#### A Cluster-Based Implementation of a Fault Tolerant Parallel Reduction Algorithm Using Swarm-Array Computing

Authors: Blesson Varghese, **Gerard McKee**, Vassil Alexandrov School of Systems Engineering University of Reading, United Kingdom E-mail: b.varghese@student.reading.ac.uk, g.t.mckee@reading.ac.uk, v.n.alexandrov@reading.ac.uk





#### **Table of Contents**

- Introduction
- Swarm-Array Computing
- Proof of Concept
- Implementation
- Impact
- Conclusion

A Cluster-Based Implementation of a Fault Tolerant Parallel Reduction Algorithm

Blesson Vargheise. Cherard McKae and Vassil Alexandrov School of Systems Engineering University of Reading, WhiteInights Comput Keudeng, Bertstine, Unieel Kingdom, RGE 647 Bensi: h.vargheselitunden: reading ac.uk, gs. md gedireading ac.uk, vinalgeander/dereading ac.uk

Abrast-Record remarks in multi-agent systems become probability data and sequences the resurce does not have been been as a sequence of the resurce does not into a sequence of the resurce does not any possible company systems have been do any possible company systems and the resurce of the system of the result of the result of the result optimistic sectors and any company systems in the result of the systems that there are a processor to the in relation of the systems that the result of the systems of the result of the result of the result of the system of the result of the result of the result of the system of the result of the result of the result of the system of the result of the result of the result of the system of the result of the result of the result of the system of the result of the result of the result of the system of the result of the system of the result of the result of the result of the result of the system of the result of the result of the result of the result of the system of the result of the result of the result of the result of the system of the result of

Keywardi-awarm-array computing intelligent agents; fault-ternat system; elestro-based implementation;

I. INTRODUCTION

operations even after system components have failed. From a low-level view, fank telerance is accounty for duttivities when it is predicted and search of failers when it content to statistical operations of a statistical event failed in the architecture to an apart to account to a size of account of the statistic count of a statistical event failer when it to account to a size of account (12). Secondly, prostrue fails to instruct the instruction of the statistic count of a statistical event failer when it to account to a statistic count of the statistic count of the total statistic count of the statistic count of the total statistic count of the statistic count of the total statistic count of the statis occurs in a distributed system [2] [3]. Secondly, practice full tolerance that imms to predict failures, and more execting tails to sub-noise from node predicted to fail [3] - [6]. Hence, preactive fault tolerant policies inn for "controlling a situation by causing something to happen when them when the more off off it to preserve".

[1] - [6]. Hence, preduce you could in containing on a solution by counting to sense in a solution of a solutio consepts of fault tolerance. Research based on nuttit-agent fault tolerance can be separated into two categories, maralely fault tolerance of a nutli-agent framework and fault toler-ance of an agent within a subli-agent framework. In [7], fault tolerant matti-agent system charactenistics are identified and a potential framework, namely Autonomous

Cooperative System (ACS), is discussed. Key concepts of

Cooperative System (ACS), in discussed, Key concepts of the framework include selable communication. Entit-to-in and agent platforms, fund-to-intent social howevelope, playical additional fund-to-intent social howevelope, playical post double-playical additional additional social social post double-playical additional additional social social methicing and the social additional social social social works and the social social social social for addition of the between system communications method for additional for between system communications are meaneded, hence guaranter or creatin communications needed not sate operations between system components are minimized, hence guaran-tering safe operation and performance even in fault condi-tions.

tions. Ia [9], plan-based fault tolerance of a multi-agent frame Agent Replication In [9], plan-based first tolerance of a multi-agent foran-work is implemented by the Dynamic Agent Explication Excession (DAEX). In this model, fast tolerance in achieved by registering these agents that are critical to the system and whose future plans could influence other agents in the system.

system. In [10], fault tokrame of individual agents of a multi-agent system is presented. Fault-scienator is achieved by exception handling and periodic events that are sent to agents the density and periodic events that are sent to agents L INTRODUCTION Fault tokrance is an important area of meanth in de-intende system. I presente - recent agent system is presente - recent agent of system is presente - recent agent of the system is presented - recent agent of the system is a system is a system in the recent agent of the system is a system is a system in the recent agent of the system is a system is a system in the recent agent of the system is a system in the recent agent of the system is a system in the recent agent of the system is a system in the recent agent of the system is a system in the recent agent of the system is a system is a system in the recent agent of the system is a system in the recent agent of the system is a system in the recent agent of the system is a system in the recent agent of the system is a system in the recent agent of the system is a system in the recent agent of the system is a system is a system in the recent agent of the system is a system is a system in the recent agent of the system is a system is a system is a system in the recent agent of the system is a syst

framework. However, the meaners dues not exprove the extension and implementation of such block flags (sche parallel computing) systems. Bence, the question "How can a body between fourt-scheme in numbragent systems and parallel computing variance benth?" assue, and is advected to takk source

- Fault Tolerance
  - Important issue in distributed parallel computing systems
  - High level view
    - Reliable business systems continue operation when system components have failed
  - Low level view
    - Reduce impact of failure when it occurs seamlessly continue execution of a task

- Two types of fault tolerance:
  - Reactive Fault Tolerance
    - Reduce impact of failure when it occurs
    - "Response after failure occurs"
  - Proactive Fault Tolerance
    - Predicts failures likely to occur
    - "Responding when a failure is likely to occur"
- Research in this paper focuses on *Proactive Fault Tolerance*

- Modern day fault tolerance
  - Technology used Multi-agent Systems
  - Classification of Multi-agent fault tolerance:
    - Fault tolerance of multi-agent framework
    - Fault tolerance of individual agent in the framework
- Existing research on multi-agent based fault tolerance does not explore the extension and implementation of such ideas for large scale parallel computing systems

Question that needs to be addressed:

"How can a bridge between fault-tolerance in multi-agent systems and parallel computing systems be built?"

Hence, Swarm-Array Computing is proposed.



~Swarm-Array Computing~ Presented by: B. Varghese

7

#### Constitution

- Computing Systems
  - Field Processing Gate Arrays (FPGAs) and Computer Clusters used in this study
  - Cores can be considered as 'intelligent cores'
- Problem/Task
  - A Task to be executed can be considered as a swarm of autonomous agents
  - Tasks can be considered as `intelligent agents'

#### Constitution (contd.)

- Swarms
  - Combination of Intelligent Cores and Intelligent Agents
- Landscape
  - Arena in which cores and agents interact with each other
  - Defines the state of the computing system and the task being executed

#### Approaches

- Fits the Swarm-Array computing constituents together
- Three approaches
  - First Approach Intelligent Cores
  - Second Approach Intelligent Agents
  - Third Approach combinative approach considering both Intelligent Cores and Intelligent Agents

- Approaches (contd.)
  First Approach -Intelligent Cores
   Hardware abstracted to intelligent cores
   On the event of a failure, tasks can get transferred from one core to another
  - Landscape the arena on which the task gets executed



#### Approaches (contd.)

- Second Approach Intelligent Agents
  - Hardware layer abstracted
  - Tasks mapped onto autonomous swarm agents
  - On the event of a node failure, agents move from one core to another
  - Landscape the arena on which the agents traverse
- Intelligent Agent based approach considered in this paper



 Approaches (contd.)
 Third Approach -Intelligent Cores and Intelligent Agents

 Combination of the first and second approach



Presented by: B. Varghese

#### **Proof of Concept - 1**

- Experimental Environment
  - Multi-agent simulator the best option
  - SeSAm (Shell for Simulated Agent systems) simulator
    - Provision for modelling agents, world and simulation runs
- Modelling
  - The cores of the FPGA modelled as agents
  - 5 X 5 regular grid FPGAs considered

#### **Proof of Concept - 2**

Modelling (contd.)

- Core temperature simulated
- Approach 2 Intelligent Agents
  - When core temperature increases beyond a threshold, the agent executing on a core moves to another core

#### **Proof of Concept - 3**



- What tasks can benefit from Swarm-Array Computing?
  - Parallel Reduction Algorithms
    - the computing nodes of a parallel reduction algorithm tend to be critical
    - employed in critical applications such as space applications



#### • Resources:

- ACET Teaching Cluster used as computing platform
- 1 head node and 33 compute nodes
- Connected via the Gigabit Ethernet switch
- All communications through TCP
- Middleware
  - Open MPI 1.3.3, open source implementation of MPI (Message Passing Interface) version 2.0
  - Supports Dynamic Process Creation and management

- Two Parallel Reduction Algorithm Implementations:
  - Classic Version
    - No fault tolerant concepts
    - If used in critical versions would stall the algorithm
  - Fault Tolerant Version
    - Implemented using 'Intelligent Agents' in Swarm-Array Computing

#### • Landscape:

- Rules / Policies for abstraction
- Hardware nodes abstracted to logical nodes



- Each process executing on a node gathers some sensory information
  - Prediction on whether a node is likely to fail
  - Similar to proactive fault tolerance.
- Node temperatures simulated
  - When the temperature of a node rises beyond a threshold, the process executing on that node predicts a failure
  - Spawn a new process on an adjacent core in the abstracted layer.
- The agent on the abstracted core expected to fail shifts to the adjacent core on which the new
- Dependency information carried by the agent that was shifted to the new core is employed to reinstate the state of execution of the algorithm.
- Ensures that information is not lost and does not affect the final solution in critical applications.

#### Impact

#### Useful for space applications

- Space crafts employ FPGAs
- When space craft leaves the atmosphere, Single Event Upsets (SEUs) likely to occur due to radiations
- Hardware reconfiguration or software uploading from earth extremely impossible
- Hence self-managing approach required
- Swarm-Array Computing can come to play

#### Conclusion

- `Intelligent Agent' approach in Swarm-Array Computing considered
- Proof of concept validated on a multiagent simulator
- Implementation on the ACET teaching cluster using Open MPI
- Two implementations classic vs fault tolerant
- Traditional Fault Tolerant methods can be replaced

# Thank you for your undivided attention







~Swarm-Array Computing~ Presented by: B. Varghese

24