



Departament of Telecommunications University Politehnica of Bucharest, Romania **ionut_dorinel.ficiu@upb.ro***,

camelia.elisei@romatsa.ro, {cristian, pale}@comm.pub.ro

* Presenter



Presenter's Biography



• Current:

PhD student

- @ Doctoral School of Electronics, Telecommunications & Information Technology, University Politehnica of Bucharest since October 2020
- **Thesis subject:** Efficient algorithms for acoustic applications
- Coordinator: Prof. Constantin Paleologu

• Past:

Master's degree

- @ Advanced Digital Imaging Techniques (TAID), <u>University Politehnica of Bucharest</u> (2018 2020)
- Dissertation thesis: Deep neural networks for environmental sounds classification
- **Coordinators:** Assoc. Prof. Cristian-Lucian Stanciu, Assoc. Prof. Cristian Anghel
- Batchelor's degree (Valedictorian)
 - @ Telecommunications Technologies and Systems (TST), <u>University Politehnica of Bucharest</u> (2014 2018)
 - Diploma thesis: Convolutional Neural Networks for Object Segmentation and Tracking in Video Sequences
 - Coordinators: Prof. Mihai Ciuc, PhD. Cosmin Toca



Outline



- Introduction
- RLS Algorithm for Bilinear Forms
- RLS-DCD Algorithm for Bilinear Forms
- Regularized RLS Algorithm for Bilinear Forms
- Variable-Regularized RLS Algorithm for Bilinear Forms
- Variable-Regularized RLS-DCD Algorithm for Bilinear Forms
- Simulation Results
- Conclusions



Introduction

Introduction (equiver) HSS department 2 frequently used in system identification + the reference (interest (inte

the reference (desired) signal:
$$\begin{split} &(|n|) = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{X}(n) \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{b}(n) & \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{g}} = \min \min \min \min \min \min (\min \mathbf{k}, n, n, N) \\ & \mathbf{X}(n) = [r_n(n) \mathbf{x}_n(n) - \mathbf{x}_n(n)] \\ & \mathbf{x}_n(n) = [r_n(n) \mathbf{x}_n(n-1) - \mathbf{x}_n(n-1+n)]^T \\ & \text{anget} \\ & \min \mathbf{b}(n) = \mathbf{b}(n) \text{ for the reference of the interval form of binner for the identification of binner for the identification of binner form of the interval form of binner form of the interval form of the interval form of the interval form of binner form of the interval form of binner for the identification of binner for the identification of binner form of the interval form of binner for the identification of binner for the identification of binner form of binner for the identification of binner for the identifi$$

4

- Recursive least-square (RLS) algorithm → frequently used in system identification problems
- → the reference (desired) signal:
 - $d(n) = \mathbf{r}^{T} \mathbf{x}(n) + w(n) \qquad \mathbf{r} = \text{unknown system (length } L)$ $\mathbf{x}(n) = [x(n) \ x(n-1) \ \dots \ x(n-L+1)]^{T}$ w(n) = system noise
- In this work → identification of bilinear forms

[Benesty et al., *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, May 2017] [Paleologu et al., *Digital Signal Processing*, April 2018]

→ the reference (desired) signal:

$$d(n) = \mathbf{h}^T \mathbf{X}(n) \mathbf{g} + w(n) \qquad \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{g} = \text{unknown systems (length L and M)}$$
$$\mathbf{X}(n) = [\mathbf{x}_1(n) \mathbf{x}_2(n) \dots \mathbf{x}_M(n)]$$
$$\mathbf{x}_m(n) = [x_m(n) x_m(n-1) \dots x_m(n-L+1)]^T$$

• Target

→ Variable-Regularized RLS algorithms for the identification of bilinear forms



Introduction



Model

 $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{n}) \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{n})$

Bilinear form

(with respect to the impulse responses)

Examples of applications:

- multi-channel equalization
- nonlinear acoustic echo cancellation

[Gesbert and Duhamel, IEEE WSSAP, 1996][Huang et al., IEEE ICASSP, 2017][Stenger and Kellerman, Signal Processing, Sept. 2000]

Equivalent model

$$d(n) = \mathbf{f}^{T} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}(n) + w(n)$$

f → length *ML* h → length *L* g → length *M*

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{g} \otimes \mathbf{h} \rightarrow Kronecker product$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(n) = \operatorname{vec}[\mathbf{X}(n)] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1(n) \\ \mathbf{x}_2(n) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_M(n) \end{bmatrix}$$



RLS Algorithm for Bilinear Forms



The normal equations (LS criterion):

$$\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n)\hat{\mathbf{h}}(n) = \mathbf{p}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n)$$

 $\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n)\hat{\mathbf{g}}(n) = \mathbf{p}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n)$, where

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) &= \lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}} \mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n-1) + \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}^{T}(n) \\ \mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) &= \lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n-1) + \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}^{T}(n) \\ n &, \text{ with } \\ \mathbf{p}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) &= \lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}} \mathbf{p}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n-1) + \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) \mathrm{d}(n) \\ \mathbf{p}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) &= \lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{p}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n-1) + \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) \mathrm{d}(n) \end{split}$$

 $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) = [\hat{\mathbf{g}}(n-1) \otimes \mathbf{I}_L]^T \tilde{\mathbf{x}}(n)$ $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) = [\mathbf{I}_M \otimes \hat{\mathbf{h}}(n-1)]^T \tilde{\mathbf{x}}(n)$

$$\lambda_{\widehat{h}} (0 \ll \lambda_{\widehat{h}} < 1)$$

and
 $\lambda_{\widehat{g}} (0 \ll \lambda_{\widehat{g}} < 1)$
Forgetting factors

Matrix inversion lemma: $\frac{R_{\hat{g}}^{-1}(n)}{R_{\hat{h}}^{-1}(n)} \implies RLS-BF \implies Complexity: O(L^2 + M^2)$

Auxiliary normal equations solvable with the *Dichotomous Coordinate Descent* algorithm: RLS-DCD-BF





Initialization:

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\mathbf{h}}(0) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{T}, \ \widehat{\mathbf{g}}(0) = \frac{1}{M} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T} \\ \mathbf{R}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(0) &= \delta \mathbf{I}_{L}, \ \mathbf{R}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(0) &= \delta \mathbf{I}_{M}, \ \mathbf{r}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(0) &= \mathbf{0}_{L \times 1}, \ \mathbf{r}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(0) &= \mathbf{0}_{M \times 1} \\ \\ \text{For } n &= 1, 2, \dots \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Step 1:} \quad \mathbf{R}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) &= \lambda_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}} \mathbf{R}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n-1) + \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{f}}}^{T}(n) \\ \mathbf{R}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) &= \lambda_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{R}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n-1) + \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}^{T}(n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Step 2:} \quad e(n) &= d(n) - \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}^{T}(n) \widehat{\mathbf{h}}(n-1) = d(n) - \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}^{T}(n) \widehat{\mathbf{g}}(n-1) \\ \\ \text{Step 3:} \quad \widetilde{\mathbf{p}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) &= \lambda_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}} \mathbf{r}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n-1) + \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) e(n) \\ & \widetilde{\mathbf{p}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) &= \lambda_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{r}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n-1) + \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) e(n) \\ \\ \text{Step 4:} \quad \mathbf{R}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) \triangle \widehat{\mathbf{h}}(n) &= \widetilde{\mathbf{p}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) \xrightarrow{\text{DCD}} \triangle \widehat{\mathbf{h}}(n), \ \mathbf{r}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) \\ & \mathbf{R}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) \triangle \widehat{\mathbf{g}}(n) &= \widetilde{\mathbf{p}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) \xrightarrow{\text{DCD}} \triangle \widehat{\mathbf{g}}(n), \ \mathbf{r}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) \\ \\ \text{Step 5:} \quad \widehat{\mathbf{h}}(n) &= \widehat{\mathbf{h}}(n-1) + \triangle \widehat{\mathbf{g}}(n) \end{aligned}$$

RLS-DCD-BF-v1:

- $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) \Rightarrow$ time-shift property in the steady-state: $\hat{\mathbf{g}}(n) \approx \hat{\mathbf{g}}(n-1)$
- $\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n)$ symmetric \Rightarrow $\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}^{(1)}(n) = \lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}} \mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}^{(1)}(n-1) + \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}^{(1)}(n)$
- $(L-1) \times (L-1)_{lower-right block of R_{\hat{g}}(n)} \approx$ $(L-1) \times (L-1)_{upper-left block of R_{\hat{g}}(n-1)}$
- Complexity: $O(L + M^2)$

RLS-DCD-BF-v2:

- $\widetilde{x}_{\hat{g}}(n)$ and $\widetilde{x}_{\widehat{h}}(n)$ are independent and have the same power
- same approach for $R_{\hat{h}}(n)$
- Complexity: O(L + M)





Regularized RLS Algorithm for Bilinear Forms

The cost functions (LS criterion):

$$J_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}[\hat{\mathbf{g}}(n)] = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\n}}^{n} \lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}^{n-i} [d(i) - \hat{\mathbf{g}}^{T}(n)\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(i)]^{2} + \delta_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}} \|\hat{\mathbf{g}}(n)\|^{2} , \text{ where } and \\ J_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}[\hat{\mathbf{h}}(n)] = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i=1}}^{n} \lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}^{n-i} [d(i) - \hat{\mathbf{h}}^{T}(n)\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(i)]^{2} + \delta_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}} \|\hat{\mathbf{h}}(n)\|^{2} , \lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(0 \ll \lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}} < 1)$$
Forgetting factors Regularization parameters

The updates:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{h}}(n) &= \hat{\mathbf{h}}(n-1) + \left[\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) + \delta_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}} \mathbf{I}_L \right]^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) e(n) \\ \hat{\mathbf{g}}(n) &= \hat{\mathbf{g}}(n-1) + \left[\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) + \delta_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{I}_M \right]^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) e(n) \end{split}, \text{ where } \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) &= [\hat{\mathbf{g}}(n-1) \otimes \mathbf{I}_L]^T \tilde{\mathbf{x}}(n) \\ \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) &= [\mathbf{I}_M \otimes \hat{\mathbf{h}}(n-1)]^T \tilde{\mathbf{x}}(n) \\ \mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) &= \lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}} \mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n-1) + \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}^T(n) \\ \mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) &= \lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n-1) + \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}^T(n) \end{split}$$





The update equations can be rewritten as :

$$\mathbf{P}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) = \mathbf{I}_{L} - \left[\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) + \delta_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}\mathbf{I}_{L}\right]^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n)\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}^{T}(n)$$
$$\mathbf{P}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) = \mathbf{I}_{M} - \left[\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) + \delta_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}\mathbf{I}_{M}\right]^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n)\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}^{T}(n)$$

1

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}}(n) = \mathbf{P}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n)\hat{\mathbf{h}}(n-1) + \tilde{\mathbf{h}}(n)$$
$$\hat{\mathbf{g}}(n) = \mathbf{P}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n)\hat{\mathbf{g}}(n-1) + \tilde{\mathbf{g}}(n)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}}(n) = \left[\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) + \delta_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}\mathbf{I}_{L}\right]^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n)d(n)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}(n) = \left[\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) + \delta_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}\mathbf{I}_{M}\right]^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n)d(n)$$

The correction components of the algorithm

Let us define
$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) &= d(n) - \tilde{\mathbf{h}}^T(n) \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) \\ \tilde{e}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) &= d(n) - \tilde{\mathbf{g}}^T(n) \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) \end{aligned}$$

- In the context of real-world system identification problems, the main purpose is to recover the noise signal from the error of the adaptive filter.
- We could find $\delta_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}$ and $\delta_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}$ in such a way that: $E[\tilde{e}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}^2(n)] = E[\tilde{e}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}^2(n)] = \sigma_w^2$

ΔRΤΔ







$$\delta_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}^{2} - \frac{2\delta_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}L\sigma_{x}^{2}\nu_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}}{\mathrm{SNR}} - \frac{\left(L\sigma_{x}^{2}\nu_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{SNR}} = 0 \qquad \qquad \nu_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}} = E[\|\hat{\mathbf{g}}(n-1)\|]^{2}$$

$$\delta_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}^{2} - \frac{2\delta_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}M\sigma_{x}^{2}\nu_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}}{\mathrm{SNR}} - \frac{\left(M\sigma_{x}^{2}\nu_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{SNR}} = 0 \qquad \qquad \nu_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}} = E[\|\hat{\mathbf{h}}(n-1)\|]^{2}$$

 The obvious solutions of these equations lead to the regularization parameters:

$$\delta_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}} = \frac{LE\left[\|\hat{\mathbf{g}}(n-1)\|^{2}\right]\left(1+\sqrt{1+\mathrm{SNR}}\right)}{\mathrm{SNR}}\sigma_{x}^{2}$$
$$\delta_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}} = \frac{ME\left[\|\hat{\mathbf{h}}(n-1)\|^{2}\right]\left(1+\sqrt{1+\mathrm{SNR}}\right)}{\mathrm{SNR}}\sigma_{x}^{2}$$

 $\sigma_d^2 = \sigma_v^2 + \sigma_w^2$

- Let us assume that the adaptive filter has converged to a certain degree: $\sigma_y^2 \approx \sigma_{\hat{y}}^2$
- We can express the signal model in terms of power estimates:





11

- Variable-Regularized RLS Algorithm for Bilinear Forms
- The power estimates can be evaluated in a recursive manner as:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{d}^{2}(n) = \gamma \hat{\sigma}_{d}^{2}(n-1) + (1-\gamma)d^{2}(n) \qquad \stackrel{0 \ll \gamma < 1}{\implies} \qquad \widehat{SNR}(n) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{y}}^{2}(n)}{\left|\hat{\sigma}_{d}^{2}(n) - \hat{\sigma}_{\hat{y}}^{2}(n)\right|}$$

• The variable regularization parameters results in:

$$\delta_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) = L \|\hat{\mathbf{g}}(n-1)\|^2 s(n) \sigma_x^2 \quad \text{, where } s(n) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + \widehat{\mathrm{NR}}(n)}}{\widehat{\mathrm{SNR}}(n)} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \frac{\mathrm{VR-RLS-BF}}{\mathrm{Complexity: } \mathbf{O}(L^2 + M^2)}$$

- The problem can be interpreted again in terms of solving the normal equations: $\frac{\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n)\hat{\mathbf{h}}(n) = \mathbf{p}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n)}{\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n)\hat{\mathbf{g}}(n) = \mathbf{p}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n)}, \text{ where } \frac{\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) + \delta_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n)\mathbf{I}_{L}}{\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) + \delta_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n)\mathbf{I}_{M}} \text{ and } \mathbf{p}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) \text{ and } \mathbf{p}_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) \text{ as for RLS-BF}$
 - Auxiliary normal equations solvable with the *Dichotomous Coordinate Descent* algorithm: VR-RLS-DCD-BF







12

Initialization:

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
$\widehat{\mathbf{h}}(0) =$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$, $\widehat{\mathbf{g}}(0) = \frac{1}{M} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$
$\mathbf{R}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(0) = 0_{L \times L}, \ \mathbf{R}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(0) = 0_{M \times M}$	
$\underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(0) = 0_{L \times 1}, \ \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(0) = 0_{M \times 1}$	
For $n = 1, 2,$	
$ \text{Step 1:} \mathbf{R}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) = \lambda_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}} \mathbf{R}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n-1) + \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}^T(n) $	
	$\mathbf{R}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) = \lambda_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{R}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n-1) + \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}^{T}(n)$
Step 2:	Compute $\delta_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}}(n)$ and $\delta_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n)$ using (23)–(24)
Step 3:	$\underline{\mathbf{R}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) = \overline{\mathbf{R}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) + \delta_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n)\mathbf{I}_{L}$
	$\underline{\mathbf{R}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) = \mathbf{R}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) + \delta_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n)\mathbf{I}_{M}$
Step 4:	$e(n) = d(n) - \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}^T(n)\widehat{\mathbf{h}}(n-1) = d(n) - \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}^T(n)\widehat{\mathbf{g}}(n-1)$
Step 5:	$\underline{\widetilde{\mathbf{p}}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) = \lambda_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}} \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n-1) + \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) e(n)$
	$\underline{\widetilde{\mathbf{p}}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) = \lambda_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}} \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n-1) + \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) e(n)$
Step 6:	$\underline{\mathbf{R}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) \bigtriangleup \widehat{\mathbf{h}}(n) = \underline{\widetilde{\mathbf{p}}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{DCD}} \bigtriangleup \widehat{\mathbf{h}}(n), \ \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n)$
	$\underline{\mathbf{R}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) \triangle \widehat{\mathbf{g}}(n) = \underline{\widetilde{\mathbf{p}}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{h}}}(n) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{DCD}} \triangle \widehat{\mathbf{g}}(n), \ \underline{\mathbf{r}}_{\widehat{\mathbf{g}}}(n)$
Step 7:	$\widehat{\mathbf{h}}(n) = \widehat{\mathbf{h}}(n-1) + \Delta \widehat{\mathbf{h}}(n)$
	$\widehat{\mathbf{g}}(n) = \widehat{\mathbf{g}}(n-1) + \triangle \widehat{\mathbf{g}}(n)$

VR-RLS-DCD-BF-v1:

- $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) \Rightarrow$ time-shift property in the steady-state: $\hat{\mathbf{g}}(n) \approx \hat{\mathbf{g}}(n-1)$
- $\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n)$ symmetric \Rightarrow $\mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}^{(1)}(n) = \lambda_{\hat{\mathbf{h}}} \mathbf{R}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}^{(1)}(n-1) + \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}(n) \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{\hat{\mathbf{g}}}^{(1)}(n)$
- $(L-1) \times (L-1)_{lower-right block of R_{\hat{g}}(n)} \approx$ $(L-1) \times (L-1)_{upper-left block of R_{\hat{g}}(n-1)}$
- Complexity: $O(L + M^2)$

VR-RLS-DCD-BF-v2:

- $\tilde{x}_{\hat{g}}(n)$ and $\tilde{x}_{\hat{h}}(n)$ are independent and have the same power
- same approach for $R_{\hat{h}}(n)$
- Complexity: O(L + M)



Simulation Results

• Conditions:

- \rightarrow system identification, L = 64, M = 8
- h, g randomly generated (Gaussian distribution)
- → input signals AR(1) processes; each one is generated by filtering a white Gaussian noise through a first-order system with the transfer function $1/(1 - 0.8z^{-1})$
- only one successful DCD iteration used
- → additive noise w(n) WGN
- $\rightarrow \lambda_{\hat{h}} = \lambda_{\hat{g}} = 1 1/(2ML)$
- → measure of performance:

NPM[
$$\mathbf{f}, \hat{\mathbf{f}}(n)$$
] = 1 - $\left[\frac{\mathbf{f}^T \hat{\mathbf{f}}(n)}{\|\mathbf{f}\| \|\hat{\mathbf{f}}(n)\|}\right]^2$ [dB]



- Algorithms:
 - → RLS-DCD-BF
 - → VR-RLS-BF
 - → VR-RLS-DCD-BF



Simulation Results





Figure 1. Comparison of the VR-based algorithms in terms of (a) NPM[\mathbf{h} , $\hat{\mathbf{h}}(n)$] and (b) NPM[\mathbf{g} , $\hat{\mathbf{g}}(n)$]. The system changes after 5 seconds. The input signals are AR(1) processes and SNR = 10 dB.



Simulation Results





Figure 2. Comparison of the VR-based algorithms in terms of (a) NPM[\mathbf{h} , $\mathbf{\hat{h}}(n)$] and (b) NPM[\mathbf{g} , $\mathbf{\hat{g}}(n)$]. The system changes after 15 seconds. The input signals are speech sequences and SNR = 0 dB.



Figure 3. Comparison of the VR-RLS-BF, VR-RLS-DCD-BF-v1, and RLSDCD-v1 algorithms in terms of (a) NPM[\mathbf{h} , $\hat{\mathbf{h}}(n)$] and (b) NPM[\mathbf{g} , $\hat{\mathbf{g}}(n)$]. The SNR decreases from system 0 dB to -25 dB between times 12 and 18 seconds.



Conclusions



- We focused on the regularization terms of the RLS algorithm tailored for the identification of bilinear forms.
- The bilinear form was defined with respect to the impulse responses.
- We have presented a method to find the regularization parameters depending on the SNR.
- Using a proper estimation of the SNR, a variable-regularized solution was proposed VR-RLS-BF, together with two low-complexity versions based on the DCD method.
- Simulations have shown that the VR-based algorithms outperform their non-regularized counterpart, mainly in terms of robustness against SNR variations.
- Future works will focus on the extension of these solutions in case of multilinear forms, by exploiting tensor-based adaptive algorithms. In this context, the decomposition methods can be combined with low-rank approximations, aiming the identification of more general forms of impulse responses.



Thank you for **ARIA** your attention!

This work was supported by a grant of the Romanian Ministry of Education and Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-III-P1-1.1-TE-2019-0529, within PNCDI III