Performance Evaluation of MIMO Detectors over Impulsive Noise

Sidia Instituto de Ciência e Tecnologia

Authors: Danilo Pena; José Juajinoy;

Thais Areias;

Juliano Bazzo.

May 31st, 2021 Danilo Pena (danilo.pena@sidia.com)



Presenter



Danilo S. Pena received his B.Sc. degree in 2012 and his M.Sc. degree in 2014, where he worked on signal processing and embedded systems projects. He obtained his Ph.D. degree in signal processing in 2019, where his research involves statistical signal processing, optimization, and array signal processing. He developed a novelty method for source localization based on acoustic signals over severe conditions at the Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte (UFRN), Brazil. Currently, he works as an R&D engineer in the Protocol TG at Sidia in Brazil.



Sidia Research

- Topics where Sidia has published
 - MIMO detectors
 - MIMO antennas
 - Massive MU-MIMO systems
 - Beamforming
 - mmWave systems
 - UE power consumption
 - Machine Learning applied to LTE networks



Agenda

- Introduction
- MIMO System
- Impulsive Noise
 - Symmetric α -Stable Model
 - Gaussian Mixture Model
- MIMO Detectors
- Results and Discussions
 - Noise Model Analysis
 - Impulsiveness Analysis
- Conclusions

Introduction

- Scope: MIMO detectors over impulsive noise. Traditional detectors depend on second-order statistical noise assumptions (Gaussian noise). They suffer severe degration over non-Gaussian scenario.
- Goal
 - <u>Analyze the performance of traditional MIMO detectors over non-Gaussian</u> <u>noise scenarios.</u>



MIMO System



sidia

Impulsive Noise

• Example of impulsive noise (with high impulsiveness)





Impulsive Noise

• Symmetric α -Stable (S α S) Model



Impulsive Noise

• Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)



sidia

MIMO Detectors

• Maximum Likelihood Detector (MLD)

$$\hat{s}_{\text{MLD}} = \underset{s}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \left| y_m - \sum_{n=1}^{N_T} h_{mn} s_n \right|^2$$

• Minimum Mean-Square-Error Detector (MMSE)

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{s}}_{\text{MMSE}} = \boldsymbol{W}^{H} \boldsymbol{y}_{m}$$
 $J(\boldsymbol{W}) = E[||\boldsymbol{s}_{\text{MMSE}} - \boldsymbol{W}^{H} \boldsymbol{y}_{m}||^{2}]$

• Inverse Channel Detector (ICD)

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{s}}_{ ext{ICD}} = \boldsymbol{H}^{-1} \boldsymbol{y}_m$$

sidia

Results

• MIMO detectors performance comparison among the noise models.



sidia

SER: Symbol Error Rates; ξ : noise parameter (GMM); α : noise parameter (S α S).

Results

• Impulsiveness evaluation based on the noise parameters.



SER: Symbol Error Rates; ξ : noise parameter (GMM); α : noise parameter (S α S).



Conclusions

- We concluded that the MIMO detectors have high error rates in impulsive noise scenarios making them infeasible for current wireless systems. Depending on the noise power (GSNR), the detectors work well for impulsiveness levels that are not too severe. The detectors can be more sensitive in relation to the impulsiveness level represented by their parameters. Studies in impulsive noise scenarios must <u>pay attention for not</u> <u>only the GSNR value, but also for the impulsiveness level considered</u> and how it impacts the detectors.
- Future works
 - Investigate the Gaussian mixture model including the number of Gaussian components and its effect in impulsive noise fitting;
 - Investigate adaptive detectors based on the impulsiveness parameters.