

– Prehistory and Archaeology Employing Levelheaded Coherent Contextualisation –
Prehistory's and Natural Sciences' Multi-disciplinary Contexts:
Contextualisation and Context Integration
Based on Universal Conceptual Knowledge

The Eleventh International Conference on
Advanced Communications and Computation (INFOCOMP 2021)
May 30 – June 3, 2021, Valencia, Spain



Dr. rer. nat. Claus-Peter Rückemann^{1,2,3}

- ¹ Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster (WWU), Münster, Germany
- ² Unabhängiges Deutsches Institut für Multi-disziplinäre Forschung (DIMF), Germany
- ³ Leibniz Universität Hannover, Hannover, Germany

ruckema(at)uni-muenster.de



Epitome / abstract

Epitome / abstract

- This paper presents the results of the requirements study on a new integration system for **conceptual contextualisation of prehistory's and natural sciences' universal multi-disciplinary contexts**.
- The paper delivers the results of previous and ongoing research initiatives, which are to be integrated based on information science fundamentals for a **coherent conceptual integration, enabling consecutive coherent analysis**. The methodological approach enables the inclusion of new insight and newly created knowledge, e.g., via deployment of knowledge resources and structures. The **programmatic approach and new conceptual knowledge reference implementation** span multi-disciplinary knowledge in a coherent, consistent, and multi-lingual way.
- The **methodology to consistently integrate knowledge context from prehistory and archaeology** disciplines with knowledge in natural sciences and humanities is accompanied by **ongoing multi-disciplinary case studies** implementing the required methods.
- The focus of this research is on **knowledge-based methodologies and deployment of information science methods**, especially, universal conceptual knowledge, for the goal of creating a component framework of reference implementations for coherent and general multi-disciplinary contextualisation and context integration, targeting the creation of new insight, strategies, and perspectives.

Common Information – The Author

Information: CV, lectures, studies, materials, research, and networking

Curriculum Vitae:

<http://scienceparagon.de/cpr/x/rueckemann/en/>

Publications, lectures, and materials:

<http://scienceparagon.de/cpr/x/rueckemann/en/#Publications>

<http://scienceparagon.de/cpr/x/frodi/en/#Courses>

Congresses and venues:

<http://scienceparagon.de/cpr/x/rwerkr/en/>

Research

Dr. Claus-Peter Rückemann

Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster (WWU)

E-Mail: [ruckema\(at\)uni-muenster.de](mailto:ruckema(at)uni-muenster.de)

Chair of the Board of Trustees, Unabhängiges Deutsches Institut für Multi-disziplinäre Forschung (uDIMF);

Chair of the Board on Advanced Computing and Emerging Technologies and

Chair of the Symposia Board, International Academy, Research, and Industry Association;

General Chair and Chair of the Steering Committee of

The International Conference on Advanced Communications and Computation (INFOCOMP);

General Chair and Chair of the Steering Committee of

The International Conference on Advanced Geographic Information Systems, Applications, and Services (GEOProcessing);

Chair of the International Symposium on Advanced Computation and Information in Natural and Applied Sciences;

Director GEXI Consortium; Head of research LX Foundation; Senior Member of Knowledge in Motion long-term project;

Fellow Member of the Int. HPC and Artificial Intelligence Advisory Council; Member of the Indexing Committee Board, IARIA;

Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster (WWU);

Senior Scientist and Senior Lecturer Information Science, Security, and Computing at Leibniz Univ. Hannover; IARIA Fellow.



Introduction

Complexity and witness-context

- When dealing with context, the signification of the terms 'complex' and 'complicated' is often mixed up. **Complexity is a concomitant phenomenon of context**, which, when not well comprehended, appears complicated. Both, complexity and context, are linked by manifold inter-dependencies and often experienced together.
- Context is **witness-context** in many cases, in prehistory and natural sciences.
- Understanding context and gathering complexity in a **coherent, consistent, and methodological** way are therefore important fundaments, which can lead to **consequent systematical instrumentalisation, consecutive coherent analysis, and aspiring new insight**.
- The focus of this research is on knowledge-based methodologies and deployment of information science methods, especially **universal conceptual knowledge**, for the goal of creating a component framework of **reference implementations** for **coherent and general multi-disciplinary contextualisation** targeting the creation of new insight, strategies, and perspectives.
- This research is part of several extensive long-term strategies and concentrating on contextualisation and **context integration for prehistory, protohistory, archaeology and their associated contexts, especially natural sciences and humanities**.

Introduction

Contexts in prehistory

- **Contexts in prehistory are special** in a way that there are no direct historical sources and respectively no literary reference and documentation.
- **Contextualisation is therefore a main intrinsic task in prehistory and protohistory.** From the knowledge point of view, also when looking on methodological conditions, prehistory **shares many characteristics and factual conditions with natural sciences, e.g., geology and soil science.**
- **A coherent conceptual knowledge approach can enable to establish ties and building bridges between contributing knowledge, including future methodologies and contributions from disciplines.** The fundamentals of terminology and understanding the essence of knowledge are layed out by Aristotle, being a central part of 'Ethics' [1]. Information sciences can very much benefit from Aristotle's fundamentals and a knowledge-centric approach [2] but for building holistic and sustainable solutions, supporting a modern definition of knowledge and subsequent component instrumentation [3], they need to go beyond the available technology-based approaches and hypothesis [4] as analysed in Platon's Phaidon. Aspects of meaning can be described using knowledge complements, e.g., considering **factual, conceptual, procedural, metacognitive [2], and structural knowledge.**
- Especially, conceptual knowledge can relate to **any of factual, conceptual, and procedural knowledge.** To a comparable extent, metacognitive knowledge can relate to any of factual, conceptual, and procedural knowledge.
- **Knowledge complements are a means of understanding,** e.g., enabling advanced contextualisation, documentation, prospection, integration, and analysis.

Introduction

How to efficiently organise knowledge contexts

- From an information science point of view, the classical fundamentals of **episteme, techne, and doxa are intrinsically tied complements**. However, knowledge complements, when consequently applied, do not make the creation and development of resources instantaneously easier. They do not make problem solving algorithms simpler. Knowledge complements do not make scientific contexts obsolete, they do neither make qualified expertise unneeded nor do they lead to faster education or cheaper gain of research results and insight.
- This paper presents the **methodological and systematical fundamentals and components for implementing a multi-disciplinary integration of prehistory and its context**.
- The paper summarises the **results of immanent milestones** and, based on these, proposes the next complementary methodological and practical resources' developments.
- Further, details on complements and results of specific application scenarios will be discussed in separate extended papers.

Motivation

Approaches to complexity

- **Complexity is carrying information.** Therefore, from information science point of view, we should take care not to lose complexity whenever dealing with information. **The complexity of appearing context is commonly even increased when applying methods from multiple disciplines.**
- So far, there are **no other comparably holistic and systematical approaches and implementations on conceptual contextualisation known and published** besides the presented approach.
- Contrary, during the last decades, it has become common practice to tackle challenges regarding knowledge and related content solely with procedural approaches, contrary to the fact that creation processes, handling, and management may **allow more effective and efficient measures in context of analysis, long-term development of resources, computation, and processing,**
- Common ways of implementing procedural approaches as plain technical solutions are often **neither effective nor efficient.**
- In addition, such approaches often lack **long-term adaptability and scalability.**

Motivation

Howto organise for coherent knowledge

- How can we create a suitable, practical system of coherent knowledge? Such a system has to conform with information science fundamentals and universal knowledge and has to **enable an integration of the required components** from methodologies to realisations for **knowledge representations of realia and abstract contexts** [5].
- **Many facets of knowledge, including prehistory, need to be continuously acquired and reviewed** [6]. Knowledge itself is part of **cognitive processes** and requires an understanding of **epistemological fundamentals, depending on participated disciplines and views** [7] [8].
- We should therefore **create a system of balanced fundamentals of sustainable, complementary solutions** based on information science and contextualisation-aware methodologies and complements [9], which allows the **application of coherent conceptual knowledge** in theory and practice.
- The conceptual knowledge approach should provide **facilities expressing instances of mental concepts** and the **state of research of their perception**. The creation of object types may be influenced by criteria, e.g., by education, experience, and social context. The approach should enable further development of practical disciplinary terminology assignment, e.g., adaption and synchronisation of terminology.

Pre-existing, ongoing developments

RESULT: REFERENCE IMPLEMENTATION A

Conceptual knowledge frameworks

The following frameworks are developed and used in practice with ongoing long-term research and applied for multi-disciplinary KR. The framework implementations are addressing conceptual knowledge for the following disciplines and scenarios.

- **Environmental information systems conceptual knowledge framework** [10].
- **Mathematical and computational conceptual knowledge framework** [11].
- **Prehistory-protohistory and archaeology Conceptual Knowledge Reference Implementation (CKRI)**, including multi-disciplinary contexts of natural sciences and humanities [9].

Based on information sciences fundamentals, these coherent frameworks are complementary and fully consistent. The more, the prehistory framework was created over the last years and is consequently in continuous further development with ongoing research projects, application scenarios, and studies.

Conceptual knowledge base

RESULT: REFERENCE IMPLEMENTATION B

Conceptual knowledge base

- The **Universal Decimal Classification (UDC)** [12] is a general plan for knowledge classification. UDC is also the world's foremost document indexing language in the form of a multi-lingual classification scheme covering all fields of knowledge and constitutes a sophisticated indexing and retrieval tool. The UDC is designed for subject description and indexing of content of information resources irrespective of the carrier, form, format, and language.
- UDC provides an analytico-synthetic and faceted classification.
- UDC schedules are organised as a coherent system of knowledge with associative relationships and references between concepts and related fields and are used by more than 150.000 document collections worldwide. UDC-based references in this publication are taken from the multi-lingual UDC summary [12] released by the UDC Consortium under a Creative Commons license [13].
- Facets can be created with any auxiliary tables. Notations can be used to refer to external concepts.

Conceptual knowledge pattern realisation

RESULT: REFERENCE IMPLEMENTATION C

Conceptual knowledge pattern realisation

- A means of choice in order to achieve overall efficient realisations even for complex scenarios, integrating arbitrary knowledge, is to use the principles of **Superordinate Knowledge**. The core assembly elements of Superordinate Knowledge are methodology, implementation, and realisation [14]. Comprehensive focussed subsets of conceptual knowledge can provide excellent modular and standardised complements for information science based component implementations, e.g., for environmental information management and computation [10].
- The presented implementations strictly follow the fundamental methodological algorithm base of the the **Conceptual Knowledge Pattern Matching (CKPM) methodology** [5]. Respective results from a methodology targeting structures, including implementation, and knowledge-aware application of the methodology were layed out and are available with practical examples [15]. Core eager beaver procedure- and structure-based implementation components, grep and join, are written in C, as those commonly known.
- Modules can deploy **Perl Compatible Regular Expressions (PCRE)** [16] syntax for specifying common string patterns. The PCRE approach is independent from the procedural realisation using Shell and Perl [17] for component wrapping purposes with case studies and implementations.

Consideration of disciplinary background

RESULT: REFERENCE IMPLEMENTATION D

Consideration of disciplinary background

- **Prehistoric context**, even for chorologically, chronologically, and thematically restricted object groups [18] [19] [20] comprises of a wide and highly variable spectrum of knowledge, applied approaches, and formalisation, including abstraction [21] and documentation [22].
- Almost all knowledge is further referring to complex contexts of many **associated disciplines and views**. In complex scenarios, like multi-disciplinary prehistoric realia founded contexts, we should utilise as much complexity and structure with knowledge complements as possible in order to achieve a high level of integration of factual, conceptual, and structural but also of procedural and metacognitive knowledge.
- In practice, these approaches are often not followed. In many cases, simple convenience of workflow tasks might suggest to integrate 'data' available as is. Even standards and implementations may not be optimal, they can result from the fact that information and data are often determined by technological means. The result may be a limitation regarding the fundamental coherence of knowledge and it may limit the applicability and use of methods and algorithms. Basic deficits of simplified approaches and many commonly used frameworks (e.g., context-unaware approaches for maps/earth services) make these approaches undesirable for a general and coherent scientific and methodological realisation.

Consideration of disciplinary background

RESULT: REFERENCE IMPLEMENTATION D

Consideration of disciplinary background

Further, the application of not well satisfying approaches and methodological deficits, especially in multi-disciplinary context, are often fragmented, heterogeneous, and lacking required coherence and precision [23] or require unnecessary estimations and approximations [24]. Further, in addition to such contrary practice there are multi-fold cases, which should direct to more feasible approaches, e.g., in situations

- when terminology does –in any case– not reflect the context of respective findings,
- when relocated objects require contextualisation and descriptive conceptual knowledge,
- where indications of resources are available without respective artefacts,
- isolated findings of various levels exist,
- when objects with presently isolated contexts require coherent chronology.

Examples of associated, guiding questions are: How can existing and emerging knowledge from pre-history and other disciplines be methodologically integrated? Which multi-disciplinary contexts and approaches can be considered on a coherent, consistent information science base? What are context areas of special characteristics, e.g., where are possible regions of interest and further research? Which fundamentals and component implementations should be in focus of contextualisation?

Consideration of disciplinary background

Consideration of disciplinary background

A basic approach for **prehistoric contextualisation** should be characterised by modular components and premises, namely,

- a coherent, multi-disciplinary methodology, spanning disciplines and fields,
- an overall coherent and consistent knowledge base,
- principle concepts for knowledge description,
- implementing the state of the art in information science and knowledge,
- considering long-term time ranges for continuous developments,
- enabling wide context integration,
- enabling representation of different views,
- enabling representation of different actual perceptions,
- allowing to complement terminology where required,
- and integration of standards and frameworks.

The premise of coherency of the knowledge base is important in a way that solutions should not be restricted to procedural components and interfaces, which intrinsically require additional multi-level formalisation. The coherent approach can provide required descriptive complements to otherwise prescriptive terminologies. The integration should be aware of cognitive visualisation aspects. The contextualisation should further enable to continuously integrate results of past and ongoing research of prehistoric on-site context surveys.

Methodological fundamentals and components

RESULT: REFERENCE IMPLEMENTATION E

Methodological fundamentals and components

The methodological and systematical fundamentals for contextualisation of prehistory, protohistory, and contexts require modularity and flexibility with structure levels and multi-dimensional knowledge context, especially regarding

- **prehistoric object groups,**
- **prehistoric objects,**
- **inter-object group context and references,**
- **chorological and chronological context,**
- **context correlation for soil context,**
- **material context,** and
- **toponymic context,**

with further **natural and environmental context,** regarding **methods and extendability, valorisation, analysis, and potential for new insight.**

Methodological fundamentals and components

Methodological fundamentals and components

At these conditions and based on the previous research and project practice, basic fundamentals are:

- **Universal, coherent, and consistent conceptual knowledge system.**
- **Integration of scientific reference frameworks from disciplines and contexts.**
- **Formalisation for complements, coherence, consistency.**
- **Methodologies, general problem solution, workflow integration.**
Implementation and deployment of methods and algorithms.
- **Prehistory and protohistory knowledge resources and complements.**
- **Natural sciences knowledge context resources and complements.**
- **Inherent representation groups of context resources.**
- **Scientific context parametrisation.**
- **Universal structures and data standards.**
- **Facilities for analysis.**
- **Spatial mapping.**
- **Symbolic representation of context information.**
- **Facilities for automation.**
- **Long-term development and sustainability.**

Methodological fundamentals and components

Methodological fundamentals and components

- Besides obvious reasons, e.g., spatial ranges, serious dependencies are made up by conditions of **required mathematical algorithms and the context of available data**. These dependencies cannot be overcome in many cases as, e.g., it is not possible to get direct data from the original context of a prehistorical site.
- Targeting contextualisation, the conceptual implementation should integrate knowledge for **natural conditions and processes, soil-affine and respective soil-related, e.g., agricultural or geoforensic, contexts**.
- The implementation should consider **different systems of chorologies and chronologies, e.g., prehistorical and geological time frames, palaeolithic to neolithic in coexistence with Pleistocene to Holocene and other conceptual and absolute chronologies**.

The achieved results of respective developments and implementations of the components will be discussed in the following sections.

Methodological component implementations

Methodological component implementations

- Focus is on required **methodological, conceptual**, non-procedural, non-interactive, and non-technical components.
- Practical components for systematical and methodological implementations are defined and developed according to the analyses in already realised projects and case studies of practical scenarios as cited here and described in the references.
- Therefore, numerous components and tools, which have shown not to seamlessly integrate in long-term development environments are not deployed here. Please refer to the secondary literature for components less suitable for the intended integration purpose.
- The overall component developments required for this research are inter-depending and not linear.
- The integrated components should be kept modular on epistemological base.

Conceptual knowledge and complements

RESULT: COMPONENT IMPLEMENTATION I

Conceptual knowledge and complements

- The universal conceptual system is deploying the knowledge framework based on The **Universal Decimal Classification (UDC)** [12]. The approach enables to add multi-disciplinary knowledge to a knowledge base of a discipline on a coherent conceptual knowledge base, e.g., refer further 'hard facts', and to allow further advanced critic factual and cognostic reception.
- Central component is the prehistory-protohistory and archaeology CKRI including multi-disciplinary natural sciences and humanities contexts [9].

Integration of scientific reference frameworks

RESULT: COMPONENT IMPLEMENTATION II

Integration of scientific reference frameworks

- The integration includes relevant scientific practices, frameworks, and standards from disciplines and contexts, e.g., **natural sciences**. **Geosciences** and **soil science** are continuously delivering updated insight on state of the art research, including the **geodiversity** and **standardisation** [25] as required for contextualisation.
- A practical reference implementation coherent with the contextualisation of **prehistory-protohistory and archaeology conceptual knowledge** [9] is currently in development within a long-term project accompanying this research.
- Essential base context sources should provide **worldwide homogeneous and consistent data** [26] allowing extrapolation and interpolation in various dimensions, e.g., from the School of Ocean and Earth Science and Technology (SOEST), National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), Goddard National Space Science Data Center (NSSDC), National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) resources, European Community (EC) resources, and national and federal organisations and initiatives for further integration and future solutions.

RESULT: COMPONENT IMPLEMENTATION III

Formalisation

- All integration components, for all disciplines, require an explicit and **continuous formalisation** [27] process in order to conform with the information science principles according to the practices in the disciplines.
- ... this includes knowledge objects and entities as well as procedural components and addressing aspects of discipline related parole [28].

Methodologies and workflows integration

RESULT: COMPONENT IMPLEMENTATION IIII

Methodologies and workflows integration

- Methodologies for creating and utilising methods include **model processing, remote sensing, spatial mapping, high information densities, and visualisation.**
- The respective contextualisation of prehistoric scenarios should each be done under individual prehistoric conditions, supported by state-of-the-art methods, especially, consistent sources of **standard algorithms [29], multi-dimensional criteria, spatial operations, interpolation geodesic computation [30], triangulation [31], gradient computation [32], and projection [33].**
- Workflow integration also includes the overall spectrum of problem solving, e.g., **mathematical algorithms, mathematical processes, filter processes, but also phonetic and linguistic context support [34].**

Prehistory Knowledge Resources

RESULT: COMPONENT IMPLEMENTATION V

Prehistory Knowledge Resources

- Common sources of information in many disciplines are often not yet aware of universal knowledge concepts and multi-lingual approaches. Common sources are in many cases not sufficiently coherent, consistent, and structured and more often they show to be fragmented and heterogeneous.
- In order to be independent of these basic shortcomings, all of the objects, entities, and respective conceptual knowledge references' excerpts and examples are taken from **The Prehistory and Archaeology Knowledge Archive (PAKA)**. PAKA has been in continuous development for more than three decades [35] and is released by DIMF [36].

Prehistory Knowledge Resources

The conceptual view group is prehistory, prehistoric remains, artefacts, and antiquities. A prehistoric valorisation sample is the swimming reindeer [37], included in detail in [9]. The resources have been in continuous development, which follows information science research, and can be consistently and seamlessly deployed with integrated conceptual reference frameworks and components. In addition, the conceptual views groups are a unique, flexible, and extendable approach of addressing multi-lingual verbal descriptions with a systematic approach and standardised implementation framework for coherent multi-disciplinary and multi-dimensional scenarios, beyond plain representation.

System of discipline object groups and conceptual view groups

System of discipline object groups and conceptual view groups

Plain representation excerpt of a KR based system [12] [15] of major discipline object groups implemented for prehistory and protohistory and their chorological context:

| <i>Major Object Group</i> | <i>Conceptual View Group</i> |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Ritual places, burials | UDC:903 |
| Cemetery | UDC:903 |
| Barrow | UDC:903 |
| Dolmen | UDC:903 |
| Urn | UDC:903 |
| Earthworks | UDC:903 |
| Settlements | UDC:903 |
| Fortifications | UDC:903 |
| Architectures | UDC:903 |
| Structures and arrangements | UDC:903 |
| Timber | UDC:903 |
| Stone | UDC:903 |
| Relics, organic and non-organic | UDC:903 |
| Organic | UDC:903 |
| Metal | UDC:903 |
| Artefacts, organic and non-organic | UDC:903 |
| Rock art | UDC:903 |
| Sculptured objects | UDC:903 |
| Resources (usage, mining, etc.) | UDC:903 |

RESULT: COMPONENT IMPLEMENTATION VI

Natural Sciences Knowledge Resources

Table 1 shows a plain representation excerpt of an implemented system of major **natural sciences' context object groups** from **KR realisations** [12] [15].

System of context object groups and conceptual views groups

System of context object groups and conceptual views groups

Table : System of context object groups and conceptual views groups [12]: Natural sciences / Nature (excerpt).

| <i>Major Object Group</i> | <i>Conceptual View Group</i> |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Landmarks | UDC:55+539+63 |
| Height | UDC:55+539+63 |
| Depth | UDC:55+539+63 |
| Caves | UDC:55+539+63 |
| Natural resources | UDC:55+539+63 |
| Rock outcrops | UDC:55+539+63 |
| Well springs | UDC:55+539+63 |
| Soil features | UDC:55+539+63 |
| Volcanological features | UDC:55+539+63 |
| Impact features | UDC:55+539+63 |

The conceptual view group is earth sciences and geological sciences, physical nature of matter, agriculture and related sciences, including geophysics, historical geology, and palaeogeography, soil science and research.

Inherent representation groups

RESULT: COMPONENT IMPLEMENTATION VII

Discipline and context object groups and conceptual view groups

Plain representation excerpt of major discipline and context object groups regarding their **inherent representation** and common utilisation.

Table : Discipline and context object groups and conceptual view groups [12]: Inherent representation (excerpt).

| <i>Major Object Group</i> | <i>Conceptual View Group</i> |
|---|------------------------------|
| Points, (Points of Interest, Pol) | UDC:52+004 |
| Polygons | UDC:52+004 |
| Lines | UDC:52+004 |
| Digital Elevation Model (DEM) representations | UDC:52+004 |
| z-value representations | UDC:52+004 |
| Distance representations | UDC:52+004 |
| Area representations | UDC:52+004 |
| Raster | UDC:52+004 |
| Vector | UDC:52+004 |
| Binary | UDC:52+004 |
| Non-binary | UDC:52+004 |

The conceptual view group is astronomy, astrophysics, space research, and geodesy, computer science and technology, computing, and data processing, including earth measurement, field surveying, photogrammetry, remote sensing, data processing, interpretation, mapping, data representation, data handling, and computer languages.

Scientific context parametrisation

RESULT: COMPONENT IMPLEMENTATION VIII

Scientific context parametrisation

- Scientific **context parametrisation** of prehistoric targets can use the **overall insights**, e.g., from geoscientific disciplines [38] [39].
- A relevant example is contextualisation with **palaeolandscapes** [40].
- In case of prehistory, parametrisation depends on the prehistorical context, e.g., the geoscientific parametrisation and geoscientific contextualisation depend of the respective selected prehistorical object groups and associated properties.
- The highly inter-dependent complexity can make the **scientific parametrisation** an extremely **advanced long-term challenge**.

Structures and symbolic representation standards

RESULT: COMPONENT IMPLEMENTATION VIII

Structures and symbolic representation standards

- The deployment of **long-term universal structure and data standards** is essential. Relevant examples of sustainable implementations are **NetCDF** [41] based standards, including advanced features, hybrid structure integration, and parallel computing support (PnetCDF) and generic multi-dimensional table data, universal source and text based structure and code representations, e.g., **American Standard Code for Information Interchange (ASCII)**.
- **Structure is an organisation of interrelated entities in a material or non-material object or system** [15]. Structure is essential in logic as it carries unique information. Structure means features and facilities. There are merely higher and lower facility levels of how structures can be addressed, which result from structure levels. Structure can, for example, be addressed by logic, names, references, address labels, pointers, fuzzy methods, phonetic methods. 'Non-structures' can, for example, be addressed by locality, source, context, logic, attributes, size, quantity. Structure is and especially reflects knowledge (especially factual, conceptual, procedural, metacognitive, and structural complements), context, experience, persistence, reusability, sustainability, value, and formalisation, including abstraction and reduction. Structure systematics, meaning, levels of structures, and means of addressing were discussed in detail [15].

Structures and symbolic representation standards

Structures and symbolic representation standards

- We should be aware that lower structure levels can only be addressed on higher formalisation levels, independent of the fact that structure may either be not available or not recognised. Substantial deficits of lower level structured knowledge representations cannot be compensated by (procedural) tools.
- Therefore, addressing structures on cognitive levels is preferable to isolated procedural means and can be utilised for symbolic representations.
- Symbolic representations of prehistoric context information include **graphs**, e.g., diagrams using visualisation techniques, for logical, quantitative, schematic, and semi-schematic characteristics. Concrete examples are relationships of entity representations, variables, topological and spatial properties, and combined representations of abstract and realia properties.
- The **structures and standards, in integration with formalisation processes, knowledge system, and components** should foster seamless long-term development and sustainable realisation. Nevertheless, the complements, which enable flexible automation capabilities are up to vast parts depending on the context of how realia are viewed and in consequence how they should be described and managed, e.g., by formalisation, standards, consistency, systematics, methodological procedures, structure, and object groups.

Discussion

Discussion

This section reviews the status of integration potentials and an outlook on concrete targets based on the lessons learned from the methodological component implementations.

- The component related **processes are challenging** and not trivial, **especially formalisation and parametrisation**. This is the more true for the integration processes.
- The resulting component base is the start of the **long-term integration project on contextualisation for prehistory and multi-disciplinary contexts**.
- All the presented components were **created, developed, and evaluated with the referred practical project results and case studies**.
- The **conceptual knowledge reference implementations**, especially the prehistory CKRI and components showed that they are best choice **addressing required properties and features** for the tasks.

Discussion

Discussion

- The presented components' set of **reference implementations and components also allows further development**, targeting the integration for **coherent contextualisation** including **required standards** from information science, **conceptual knowledge, prehistory and archaeology, natural sciences and geosciences, soil science, satellite and spatial data, and processing algorithms**, for the purpose of **contextualisation and further utilisation and prospection in prehistory and context**.
- It should be explicitly noticed, that the integrated methods, resources, and workflows have to support features beyond methodological compatibility, suitability, modularity, and flexibility on the task, e.g., with development, storage, transfer, and utilisation.
- Especially, the presented conceptual knowledge system enables to respect the rights of participated parties and conform with and adhere to intellectual properties, privacy, and licensing of resources and components, e.g., with intermediate, and resulting structures, formats, and procedural components.
- In consequence, practical integration can refer to involved resources and components from all disciplines, prehistory, geosciences, soil science, remote sensing, application of reference implementations and standards, creation of knowledge, procedural realisations, e.g., algorithms and model processing, and results, e.g., symbolic representation of prehistoric context.

Conclusion

Conclusion

- The focus of this research is on knowledge-based methodologies and deployment of information science methods, especially universal conceptual knowledge, for contextualisation and context integration of multi-disciplinary contexts of prehistory and natural sciences, which can enable **coherent** future analysis. The long-term research projects in different disciplines leading to this publication contributed to the achieved goal to create a **component framework of reference implementations for coherent and general multi-disciplinary contextualisation**, which represents more than its component parts.
- The **integration enables to deal with knowledge complements**, e.g., factual, structural, and formalised like time periods but also with metacognitive like experience, meaning, and symbolism.
- The presented **methodological approach allows to systematically overcome conceptual fragmentation and to foster on a multi-level coherency for multi-disciplinary knowledge**. The multi-lingual conceptual reference implementation allows to address problems of various language dependent fragmentation, e.g., to resolve national and local terminology fragmentation. This is increasingly relevant for coherency of inter-disciplinary knowledge in contextualisation. The new integration system with its components **enables a coherent conceptual integration of prehistory and context disciplines and can foster the consideration and visibility of inherent aspects**. Methodology and implementation allow a wide range or multi-disciplinary contexts and approaches for prehistoric context research for **arbitrary regions on interest** based on context knowledge, which can **globally kept homogeneous and consistent** as allowed by publicly available state-of-the-art resources. **Examples are geoscientific and mathematical parametrisation and model computations for prehistoric scenarios**.

Conclusion

Conclusion

- The **developed reference implementations and components**, including the **prehistory CKRI** and the **geoscientific reference implementations**, have been in continuous further development to address the continuous development of multi-disciplinary knowledge resources and new methodological implementations. Conceptual knowledge system and component implementations allow to address and correlate contexts described by geoscientific disciplines, e.g., diversity of soil and properties relevant for prehistory and respective research. Overall, in result, contextualisation fosters careful and diligent scientific interpretation.
- Further research, besides global applicability of the methodology and implementations, can focus on the **Central European supra-regional studies** and on **micro-regional studies in the Northern Germany (North-Rhine Westphalia, Lower Saxony) and The Netherlands coast areas**.
- Future research targets further long-term development of a **consistent conceptual knowledge framework focussing on prehistory** and includes **context-aware surveys on prehistoric object groups, multi-disciplinary contextualisation of geodiversity and prehistoric scenarios, modular integration, analysis, and symbolic representation components for prehistory and context disciplines**. The integration and priorities with information science research depend on the state-of-the-art results and development in contributing disciplines.

Thank you for your attention!

References

References and acknowledgements, see:

- ⇒ C.-P. Rückemann, "Prehistory's and Natural Sciences' Multi-disciplinary Contexts: Contextualisation and Context Integration Based on Universal Conceptual Knowledge in *Proceedings of The Eleventh International Conference on Advanced Communications and Computation (INFOCOMP 2021), May 30 – June 3, 2021, Valencia, Spain*. XPS Press, 2021, ISSN: 2308-3484, ISBN-13: 978-1-61208-865-5, URL: <http://www.thinkmind.org/index.php?view=instance&instance=INFOCOMP+2021> [accessed: 2021-05-31], <http://www.iaria.org/conferences2021/ProgramINFOCOMP21.html> [accessed: 2021-05-31].

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements

This ongoing research is supported by scientific organisations and individuals. We are grateful to the “Knowledge in Motion” (KiM) long-term project, Unabhängiges Deutsches Institut für Multi-disziplinäre Forschung (DIMF), for partially funding this research, implementation, case studies, and publication under grants D2018F1P04962, D2019F1P04998, and D2020F1P05228 and to its senior scientific members and members of the permanent commission of the science council, especially to Dr. Friedrich Hülsmann, Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz Bibliothek (GWLB) Hannover, to Dipl.-Biol. Birgit Gersbeck-Schierholz, Leibniz Universität Hannover, to Dipl.-Ing. Martin Hofmeister, Hannover, and to Olaf Lau, Hannover, Germany, for fruitful discussion, inspiration, and practical multi-disciplinary case studies. We are grateful to The Science and High Performance Supercomputing Centre (SHPSC) for long-term support. / DIMF-PIID-DF98_007.

Bibliography / References

**Reference section containing all the cited references
used from the respective publication.**

- [1] Aristotle, *The Ethics of Aristotle*, 2005, Project Gutenberg, eBook, EBook-No.: 8438, Rel. Date: Jul., 2005, Digit. Vers. of the Orig. Publ., Produced by Ted Garvin, David Widger, and the DP Team, Edition 10, URL: <http://www.gutenberg.org/ebooks/8438> [accessed: 2021-03-07].
- [2] L. W. Anderson and D. R. Krathwohl, Eds., *A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives*. Allyn & Bacon, Boston, MA (Pearson Education Group), USA, 2001, ISBN: 978-0801319037.
- [3] C.-P. Rückemann, F. Hülsmann, B. Gersbeck-Schierholz, P. Skurowski, and M. Staniszewski, *Knowledge and Computing*. Post-Summit Results, Delegates' Summit: Best Practice and Definitions of Knowledge and Computing, Sept. 23, 2015, The Fifth Symp. on Adv. Comp. and Inf. in Natural and Applied Sciences (SACINAS), The 13th Int. Conf. of Num. Analysis and Appl. Math. (ICNAAM), Sept. 23–29, 2015, Rhodes, Greece, 2015, pp. 1–7, DOI: 10.15488/3409.
- [4] Plato, *Phaedo*, 2008, (Written 360 B.C.E.), Translated by Benjamin Jowett, Provided by The Internet Classics Archive, URL: <http://classics.mit.edu/Plato/phaedo.html> [accessed: 2021-03-07].
- [5] C.-P. Rückemann, "From Knowledge and Meaning Towards Knowledge Pattern Matching: Processing and Developing Knowledge Objects Targeting Geoscientific Context and Georeferencing," in *Proc. of The Twelfth Internat. Conf. on Advanced Geographic Information Systems, Applications, and Services*

(*GEOProcessing 2020*), November 21–25, 2020, Valencia, Spain. XPS Press, Wilmington, Delaware, USA, 2020, pp. 36–41, ISSN: 2308-393X, ISBN-13: 978-1-61208-762-7.


- [6] R. Gleser, “Klassifikation, Verbreitung und chemische Zusammensetzung kupferzeitlicher Metallartefakte and Rhein, Mosel und Saar,” in *Proceedings, Beiträge des international Symposiums zur Archäologie in der Großregion, 14.–17. April 2016, Europäische Akademie Otzenhausen*, 2017, pp. 163–189, (title in English: Classification, Distribution, and Chemical Composition of Copper-age Metal Artefacts at the Rhine, Moselle, and Saar), Archäologentage Otzenhausen, Band 3, Archäologie in der Großregion, Koch, M. (ed.), ISBN: 578-3-941509-14-6.
- [7] R. Gleser, *Rekonstruktion der Vergangenheit: Zur methodischen Eigenart prähistorischen Erkennens*. mentis, Paderborn, 2018, pp. 199–237, (title in English: Reconstruction of the Past: On the Methodical Peculiarity of Prehistorical Cognition), in: A.-S. Naujoks, J. Stelling, and O. R. Scholz (eds.), *Von der Quelle zur Theorie. Vom Verhältnis zwischen Objektivität und Subjektivität in den historischen Wissenschaften*, ISBN: 978-3-95743-136-3.
- [8] R. Gleser, *Zu den erkenntnistheoretischen Grundlagen der Prähistorischen Archäologie*. Leiden, 2021, 2021, (title in English: On the Epistemological Fundamentals of Prehistorical Archaeology), in: M. Renger, S.-M. Rothermund, S. Schreiber, and A. Veling (Eds.), *Theorie, Archäologie, Reflexion. Kontroversen und Ansätze im deutschsprachigen Diskurs*, (in print).

- [9] C.-P. Rückemann, "The Information Science Paragon: Allow Knowledge to Prevail, from Prehistory to Future – Approaches to Universality, Consistency, and Long-term Sustainability," *The International Journal "Information Models and Analyses" (IJ IMA)*, vol. 9, no. 2, 2020, Markov, K. (ed.), ISSN: 1314-6416 (print), (to appear).
- [10] C.-P. Rückemann, *Sustainable Knowledge and Resources Management for Environmental Information and Computation*. Business Expert Press, Manhattan, New York, USA, Mar. 2018, Ch. 3, pp. 45–88, in: Huong Ha (ed.), *Climate Change Management: Special Topics in the Context of Asia*, ISBN: 978-1-94784-327-1, in: Robert Sroufe (ed.), *Business Expert Press Environmental and Social Sustainability for Business Advantage Collection*, ISSN: 2327-333X (collection, print).
- [11] C.-P. Rückemann, "Superordinate Knowledge Based Comprehensive Subset of Conceptual Knowledge for Practical Mathematical-Computational Scenarios," in *The Ninth Symp. on Adv. Comp. and Inf. in Natural and Applied Sciences (SACINAS), Proceedings of The 17th Int. Conf. of Num. Analysis and Appl. Math. (ICNAAM), Sept. 23–28, 2019, Rhodes, Greece, AIP CP, Volume 2293 A*. AIP Press, American Institute of Physics, Melville, New York, USA, Nov. 2020, pp. 040 002–1 – 040 002–4, ISBN-13: 978-0-7354-4025-8, DOI: 10.1063/5.0026478.
- [12] "Multilingual Universal Decimal Classification Summary," 2012, UDC Consortium, 2012, Web resource, v. 1.1. The Hague: UDC Consortium (UDCC)   

- Publication No. 088), URL: <http://www.udcc.org/udccsummary/php/index.php> [accessed: 2021-03-07].
- [13] “Creative Commons Attribution Share Alike 3.0 license,” 2012, URL: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/> [accessed: 2021-03-07], (first release 2009, subsequent update 2012).
- [14] C.-P. Rückemann, “Principles of Superordinate Knowledge: Separation of Methodology, Implementation, and Realisation,” in *The Eighth Symp. on Adv. Comp. and Inf. in Natural and Applied Sciences (SACINAS), Proceedings of The 16th Int. Conf. of Num. Analysis and Appl. Math. (ICNAAM), Sept. 13–18, 2018, Rhodes, Greece, AIP CP, Vol. 2116*. AIP Press, American Institute of Physics, Melville, New York, USA, 2019, ISBN: 978-0-7354-1854-7, DOI: 10.1063/1.5114033.
- [15] C.-P. Rückemann, “The Impact of Information Science Accompanied Structural Information on Computation of Knowledge Pattern Matching and Processing: A Prehistory, Archaeology, Natural Sciences, and Humanities Conceptual Integration Perspective,” in *Proc. of The Tenth Int. Conf. on Adv. Comm. and Comp. (INFOCOMP 2020), Sept. 27 – Oct. 1, 2020, Lisbon, Portugal, 2020*, ISSN: 2308-3484, ISBN: 978-1-61208-807-5, URL: http://www.thinkmind.org/index.php?view=article&articleid=infocomp_2020_1_10_60015 [accessed: 2021-03-07].
- [16] “Perl Compatible Regular Expressions (PCRE),” 2021, URL: <https://www.pcre.org/> [accessed: 2021-03-07].

- [17] "The Perl Programming Language," 2021, URL: <https://www.perl.org/> [accessed: 2021-03-07].
- [18] H.-J. Häbeler, *Ur- und Frühgeschichte in Niedersachsen*. Nikol, Hamburg, 2002, ISBN: 3-933203-61-9.
- [19] H. Kröber, *Natur und Landschaft in Niedersachsen, Die Naturdenkmal-Typen*. Schlütersche Verlagsgesellschaft mbH & Co. KG, 2001, ISBN: 3-87706-616-X.
- [20] R. Wiechers-Weidner, *Großsteingräber in Westfalen*. Landschaftsverband Westfalen-Lippe, Landesbildstelle Westfalen, 1985, (title in English: Megalithic Tombs in Westphalia).
- [21] A. Cochrane and A. M. Jones, Eds., *Visualising the Neolithic: Abstraction, Figuration, Performance, Representation*. Oxbow Books, Oxford and Oakville, 2012, ISBN: 978-1-84218-477-7, Neolithic Studies Group Seminar Papers 13.
- [22] P. Skoglund, J. Ling, and U. Bertilsson, Eds., *Picturing the Bronze Age*. Published in the United Kingdom by Oxbow Books, Oxford & Philadelphia, 2015, Swedish Rock Art Series: Volume 3, ISBN: 978-1-78297-879-4.
- [23] B. Fritsch, M. Furholt, M. Hinz, L. Lorenz, H. Nelson, G. Schafferer, S. Schiesberg, and K.-G. Sjögren, "Dichtezentren und lokale Gruppierungen – Eine Karte zu den Großsteingräbern Mittel- und Nordeuropas," *jungsteinSITE*, Oct. 2010, ISSN: 1868-3088, (title in English: Centres of Density and Local Groups – A Map of Megalithic Tombs in Central and Northern Europe).

- [24] C. Nimura, *Prehistoric Rock Art in Scandinavia: Agency and Environmental Change*. Published in the United Kingdom by Oxbow Books, Oxford & Philadelphia, 2016, Swedish Rock Art Series: Volume 4, ISBN: 978-1-78570-119-1.
- [25] B. M. Das, *Advanced Soil Mechanics; Fifth Edition*, 5th ed. CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, London, New York, 2019, ISBN: 978-0-8153-7913-3.
- [26] “Land Processed Distributed Active Archive Center,” 2021, LP DAAC, URL: <https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/> [accessed: 2021-03-07].
- [27] C.-P. Rückemann, R. Pavani, B. Gersbeck-Schierholz, A. Tsitsipas, L. Schubert, F. Hülsmann, O. Lau, and M. Hofmeister, *Best Practice and Definitions of Formalisation and Formalism*. Post-Summit Results, Delegates’ Summit: The Ninth Symp. on Adv. Comp. and Inf. in Natural and Applied Sciences (SACINAS), The 17th Int. Conf. of Num. Analysis and Appl. Math. (ICNAAM), Sept. 23–28, 2019, Rhodes, Greece, 2019, pp. 1–16, DOI: 10.15488/5241.
- [28] F. de Saussure, *Cours de linguistique générale*, 1916, (title in English: Course in General Linguistics), C. Bally and A. Sechehaye (eds.).
- [29] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, *Numerical Recipes in Fortran 90, The Art of Parallel Scientific Computing*. Cambridge University Press, 1996.

- [30] T. Vincenty, "Direct and inverse solutions of geodesics on the ellipsoid with application of nested equations," *Surv. Rev.*, vol. XXII, no. 176, pp. 88–93, 1975.
- [31] R. J. Renka, "Algorithm 772: STRIPACK: Delaunay Triangulation and Voronoi Diagram on the Surface of a Sphere," *AMC Trans. Math. Software*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 416–434, 1997.
- [32] B. K. P. Horn, "Hill-Shading and the Reflectance Map," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 14–47, 1981.
- [33] A. J. Kent and P. Vujakovic, Eds., *The Routledge Handbook of Mapping and Cartography*. Taylor & Francis Group, London and New York, 2018, ISBN: 978-1-138-83102-5.
- [34] C.-P. Rückemann, "Archaeological and Geoscientific Objects used with Integrated Systems and Scientific Supercomputing Resources," *Int. Jour. on Adv. in Systems and Measurements*, vol. 6, no. 1&2, pp. 200–213, 2013, ISSN: 1942-261x, URL: http://www.thinkmind.org/download.php?articleid=sysmea_v6_n12_2013_15 [accessed: 2021-03-07].
- [35] C.-P. Rückemann, "Information Science and Inter-disciplinary Long-term Strategies – Key to Insight, Consistency, and Sustainability: Conceptual Knowledge Reference Methodology Spanning Prehistory, Archaeology, Natural Sciences, and Humanities," *International Tutorial, DataSys Congress 2020, Sept. 27 – Oct. 1, 2020, Lisbon, Portugal*, 2020, URL: 

<http://www.iaia.org/conferences2020/ProgramINFOCOMP20.html>
[accessed: 2021-03-07].

- [36] “The Prehistory and Archaeology Knowledge Archive (PAKA) license,” 2021, (release 2021), Unabhängiges Deutsches Institut für Multi-disziplinäre Forschung (DIMF): All rights reserved. Rights retain to the contributing creators.
- [37] J. Cook, *The Swimming Reindeer*, ser. British Museum Objects in Focus. The British Museum Press, 2015, ISBN: 978-0-7141-2821-4, (first published 2010).
- [38] R. Brinkmann, *Abriß der Geologie, Bd. 2, Historische Geologie, neubearbeitet von Karl Krömmelbein*, 12th/13th ed. Ferdinand Enke Verlag, Stuttgart, 1986, ISBN: 3-432-80603-5.
- [39] P. Woldstedt and K. Duphorn, *Norddeutschland und angrenzende Gebiete im Eiszeitalter*, 3rd ed., 1974.
- [40] G. N. Bailey, J. Harff, and D. Sakellariou, Eds., *Under the Sea: Archaeology and Palaeolandscapes of the Continental Shelf*, ser. Coastal Research Library. Springer International Publishing AG 2017, 2017, vol. 20, ISSN: 2211-0577, ISBN: 978-3-319-53158-8, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-53160-1.
- [41] “Network Common Data Form (NetCDF),” 2021, DOI: 10.5065/D6H70CW6, URL: <http://www.unidata.ucar.edu/software/netcdf/> [accessed: 2021-03-07].