# The Past and Possible Future Development of Password Guessing

The Twelfth International Conference on Communications, Computation, Networks and Technologies INNOV 2023

Authors: Ze-long Li<sup>1</sup>, Teng Liu<sup>2</sup>, Lei Li<sup>3</sup>





## Presenter: Ze-long Li

A full-time graduate student from University of Jinan, majoring in computer technology, with a research focus on network and information security. Currently, he works with his supervisor in the company.

Computer software:Electronic Document Passwords Management System.(Applying)
 Computer software:Network Security Events Warning System.(Applying)

Our research interests are mainly related to passwords, especially rainbow tables and password guessing (especially combined with deep learning).















identity authentication

**Oproving your identity based on what you know** 





(2) proving your identity based on what you have
(3) directly proving your identity based on unique physical characteristics





# 01 Present situation

According to the China Internet Network Information Center (CNNIC), by December 2022, the number of Internet users in China has reached 1.067 billion.

Password security issues have long been a concern. Therefore research on password guessing is necessary.

Passwords are still the most commonly used identity authentication method due to their strong universality, high security, and low cost.







evelopment	of password guessing(fundamental)
1979	Robert Morris and Ken Thomp
	<b>Brute-Force Attack and Dictionary Attack</b>
1980 •	Hellman
	Time-Memory Trade-Off (TMTO) method
2003	Oechslin
	Rainbow table



original method



Start considering the models





## Hitaj et al. 2019 Adversarial Networks (GANs)==>PassGAN He et al. 2022 Transformer==>PassTrans Sanjay et al. 2022 **Bidirectional Generative Adversarial** Network(BiGAN)==>PassMon Rando et al. 2023 large language models==>PassGPT

Deep learning models





Transition Probability :  $P_{ij}=P(X_n=j|X_{n-1}=i)$ X represents the state at a certain moment, for example,  $X_n$  represents the state at time n.

<u>=%</u>

The reason why Markov model can be used for password guessing is that a Markov model defines a probability distribution on a symbol sequence. In other words, it allows for sampling of character sequences with certain attributes.

```
zero-order model : P(\alpha) = \pi_{x \in \alpha} v(x)
first-order model : P(x_1 x_2 \dots x_n) = v(x_1) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} v(x_{i+1} | x_i)
```

An example: "loveyou" (first-order ) P(loveyou)=P(lo)P(v|lo)P(e|ov)P(y|ve)P(o|ey)P(u|yo)





Since then, password guessing has entered a "new era".

Markov enumerator(OMEN), based on Markov model has been proposed.

A new method, using an ordered A dynamic mechanism called the Dynamic Markov Model was proposed in 2021.





# Markov model family

single model

## Ordinary Markov

• The drawbacks of the Markov model are evident, as it generates a large amount of duplicate data when cracking passwords, resulting in high repetition rates and low coverage, resulting in resource waste.

## OMEN

 Compared with ordinary Markov, OMEN improves the speed of password guessing, which is only close to the probability of password guessing.OMEN is a deterministic algorithm.

## Dynamic Markov

• The purpose of the dynamic mechanism is to reduce the repetition rate and to improve coverage.And it solves the problem of OMEN always generating the same password in the same order.





## Markov model family Coverage

Probability	Total	Markov Model	OMEN	Dynamic Markov Model
<10-12	310024	161	817	68
[10-12,10-11)	231826	1168	26988	980
[10-11,10-10)	334797	13507	1409272	13275
[10-10,10-9)	410065	95250	287433	122463
[10-9,10-8)	390731	272115	340801	350988
[10-8,10-7)	284911	271945	268945	284897
[10-7,10-6]	116095	115973	112954	116094
[10-6,10-5)	19827	19826	19456	19827
[10-5,10-4]	2701	2701	2671	2701
[10-4,10-3)	243	243	243	243
[10-3,10-2)	4	4	4	4





PCFG is an extension of Context Free Grammar (CFG), which is a method of Rule Based Natural Language Processing (NLP). The main function of CFG is to verify whether the input string conforms to a certain grammar G, which is similar to regular expressions, but CFG can express more complex grammars.

PCFG checks grammar structures (combinations of special characters, numbers, and alphanumeric sequences) and generates distribution probabilities, which are then used to generate candidate passwords.







#### 2009 Weir et al.

Weir et al. [4] used only Ln, Dn and Sn (L represents letters, D represents numbers, and S represents special characters.) for the specified n-value in grammar, except for the starting symbol. They call these variables alpha variables, digit variables and special variables respectively.

Keyboard order (keyboard mode) and multi word strategy can greatly improve the PCFG. Through its development, PCFG not only allows for guessing passwords in probabilistic order, but also fully considers keyboard mode, resulting in higher cracking coverage.

2

2015 Houshmand et al. 2022 Guo et al.

They proposed a degenerate distribution collection method i and designed a corresponding Low Probability Generator Probabilistic Context Free Grammar (LPG-PCFG) model based on PCFG.

Due to insufficient investigation of cryptographic semantic information, Wang et al. proposed a general framework for PCFG based on semanticenhancemen t, named SE # PCFG.

4

2023 Wang et al.



X is a

password.



## **PCFG family** Keyboard base structures VS PCFG

Passwords	PCFG	Keyboard
1234	D <sub>4</sub>	K <sub>4</sub>
w2w2	LDLD	K <sub>4</sub>
ASD1234QW	$L_3D_4L_2$	$K_3D_4L_2$
Q112	LDSD	K <sub>4</sub>

#### Modification rules degeneration distribusion

Rule	Adjust p(x+)	Adjust p(x <sup>-</sup> )
Rule1	<b>p</b> (x <sup>+</sup> )-α	$p(x)+\alpha/(N_s-1)$
Rule2	<b>p(</b> x <sup>+</sup> )-α	<b>p</b> (x <sup>-</sup> )+α/(1- <b>p</b> (x <sup>+</sup> )) <b>p</b> (x <sup>-</sup> )
Rule3	<b>βp(</b> x <sup>+</sup> )	$p(x^{-})+(1-\beta)p(x^{+})(1-p(x^{+}))p(x^{-})$
Rule4	<b>βp(</b> x <sup>+</sup> )	$p(x^{-})(1-\beta)p(x^{+})(1-p(x^{+}))p(x^{-})$
Rule5	1-γ(1-p(x <sup>+</sup> ))	<b>γp(</b> x <sup>-</sup> )





Using Neural Networks to simulate the resistance of passwords to guessing attacks can be more effective than Markov models and PCFG. Neural network modeling uses less space than Markov models, and neural network can transfer knowledge from a task to related tasks.







Addressing the difficulty of selecting sequence length in traditional LSTM models for password generation, they considered user personal information and proposed a multi sequence length LSTM password guessing model.

#### PassTCN







The optimization objective function of GAN:  $\min_{G} \max_{D} V(D,G)$  The loss function of GAN:  $V(D,G)=E_{X\sim P_{data}(x)}[logD(x)] + E_{z\sim P_{z}(z)}[log(1 - D(G(z)))]$ 

GANs are inspired by the zero sum game theory, which consists of two parts: a generative model (G) and adiscriminant model (D).





## Hitaj et al. 2019

PassGAN does not rely on password analysis like Markov models, PCFG, and neural networks, but instead uses GAN to automatically learn the true password distribution from publicly leaked passwords. In other words, we do not need any professional knowledge related to cryptography, and applying GAN can generate high-quality passwords for guessing.

## Nam et al. 2020

Nam's team proposed a candidate password for optimizing guessing, named REDPACK using a relativistic GAN method.REDPACK effectively combines multiple generation models to generate passwords. Generator G can effectively optimize candidate password selection by selecting different models, such as OMEN, PCFG, etc.

## Jiang et al. 2022

They proposed a password generation model based on ordered Markov enumeration and discriminant networks (OMECDN) for PassGAN and added gradient normalization to PassGAN.



## Yu et al. 2022

They found that the combination of IWGAN and gradient penalty is not an ideal method to solve the shortcomings of GAN, so they added gradient normalization counting to discriminator D and named it GNPassGAN.

## Zhou et al. 2022

They proposed a new structure based on PassGAN, which uses LSTM network for generator G and multiple convolutional layers in discriminator D, based on the non differentiability of discrete data sampling process and the impact on backpropagation. In addition, the biggest contribution is the addition of Gumbel SoftMax, named G-Pass.



Structure



PassGAN' s Architecture.Upper generator G, lower discriminator D.





Model Name	Basic Generation Model Types	Publication Year
Markov	Markov	2005
PCFG	PCFG	2009
OMEN	Markov	2015
Next Gen PCFG	PCFG	2015
FLA	RNN,LSTM	2016
PassGAN	GAN,IWGAN	2017,2019
GENPass	PCFG,LSTM	2018,2020
SPRNN	BiLSTM	2018
BiLSTM	BiLSTM	2019
REDPACK	PCFG,GAN,etc.	2020
Dynamic Markov	Dynamic Markov	2021
GNPassGAN	GAN	2022





Model Name	Basic Generation Model Types	Publication Year
PassTCN-PPLL	TCN	2022
LPG-PCFG	PCFG	2022
G-Pass	GAN	2022
Passtrans	Transformer	2022
OMECDN	Markov,GAN	2022
PassMon	BiGAN	2022
MLSTM	MLSTM	2022
PassFlow	Flow	2021,2022
WordMarkov	Markov	2022
SE#PCFG	PCFG	2023
PassGPT	GPT-2	2023
PassTCN	TCN	2023





## Future research and recommendations



Public password data is not easy to find. We can consider data augmentation technology (DA) to obtain more data. Note that the application of DA technology should not aimlessly expand the data, as obtaining poor data can lead to worse results. We need to clean the obtained data and eliminate bad data. According to research, some users will set their passwords based on the topic of the website. Password guessing often requires a dictionary, and we can use the DA method to obtain as many websites with the same topic as possible. Based on the special password generation strategy of website themes, a dictionary is generated for password guessing.

Spectral Normalization (SN) can improve the stability of discriminator D in GAN, which is also a variant of GAN. The work we are doing not only applies SN to discriminator D, but also adds SN to generator G. Multiple variants of GAN for password guessing may achieve better results. Of course, model training requires the use of optimizers.

# Future research and recommendations



3

5

There are already models in the literature other than Markov models, PCFG, GAN, etc. applied to password guessing. We hope that more types of neural networks and deep learning models can be applied to password guessing.

Password rules cannot be ignored, as most literature does not consider password rules, and the rules required by different websites may vary. Considering the combination of password setting rules and the topic dictionary mentioned above, we hope to apply them simultaneously to password guessing.

We need to detect password leaks, and Honeywords is a type of bait password used to provide feedback on password leaks. As an effective method for detecting whether passwords have been cracked, how to generate Honeywords better has become a research direction.



05 Conclusion





•A systematic review was conducted on the password guessing methods mentioned in the references with some models providing method details.  Introduce improvement methods based on the original model by class, and each method improves the original method.

02



Discuss the limitations of password guessing and propose feasible future research directions based on new technologies.
Mention three methods for optimizing passon guessing.

# The Past and Possible Future Development of Password Guessing

The Twelfth International Conference on Communications, Computation, Networks and Technologies INNOV 2023

Authors: Ze-long Li<sup>1</sup>, Teng Liu<sup>2</sup>, Lei Li<sup>3</sup>





# THANK YOU FOR WATCHING