Visual Accessibility and Inclusion

An Exploratory Study to Understand Visual Accessibility in the Built Environment

Authors: Camelia Chivăran - camelia.chivaran@unicampania.it University of Cambridge, UK / University of Campania «Luigi Vanvitelli», Italy

Matteo Zallio - University of Cambridge, UK Sam Waller - University of Cambridge, UK P. John Clarkson - University of Cambridge, UK



SMARTACCESSIBILITY2021 Nice, France 18-22 July 2021





Camelia Chivăran is an ARCHITECT, PhD STUDENT in «Environment, Design and Innovation» at the Engineering Department of the UNIVERSITY OF CAMPANIA «Luigi Vanvitelli» Italy, and currently COLLABORATOR with the UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE, UK.

She is engaged in the research of innovative, INCLUSIVE, ACCESSIBLE models for the experience of ARCHITECTURE, with a specific focus on CULTURAL HERITAGE and KNOWLEDGE transfer.

She has participated in various NATIONAL and INTERNATIONAL projects, workshops and conferences on topics of design and architecture, sustainable development and cultural heritage.





What is Visual Accessibility?

State-of-the-art of tools and systems for visual accessibility

Why **Cambridge simulation glasses**?





Conclusion and future developments

VISUAL ACCESSIBILITY

«the property that allows the **USE OF VISION** to TRAVEL EFFICIENTLY and SAFELY through a SPACE, by perceiving the spatial layout of key features in the environment and keeping track of one's location» (Thompson et al., 2017) currently focused on objective, physical-dimensional features

visual accessibility assessment for severely visually impaired people

What is **Visual Accessibility**?

SIZE









CONTRAST



LIGHTING



		virtual	physical	
2020	Adobe Adobe Accessibility Tools	\odot		
2017	Thompson et al. Simulating visibility under reduced acuity and contrast sensitivity	\bigcirc		
2017	Arditi Rethinking ADA signage standards for low-vision accessibility		\odot	
2016	Mahjoob et al. Effect of yellow filter on visual acuity and contrast sensitivity under glare condition among different age groups	\bigcirc		
2010-	Dalke et al. A colour contrast assessment system: design for people with visual impairment		\bigcirc	



awareness raising simulation tools and systems







1	Thompson et al. (2017)	what?	what not?
studies on reduced acuity and contrast	Simulating visibility under reduced acuity and contrast sensitivity	application of filters to HDR photographs of a space to identify potential mobility hazards and landmarks that might go unrecognized by low vision individuals	the complexity of the algorythm makes it rather hardly accessible to the general audience



2			
3	Dalke et al. (2010)	what?	what not?
objective measurements of contrast levels	CROMOCON Light Reflectance Value (LRV) meter	measures colour contrast values to understand the visibility level of objects, texts or building components for an impaired person	partial objective evaluation, no empathical experience provided to the person without an impairment



	Arditi (2017)	what?	what not?
«HUMAN OBSERVER» approach for signage visibility	Rethinking ADA signage standards for low-vision accessibility	simulates impairment to human observers by adjusting the viewing distance to assess the legibility of signs	does not consider the amount of space necessary to walk backwards and the lighting conditions, neither other features of the built environment besides signage

The state-of-the-art research highlighted the COMPLEX INTERACTION between ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABLES and PEOPLE CAPABILITIES, as well as a lack of specific bespoke design requirements for wayfinding in a space, making visual accessibility in the space hard to achieve. The tools and systems studied do not cover SIZE, CONTRAST, LIGHTING AND EMPATHY at the same time. visual accessibility within built environments ought to consider more than just signage



need for a tool that covers size, contrast, lighting and an empathic understanding of whether an environment or object is visually accessible

CAMBRIDGE SIMULATION GLASSES

experience the built environment with visual impairments, understand what could be improved and support assessment of visual accessibility







SIZE AND LIGHTING FROM BEHIND



SIZE AND FRONTAL LIGHTING

without glasses



CONTRAST SENSITIVITY

without glasses



with 2 pairs of glasses

VRSKDR NHCSOK SCNOZV CNHZOK

with 4 pairs of glasses



Exploration of a PUBLICLY ACCESSIBLE EDUCATIONAL BUILDING while wearing 3 or 4 pairs of the Cambridge simulation glasses: features that remain visible with 4 pairs of simulation glasses worn simultaneously should mean that the exclusion due to visual acuity issues is less than 1%. stairs with/without edging strips contrast sensitivity







signage/information desk text size and light directionality/contrast sensitivity



STEPS WITHOUT EDGING STRIPS

without glasses



Luminance: 141 lx Distance: 150 cm Focal lenght: 4 mm Exposure time: 1/15 without glasses, no particular issues were recorded

when wearing the glasses, the edges of the steps completely dissapear

the steps felt «unnerving»

«considerable concentration to place the feet on each step»

2 STEPS WITH EDGING STRIPS

without glasses with Cambridge simulation glasses

Luminance: 184 lx Distance: 150 cm Focal lenght: 4 mm Exposure time: 1/17

without glasses, no particular issues were recorded

when wearing the glasses, the edges of the steps remained visible

the experience felt «considerably more pleasant»

descending the steps «felt safer»





Luminance: 131 lx Distance: 150 cm Focal lenght: 4 mm Exposure time: 1/17

without glasses, the researchers were able to identify the directions of the arrows and read all of the text

a bit of glare due to the lighting

when wearing the glasses, the sign becomes difficult to read

the large amount of light coming from behind was considerably unhelpful

4 INFORMATION DESK TEXT



Luminance: 176 lx Distance: 150 cm Focal lenght: 4 mm Exposure time: 1/17

without glasses, the researchers were able to easily read the text

when wearing the glasses, the text becomes difficult to read because of the lack of contrast with the background

VISUAL ACCESSIBILITY ASSESSMENT

subjective experience	trained subjective experience	impaired subjective experience	heuristic guidelines
personal beliefs and taste (e.g. interior designer, non-expert user)	informed through training (e.g. access consultant)	persons with a particular level of impairment (e.g. user with VA 6/18)	following guidelines
	-	-	
	simulated empathic subjective experience	shared empathic experience	
	assessor with simulated visual impairment (e.g. wearing the Cambridge simulation glasses)	assessor with simulated visual impairment + person with visual impairment	

Conclusion





increase AWARENESS and EDUCATE stakeholders on visual accessibility for impaired users



create shared EMPATHIC EXPERIENCES of visually accessible features in the built environment

Future developments



engage OTHER USERS



explore different USE CASES of the glasses

MEASUREMENT OF THE BENEFITS in daily working practice



use of vision for FUNCTIONING EFFICIENTLY in an environment (e.g., in a workspace, visual accessibility to controls for heating, ventilation, lighting, door handles etc.)

Thank you! www.inclusivedesigntoolkit.com





the Evaluate part of Process section of this website)