the students

References

- Arcavi, A.: The Role of Visual Representations in the Learning of Mathematics. ESM 52: 215-241, 2003.
- Dietz, H.M.: Mathematik fuer Wirtschaftswissenschaftler. Das ECOMath-Handbuch. Springer-Gabler, 2012.
- Dietz, H.M.: Semiotics in Reading Maths In: G. Kadunz (ed.): Semiotische Perspektiven auf das Lernen von Mathematik (English translation: Semiotic perspectives to the Learning of Mathematics), Springer, to appear.

 Dietz, H. M. and Rohde, J.: Adventures in Reading Maths.
In: Philosophy, Mathematics, Linguistics: Aspects of Interaction. Proceedings of the 2012 PhML Conference, Steklov Institute of Mathematics and Euler Mathematical Institute, St. Petersburg, 61-68

 Tall, D. and Vinner, S.: Concept Image and Concept Definition in Mathematics with Particular Reference to Limits and Continuity.

Educational Studies in Mathematics 12 (1981) 151-169.









Aristotle's Categories http://www.jfsowa.com/ontology/ontoshar.htm

Ontology (1)

"the philosophical study of the nature of being, becoming, existence, or reality, as well as the basic categories of being and their relations."

"What can be said to exist?"

- Into what categories, if any, can we sort existing things?"
- "What are the meanings of being?"
- "What are the various modes of being of entities?"

Wikipedia definition http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ontology

Ontology (2)

"explicit specification of a conceptualization." - Gruber





Cyc Ontology top level categories http://www.jfsowa.com/ontology/ontoshar.htm

∖S), -lobart Relation between ontological representation and a cognitive model

OAR	Ontology
Model	Components
Object(s)	Class
Attribute(s)	Properties
Relation(s)	Relationship(s)

OAR Model of Neural Informatics for Knowledge Representation in the Brain, Wang 2007 http://enel.ucalgary.ca/IICINI/ICfCI/IICINI-1305-OAR-Wang.ndf

Objects, Attributes, and Relations (OAR)



OAR Model of Neural Informatics for Knowledge Rep. in the Brain, Wang 2007 http://enel.ucalgary.ca/IJCINI/ICfCI/IJCINI-1305-OAR-Wang.pdf

iasinania, Austrana





OAR Model of Neural Informatics for Knowledge Rep. in the Brain, Wang 2007 http://enel.ucalgary.ca/IJCINI/ICfCI/IJCINI-1305-OAR-Wang.pdf

- 1. Ontologies (1) formulated to understand the physical world
- 2. Information systems ontologies (2) designed to model human perception of the world
- 3. Information systems ontologies used as a basis for modelling human cognition (OAR)
- 4. Human cognition is therefore (falsely?) summed to be the total of ontological relations!!







 A_{2r}

 A_{1n}

discussion

- Is LTM (thus, OAR) classification of cognition sufficient?
- Does viewing cognition through the lens of current models limit out ability to understand the actual operation of 'thinking'?
- NDR's Problem