Protocols for Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks -Challenges and Solutions

Associate professor Anne-Lena Kampen

Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Bergen, Norway alk@hvl.no

The Fifteenth International Conference on Sensor Technologies and Applications SENSORCOMM 2021 November 14, 2021 to November 18, 2021 - Athens, Greece



Presenter - Anne-Lena Kampen

- Associate professor at Western Norway University of Applied Sciences (HVL)
 - Main research area: Wireless Sensor Networks (WSN) Industrial networks Underwater communication
- June 2018 until Mars 2020 Norwegian Research Centre AS (NORCE).
 - Smart sensor networks embedded machine learning, and underwater communication.
- Received PhD in Telematics from the Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU) in 2017.
 - WSN energy efficiency and routing-path recovery.
- Professor assistant at HVL from 2005, teaching network communication and electronics.
- Nera Network from 1996 until 2005
 - Research: reducing the energy consumption of power amplifiers. Developed microwave modules for radio links.
- Master of Science in Applied Physics from the University of Tromsø, The Arctic University of Norway, in December 1995,

Outline of the presentation

- Motivation for underwater communication
- Characteristics of underwater communication
- MAC layer
 - Discuss various approaches
- Network layer
 - Discuss various approaches
- Conclusion

	Application
	Presentation
	Session
	Transport
-	Network
-	MAC
	Physical



Motivation

- The Sustainable Development Goals were adopted by all UN Member States in 2015.
- Goal number 14: Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development.
- "
- Oceans.. generate half the oxygen we breathe, support a wealth of marine resources and act as a climate regulator...
- ...overfishing and marine pollution are jeopardizing progress in protecting the world's oceans...
- "
- As a consequence, surveillance of the underwater environment is needed.
- Solutions for underwater WSNs can borrow ideas from the vast amount of terrestrial WSN solutions.
- However, due to the special characteristics underwater environments, adjustments are required

Underwater communication - Characteristics

Underwater protocols must conform to the distinctive challenging characteristics the media [1][2]

- Low and dynamic channel capacity
- Substantial signal attenuation
- Noise
 - Man made and ambient
- Asymmetric links
- Low propagation speed
 - The signal propagation for acoustic underwater communication is five order of magnitude slower than light speed,
 - A fundamental challenge in coordinating the access to the shared communication medium.

[1] C. M. Gussen et al., "A survey of underwater wireless communication technologies," J. Commun. Inf. Sys, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 242-255, 2016.

[2] S. Gauni et al., "Design and Analysis of Co-operative Acoustic and Optical Hybrid Communication for Underwater Communication," Wireless Personal Communications, vol. 117, no. 2, pp. 561-575, 2021.



MAC layer Various approaches

MAC main task

- Regulate access of a number of nodes to a shared medium
- Requirements
 - Fairly share the wireless media-resource
 - Low delay
 - Low overhead
 - Stability
 - Energy efficiency
 - Prevent collisions
 - Recue idle listening
 - Reduce overhearing
 - Reduce overhead



Sensor nodes / Nodes / Devices



: Transmission range for the blue and the red node, respectively

Schedule-based MAC

- Regulates which nodes that may use given resources at a given time
- Typical technology used for scheduling: Time Division Mutiple Access (TDMA), Frequency Division Multiple Access (FDMA) and Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA)
- Schedule can be *fixed* or computed *on demand*
- Advantage:
 - collisions, overhearing and idle listening are avoided/ reduced







Schedule-based MAC

- Challenges:
 - Network-wide resource reservation is complex
 - Traffic from nodes in adjacent areas can interfere.
 - Underwater currents or seafloor changes may move the nodes.
 - Efficient TDMA requires precise synchronization
 - Challenging in underwater environments due to the long and variable transmission delay
 - However, short periods of static and predictable propagation delay may provide synchronization that is accurate enough [1].



[1] A. A. Syed and J. S. Heidemann, "Time Synchronization for High Latency Acoustic Networks," in Infocom, vol. 6, pp. 1-12, 2006.



Contention-based MACs

• Random access protocols



- Reduced management
- Collision may occur





Contention-based MACs

- Challenges:
 - The nodes must delay data transmission according to the longest possible delay
 - Long time-span increases the probability of transmission from a neighboring node.





Experimental results reported based on an NATO at-sea campaigns [1]

• CSMA

- T-Lohi
 - The node transmits a reservation-tone, after which it listens to the channel for the duration of a Contention Round (CR).
 - If no other tones are heard during CR, tx packet.
 - Otherwise, it enters back-off state for a random number of CR before repeating the procedure
- Distance Aware Collision Avoidance Protocol (DACAP),
 - RTS/ CTS to reserve the channel.
 - Receiver sends a short warning packet if it overhears control packets from other nodes after sending its CTS and before receiving the associated data packet.
 - If the sender overhears a control packet, or receives a warning form its destination while waiting for CTS, it aborts data communication.
- The results presented :
- Basically, solutions should be able to adapt, in a distributed way, to dynamically changing conditions.
- Using DACAP, the network performance is deteriorated when the traffic load is increased.
- CSMA reduces the transmission attempts, however, the whole packet has to be retransmitted when collisions occur.
- The end-to-end delay of CSMA and DACAP use exponential backoff making the delay increase rapidly with increased number of retransmissions.
- Not using exponential backoff, T-Lohi has lower end- to- to lay, the price played is higher packet loss.

[1] R. Petroccia, C. Petrioli, and J. Potter, "Performance evaluation of underwater medium access control protocols: Atsea experiments," IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 547-556, 2017.

Network layer

and the second se	
Application	
Presentation	
Session	
Transport	
Network	
MAC	
Physical	

Routing

- The router is responsible for the routing of traffic between networks.
- The routing tables are used to determine the **best path** to send packets.
 - Best path is selected using a given metric (hop-count, delay, ETX ...)
- Routers encapsulate the packet and forward it to the node indicated in routing table.



Routing

- Reactive versus proactive protocols
 - Proactive
 - Discover routing paths before data is being transmitted.
 - Maintain the paths to remain available
 - Reduces delay
 - Energy is used to maintain the paths
 - Reactive
 - Paths are discovered after an event has occurred
 - Energy consumption is reduced since path maintenance is reduced
 - Higher delay





Reactive and proactive protocols

- Underwater challenges:
- Quality of the links are often time varying
 - Thus, proactively generated paths may not be reliable.
- Reactively created paths
 - Very long delay.
- The links may be unidirectional or asymmetric,
 - Difficult to utilize these paths.
 - Although they may be well-working and stable for communication in the correct direction.





Flooding / Broadcasting (reduced/no routing)

- The simplest forwarding approach
 - Broadcasting alleviates the challenges related to generating routing paths.
 - All candidate paths are tried.
 - No specific routing paths needs to be generated.
 - However, the broadcast should be constrained
 - To prevent excessive network traffic,
 - To reduce the energy consumption of the nodes.





Opportunistic routing

- Utilized the broadcast nature of wireless media
 - The most appropriate node forwards the packet further toward the sink.
 - Provides the best progress.
 - Refrain from forwarding when overhearing neighbors forwarding the packet



Opportunistic: This is the most appropriate node to forward the data sent from the green node

Opportunistic routing

- Pipe [1]
 - Reduce the number of potential forwarding nodes
 - Only nodes inside pipe can forward



[1] S. M. Mazinani, H. Yousefi, and M. Mirzaie, "A vector-based routing protocol in underwater wireless sensor networks," Wireless Personal Communications, vol. 100, no. 4, pp. 1569-1583, 2018.

Opportunistic routing

- Challenges:
- Double forwarding of a packet may occur in unreliable media, and
 - if candidate forwarder nodes are outside each other's transmission range. (see figure)
- All nodes use energy to receive and read the packets.
- When the number of potential successor nodes is high, a wide range of distinct holding-time-values is required to prevent multiple nodes' timers from expiring simultaneously.
 - To provide a **broad range of distinct holding-timevalues**, the average delay in the network increases



Conclusion

• Long propagation delay ; Dynamic channel characteristic ; Limited bandwidth

The time available for access control is reduced

• The limited channel resources should not be depleted by large amount of management traffic.

• For efficient utilization of the limited channel capacity

- Reduces the time between received packets, &
- Prevents packet collisions at the receiver.

• Dynamic channel properties ->challenging to generate fixed routing pats.

- We recommend to use constrained broadcasting techniques.
 - Location-based techniques seem to be promising solution.

Thank you very much for the attention !!

Western Norway University of Applied Sciences

IARIA