

Parimala Thulasiraman

Professor, PhD University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

Parimala Thulasiraman is a Professor with the Department of Computer Science at the University of Manitoba. She received her B.Eng. (Honours) and M.A.Sc. degrees in Computer Engineering from Concordia University in Montreal, QC, Canada and obtained her Ph.D. from the University of Delaware in Newark, DE, USA after finishing most of her formalities at McGill University in Montreal, QC, Canada. Parimala's research interests are in the intersection of high performance parallel/distributing computing and graph analytics for real world applications in network science. Her laboratory, Inter-Disciplinary Evolving Algorithmic Sciences (IDEAS), studies innovative, adaptive, self-learning methods for solving modeling, simulation, and optimization problems. She explores novel algorithmic optimization techniques to efficiently map, design, and develop scalable algorithms for distributed and many-core architectures. Parimala has supervised and graduated over 100 students. She has published over 150 papers in notable conferences, such as the IEEE International Parallel and Distributed Symposium, in journals, such as the Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing, a book and several book chapters. She has received best paper awards in leading high performance computing conferences. She has been invited as a distinguished keynote speaker many major conferences and esteemed universities. Her research is supported through the national grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada as well as other local and industry grants. Parimala has organized many important conferences as local chair, program chair, and tutorial chair. She is the editor and guest editor for major journals and has been serving as a reviewer and program committee member for conferences in high performance computing and computational intelligence. She is also a reviewer for many leading journals. She is a member and senior member of the ACM and IEEE societies,

respectively. For more information, please see Parimala's homepage: <u>http://www.cs.umanitoba.ca/~thulasir/</u>.



Panel

Vehicular at Work: Are we Ready?

Chair

Parimala Thulasiraman, Department of Computer Science, University of Manitoba

Panelists

Yuping He, University of Ontario Institute of Technology, Canada Akimasa Suzuki, Iwate Prefectural University, Japan Dragana Krstic, Faculty of Electronic Engineering, University of Nis, Serbia Xiaohong Peng, Aston University, UK Parimala Thulasiraman, University of Manitoba, Canada



Future of Autonomous vehicles and Intelligent Transportation Systems



01010100001010111101010101010101010101

Challenges & Questions for Discussion

- Starting points for the panelists
 - Benefits of self driving vehicles
 - > Safety of the vehicles
 - > New algorithms/techniques: Artificial Intelligence and self-driving vehicles
 - Trustworthiness of the vehicles
 - Interaction of other vehicles with autonomous vehicles
 - > Changes in transportation industry with respect to intelligent transportation systems
 - Government policies and mandates: vehicle to vehicle communication
 - Implications to infrastructure
 - Implications to Transit: ride sharing

Topics from the panelists

> Yuping He, University of Ontario Institute of Technology, Canada

- > Numerical Simulation and Analysis of Aerodynamic Characteristics of Road Vehicles in Platoon
- > Akimasa Suzuki, Iwate Prefectural University, Japan
 - Drivers at work are fired in future?

> Dragana Krstic, University of Nis, Serbia

> The Future of Inter-Vehicle Wireless Communication Technologies - Issues and Challenges

Xiaohong Peng, Aston University, UK

Connected and Autonomous Vehicles (CAV): Road Safety

> Parimala Thulasiraman, University of Manitoba, Canada

Urban Area Traffic Flow Forecasting in Intelligent Transportation Systems



Panel: Computational Intelligence and Intelligent Transportation Systems (navigation, machine learning, prediction)

Vehicular 2020

Panellist Position

Urban Area Traffic Flow Forecasting in Intelligent Transportation Systems

Parimala Thulasiraman, University of Manitoba, Canada: thulasir@cs.umanitoba.ca

- Complex Networks
- Vehicular ad hoc Networks
- Computational Intelligence
- Data Analytics

 \rightarrow Computational intelligence techniques for intelligent transportation systems

 \rightarrow Vehicle to Vehicle Communication for navigation and prediction

 \rightarrow AI for Clustering





Panellist Position

Numerical Simulation and Analysis of Aerodynamic Characteristics of Road Vehicles in Platoon

Yuping He, Ontario Tech University Canada: yuping.he@uoit.ca

- Aerodynamic drag reduction
- Platoon driving
- CFD simulation
- Impact factors

 \rightarrow Road vehicle driving in platoon improves transportation efficiency

- \rightarrow Road vehicle driving in platoon reduces aerodynamic drags
 - ightarrow Road vehicle driving in platoon enhances fuel economy





Panellist Position

Drivers at work are fired in future?

Akimasa Suzuki, Iwate Prefectural University, JAPAN suzuki_a@iwate-pu.ac.jp

- Sensors and actuators
- Positioning
- KANSEi search
- UAV, Robotics

- \rightarrow Private as a hobby and worker cars will be coexistence.
- \rightarrow As analogies of other vehicular system, monitoring is required.
- \rightarrow Derivers or operator will be required as a responsible person.





Panellist Position

The Future of Inter-Vehicle Wireless Communications Technologies - Issues and Challenges

Dragana Krstic, Faculty of Electronic Engineering, University of Niš, Niš, Serbia

dragana.krstic@elfak.ni.ac.rs

- Smart Transportation
- Vehicle To Vehicle Communication
- Intelligent Transportation System (ITS)
- Integrated Mobility: The Transport Solution For Tomorrow's Cities



- For the transport sector a few issues are important to analyze: traffic congestion and accidents
- In last years, cooperation between vehicles is developing
- The main goal is to increase road safety and anticipate the circumstances of potential dangers
- Vehicle-to-Vehicle (V2V), Vehicle-to-Infrastructure (V2I) and Vehicle-to-Everything (V2X) technologies try to be communication models used by vehicles in different application contexts
- The resulting infrastructure is an ad-hoc mesh network whose nodes are not only vehicles but also all mobile devices equipped with wireless modules



ITS communication technologies based on the V2V and V2I communications



Panel: Vehicular at Work: Are we Ready?

(acceptance, electric, wireless communication, high speed processing, self-driving, ...)

Panellist Position

Connected and Autonomous Vehicles (CAV): Road Safety

Xiao-Hong Peng, Birmingham City University, UK. <u>xiahong.peng@bcu.ac.uk</u>

- Critical problems of transportation
- Automation levels
- Sensing vs. communications
- Challenges to CAV
- Essential technologies for ensuring safety



InfoWare

2020

Urban Area Traffic Flow Forecasting in Intelligent Transportation Systems

Ziyue Wang and Parimala Thulasiraman

Dr. Parimala Thulasiraman Department of Computer Science University of Manitoba





<u>Canada</u>



Introduction



Traffics are complex

Complex network/peak-hours/accidents Intelligent transportation system (ITS) studies in this domain

ITS aims to build a smart city





Smart City uses many IoT technology to solve the problems related to city



Motivation

- Assume there is an accident somewhere towards the end of main street.
- Then, the speed of the vehicles on main street would decrease.
- This affects other vehicles on main street and creates a traffic jam
- The roads surrounding the congested main street and may also be affected (eg. Street G).



Motivation

- Over period of time, what will happen to Street B and F ?
- Roads are not only spatially correlated but also temporally correlated
- What if I consider all the roads that are influenced by the congested road and cluster them together?



Motivation

- We can train these clusters with artificial neural networks to find the patterns and relationship between the data points, and predict the traffic flow.
- The sensor data (RSU2, RSU3, RSU4, RSU5) available near these road points will be used to train these clusters.

Contributions



Propose a traffic flow based similarity function to clustering the traffic network



Propose a dynamic traffic clustering system



Improve the solution quality of prediction by using neural network with clustered traffic data

7

Two Parts to My Presentation

Clustering

- Dynamic traffic aware system
- Evaluation

Prediction

- Using long-short term memory
- Evaluation



Dynamic Traffic Clustering System

- Step 1: Initial static data
 - Location of the sensors
 - Traffic network
- Step 2: Each (group) of device(s) collect real-time traffic data
 - By IoT devices
- Step 3: Exchange data via wireless network
- Step 4: After received required data, each device starts to compute **pair-wise similarity**



Pari-wise Similarity for Clustering

In order to find the real-time traffic clusters, I need to define the similarity, that is, how an object is similar/dissimilar to another.

⋙

Traditional method of define dissimilarity between road points by using Euclidean distance, however, it is static.

¥8;

Some existing work use real-time traffic speed to cluster the traffic network.

It does not represent the traffic influence directly It is not as easy collect as traffic flow data It is not as arcuate as traffic flow data



Thus I propose to use traffic flow data to represent the relationship between road points.

Proposed Pair-wise Traffic Flow Similarity

- If traffic flow from A to B is very high (a lot of vehicles from A to B), point A has high influence on road point B
- We count the flow from A to B, since if drivers want to go to B from A, it is most likely choosing a shortest path
- We normalize the similarity by the from A to B over total flow into B

• Similarity
$$(A, B) = \frac{Flow A to B}{Total flow into B}$$

• Thus all similarity will be normalized from 0 to 1

Proposed Pair-wise Traffic Flow Similarity

- In order to make the similarity work for most clustering algorithm, it must be symmetric
 - i.e. *Similarity* (*A*, *B*) = *Similarity*(*B*, *A*)
 - Thus I take the mean of them $s(A, B) = \frac{Similarity(A,B) + Similarity(B,A)}{2}$
 - By computing all pairs of similarity, we get a similarity matrix

Clustering Algorithms

- Some algorithms only work for Euclidean distance (requires a real center):
 K-Means
- Some algorithms does not work for dynamic data:
 - Single-linkage algorithm
- Some algorithms does not well fit in distributed system:
 - k-medoids
- Affinity Propagation:
 - Message passing based clustering algorithm
 - □ Well fit in distributed system
 - □ Works for dynamic data
 - Accept just similarity matrix (does not need a real center)

Affinity Propagation

- Every phase, compute two local variables: responsibility (*r*), and availability (*a*)
 - *r(i, k)*: how well k is the center of i
 - *a(i, k)*: how well *i* is a member of *k*



Affinity Propagation

- Initially, every node consider itself as a cluster
- Update and communicate *a* and *r* at each iteration

$$r(i,k) = s(i,k) - \max_{k's.t.k' \neq k} \{a(i,k') + s(i,k')\}$$
$$a(i,k) = \min\{0, r(k,k) + \sum_{i's.t.i' \notin \{i,k\}} \max\{0, r(i',k)\}\}$$
$$a(k,k) = \sum_{i's.t.i' \neq k} \max\{0, r(i',k)\}$$



Affinity Propagation

- Point *i* belongs to the center
 k that gives maximum *a(i, k)* + *r(i, k)*
- In this system, the algorithm does not terminate since the real-time data is always changing
- I can always take a snapshot when we need the clusters



Evaluation



I measure solution quality and number of clusters



I use data set from Citypulse: Aarhus, Denmark (Open Data Aarhus)

Muhammad Intizar Ali, Feng Gao and Alessandra Mileo, **"CityBench: A Configurable Benchmark to Evaluate RSP Engines Using Smart City Datasets"**, The Semantic Web -ISWC 2015 - 14th International Semantic Web Conference, October 11-15, 2015, Bethlehem, PA, USA

Evaluation

- Compare affinity propagation (AP) with k-medoids (KM), DBSCAN, and average-linkage clustering (AGG)
 - For the algorithm needs the number of clusters to be predefined, I set the number of clusters equals to affinity propagation to show the fairness
 - Since all other algorithms are static clustering algorithms, we only evaluate the algorithms the results in 5-min interval (the previous results does not take into next time interval)

Evaluation Metrics

Silhouette Coefficient:

- How an item is similar to current cluster over other clusters
- The higher the better

Similarity Mean:

- The mean of the inter-cluster similarity
- The higher the better
- For some situation, intra-cluster similarity is not considered



Silhouette Coefficient



Similarity Mean

22



Number of Clusters

- A lot of clusters due to low traffic flow
- In peak hours, the number of clusters increases
- 9:00 A.M. is not peak hour but low number of clusters due to smooth and steady traffic flow


Clusterbased Traffic Prediction



Traffic flow can be predicted by using both nearby traffics and previous data



Spatial locality - Clustering



Temporal locality – Timeseries prediction: Long-short term memory

Artificial Neural Network

- Inspired by the neurons in the human brain
- Learn the pattern in the data set without any task-specific rules
- Three types of layers: input layer, hidden layer, and output layer
- Each layer has many neurons/unit





Long-short term memory

- Recurrent neural network is an extension of ANN
- LSTM is an implementation of RNN
- Hidden layer has feedback connections to itself
- Time-stamped input
- Previous data can be take into next iteration



Peephole long-short term memory

- Weight, Bias, Hidden states
- Sigmoid function
- Loss function
- Back propagation algorithm



Peephole long-short term memory

- Sigmoid function
- Square loss function
- Back propagation algorithm: Adam Optimizer



One to One Prediction

- Existing solution
- One time-series data is to predict one result
- Only just the road itself to predict itself



Many to One Prediction

- Use more than one roads to predict
- Use the roads in same cluster
- Potential computation overhead
- Potential higher prediction quality



Many to Many Prediction

- Use cluster data to predict entire cluster
- Potential computation overhead single prediction
- High throughput: more efficient in large predictions

Static Clustering

- In order to make the prediction work, I need static clusters
- That is, the clusters work well for any time-stamp (both peak and non-peak)
- Non-peak hours should have same weight with peak hours
- Proposed cluster merge algorithm

Algorithm 3: Cluster Merge	
Data : Clustering Algorithm $A(x)$, Time stamp similarit	ties
$TS = \{ts_0, ts_1, \dots ts_T\}$	
Result: Cluster labels: $C(i), i \in N$	
1 $s[N, N] = 0;$	
2 for $t \in T$ do	
$3 \qquad C_t = A(ts_t);$	
4 $\forall i, j \in N : $ if $C_t(i) == C_t(j)$ then	
$ 5 \qquad $	
6 end	
7 end	
8 $s[N,N] = rac{s[N,N] - min(s[N,N])}{max(s[N,N])};$	
9 $C = A(s);$	
10 Return C ;	
	34

Experiment

- Data set from Citypulse: Aarhus, Denmark (Open Data Aarhus)
- Default Adam optimizer parameters from Keras
- My LSTM design has 4 hidden layers:
 - Layer 1: LSTM layer of 64 units
 - Layer 2: LSTM layer of 64 units
 - Layer 3: Dropout layer of 64 units, dropout rate = 0.2
 - Layer 4: Dense layer of output size units, all apply sigmoid function.

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - p_i)^2$$
$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i - p_i|$$
$$EV = 1 - \frac{Var(y - p)}{y}$$
$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - p_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2}$$

Evaluation Metrics

- mean square error (MSE)
- mean absolute error (MAE)
- explained variance (EV)
- R² (R2)



Many to One Prediction

- 52 roads are in the cluster, 52 time-series data is used to predict one of them
- The prediction is very close to the real traffic flow value and the floating pattern
- One to one, many to one, many to many have no difference in performance

Evaluation

- MSE is reduced by 30%, MAE is reduced by 21%, the errors are clearly decreased
- EV and R2 has increased by about 7%
- 52 times higher throughput in many to many prediction

Models	MSE	MAE	EV	R2
One to One	0.006501	0.051743	0.779400	0.779377
Many to One	0.004556	0.042653	0.810834	0.810533
Many to Many	0.004004	0.039840	0.836513	0.833502



Conclusion

- To predict the traffic flow effectively, both spatial locality and temporal locality are important
- Spatial locality is captured by Clustering
 - Designed a dynamic traffic clustering system
 - Affinity propagation is well designed for distributed system, and can work with real-time data
 - The results show a good solution quality of affinity propagation
 - The number of clusters found by affinity propagation shows it works well on both peak hours and non-peak hours
- Temporal locality is captured by LSTM
 - Proposed a cluster merge algorithm to merge time-series clusters that will produce a cluster work for any time stamp
 - Based on clustered traffic data, I proposed many to one prediction model and many to many prediction model
 - Many to one and many to many model outperforms existing one to one model

Future Work

01

Experiment on larger and many datasets 02

Improve the similarity measurement 03

Find multiple paths (say k) using bioinspired techniques such an ant colony optimization 04

Implement the affinity propagation on real IoT devices 05

Implement on domain specific architectures such as TPU

41

Questions?



Numerical Simulation and Analysis of Aerodynamic Characteristics of Road Vehicles in Platoon

Wei Gao, Zhaowen Deng, Ying Feng, Yuping He

Dr. Yuping He

E-mail: yuping.he@ontariotechu.ca

Faculty of Engineering and Applied Science,

Ontario Tech University





• Professor, Ontario Tech University Email: yuping.he@uoit.ca



- Chair, Transportation Systems Technical Committee, Canadian Society for Mechanical Engineering
- Associate Editor of Transactions of the Canadian Society for Mechanical Engineering
- Associate Editor of International Journal of Vehicle Performance







Introduction



The development of autonomous driving technology makes it possible for vehicles to travel in the form of a platoon.





Simulation and Validation of External Flow Field of Single-Vehicle

> Modelling



Dimensions of the MIRA model group



Notchback Model



Hatchback Model





Simulation and Validation of External Flow Field of Single-Vehicle



> Validation of Numerical Simulation

Comparison of simulation and test of drag coefficient

Vehicle Type	Simulation	Wind Tunnel Test	Error
Notchback	0.3156	0.3237	2.5%
Hatchback	0.2911	0.2749	5.8%
Squareback	0.3661	0.3870	5.4%



Numerical Analysis of Influencing Factors on the Aerodynamic Performance of Vehicles Platoon



>Effect of Longitudinal Spacing

• Calculation Scheme

Scheme	Calculation scheme
Number of Vehicle	Longitudinal Spacing
2	0.5L, L, 1.5L, 2L, 2.5L, 3L

Computational Domain



Numerical Analysis of Influencing Factors on the Aerodynamic Performance of Vehicles Platoon



• **Results of Simulation**



The drag coefficient of vehicle platoon for various separation distances





AN 9639e+002 9639e+002 2543e+002 -1.112e+002 -4.767e+002 -8.422e+002 -1.939e+003 -2.304e+003 -2.570e+003 -2.5670e+003

trailing vehicle at the separation distance of 1L

Distribution of pressure at the front of the vehicle

Single-vehicle

leading vehicle at the separation distance of 1L

Distribution of pressure at the rear of the vehicle

Numerical Analysis of Influencing Factors on the Aerodynamic Performance of Vehicles Platoon



- Effect of the Numbers of Vehicles
- Calculation Scheme

neme
Number of Vehicles
2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7

• Results of Simulation

Drag coefficient of vehicles in the platoon

N	lumber two	First 0.3105		Third	Fourth	Fifth	Sixth	Seventh	C-
	two	0 3105							Daverage
		0.5105	0.2726						0.2916
	three	0.3148	0.2489	0.2425					0.2687
	four	0.3214	0.2621	0.2451	0.2808				0.2774
	five	0.3097	0.2508	0.2259	0.251	0.272			0.2619
	six	0.3207	0.2573	0.2403	0.2588	0.2693	0.2931		0.2733
	seven	0.3037	0.2473	0.2352	0.2617	0.2701	0.2779	0.3021	0.2711



- when the separation distance of two vehicle platoon is 0.5L, the maximum of the average drag reduction rate is 9.6%. The average drag coefficient of two vehicle platoon is lower than that of the single-vehicle within the range of 0.5-3L;
- The average drag coefficient is minimum for five vehicles platoon. The average drag coefficients of other cases are less than that of the single-vehicle;
- Two MIRA notchback model platoon has the highest average drag reduction rate and its maximum value is up to 8.093%, followed by the squareback, hatchback is minimum;
- When the velocity is in the range of 10m/s-40m/s, the drag coefficient of both the leading and trailing vehicle for various velocities are lower than that of the single-vehicle. The average drag coefficient of two vehicles platoon is minimum at the velocity of 20m/s;
- When the vehicles are driving in the platoon, the flow field of the trailing vehicle is affected by that of the leading vehicle, and vice versa. The aerodynamic properties of both leading and trailing vehicle are influenced by the change of the wake region of the leading vehicle.





Drivers at work are fired in future?

Akimasa Suzuki

suzuki_a@iwate-pu.ac.jp

Iwate Prefectural University

Vehicular 2020

Environment in Public Roads

- At closed area → All vehicles can be controlled automatically.
 - \rightarrow We can realize car control without drivers.
 - \rightarrow Monitoring is even required.
- Public roads
 - Private as a hobby and worker cars will be coexistence.
 - It seems herder to realize than closed area.
 - \rightarrow who has responsibility?



Ube Kosan separates public / private road by crossing https://mapio.net/pic/p-76491314/

Conventional Cases

- Airplane
 - Auto pilot but course is set by pilots and registrars
 - Manufactures share the philosophy that the pilots are ultimately responsible for the safe operation of the aircraft,
 - Airbus has stated that "Automation should allow the operator to use the safe flight envelope to its full extent..." (Spitzer, Ferrell 2015: 224) (Airbus 2017: 6).
 - Boeing has stated in its design philosophy tha "The pilot is the final authority for the operation of the aeroplane" (Spitzer, Ferrell 2015: 224).
- However, pilot is required.



Auto pilot system https://weekendcaptainflight.com/pm dg737-auto-pilot-roll-mode/

Conventional Cases

- Railroad
 - In some systems, crew ride a vehicle.
 - Monitoring is required.



https://jidounten-lab.com/u_train-autonomous-matome

Monitoring

- Most of autonomous transportation system have centralized control and monitoring system
- Person, who has responsibility, has to check the control.



Control tower

As analogies of other vehicular system, monitoring is required.

Conclusions

- Drivers at work may be fired, but worker for monitoring will be continuously required.
- In public road, automatic control is hard to realize because of not technique but responsibility.
- Derivers or operator will be required as a responsible person.
 - However, they have certainly less physically stress than conventional systems.



Panel: Vehicular at Work: Are we Ready?

(acceptance, electric, wireless communication, high speed processing, self-driving, ...)

InfoWare 2020

Panellist Position

The Future of Inter-Vehicle Wireless Communications Technologies - Issues and Challenges

Dragana Krstic, Faculty of Electronic Engineering, University of Niš, Niš, Serbia

dragana.krstic@elfak.ni.ac.rs

- Smart Transportation
- Vehicle To Vehicle Communication
- Intelligent Transportation System (ITS)
- Integrated Mobility: The Transport Solution For Tomorrow's Cities



- For the transport sector a few issues are important to analyze: traffic congestion and accidents
- In last years, cooperation between vehicles is developing
- The main goal is to increase road safety and anticipate the circumstances of potential dangers
- Vehicle-to-Vehicle (V2V), Vehicle-to-Infrastructure (V2I) and Vehicle-to-Everything (V2X) technologies try to be communication models used by vehicles in different application contexts
- The resulting infrastructure is an ad-hoc mesh network whose nodes are not only vehicles but also all mobile devices equipped with wireless modules
Smart Transportation

- The smart transport revolution is spreading in the world
- Users have more transport options than ever before and entirely new ways to consume transport services
- City governments have new opportunities to incentivize green, efficient modes of transport, to reduce congestion and improve the quality of life

Intelligent Transportation System (ITS)

- An Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) is an effective transportation and mobility system used in smart cities
- It takes advantage of technologies such as the Internet of Things (IoT) and big data analytics to manage traffic and mobility, enhance transport infrastructure, and provide improved interfaces for transport services
- Benefits of an ITS include:
- Creating interconnected transport systems with open communication between devices and vehicles.
- Actively managing traffic, helping public transport to keep the schedules
- Ensuring citizens have access to real-time information about traffic and public transportation conditions.



ITS communication technologies based on the V2V and V2I communications

Integrated Mobility: The Transport Solution For Tomorrow's Cities

- By 2030, roughly 60% of the global population will live in cities
- An increase in the number of "megacities" (with a population of over ten million) is causing new transportation challenges
- With growing access to connectivity, mobile applications, and transport automation, methods people use to travel around cities will change
- Integrated mobility is helping cities make the most of new transportation options, and leverage them to solve problems like congestion, pollution, and access to economic opportunity.
- The basis of integrated mobility is public transport

- Challenges In Today's Transport Systems
- Cities experience pressing transport challenges when transport systems cannot meet the demands of urban mobility.
- Land consumption: Today's cities are expending. The result is often complex transit and long commutes. In North America, 30-60% of the area of an urban region may be set aside for roads and parking lots, caused by over-dependence on certain types of transportation, particularly the automobile.
- Congestion and parking problems: Transportation infrastructures are under strain due to the spread and motorization of the automobile. Vehicles spend most of their time parked, creating a need for parking spaces
- Aging infrastructure: maintenance costs are growing
- Movement of freight: Globalization, materialization, and online shopping demand the movement of growing quantities of freight and congestion are bigger when freight traffic shares infrastructure with passenger traffic

- Benefits Of Integrated Mobility For Cities
- Social inclusion: An aim of mobility is to provide citizens with access to city services (low-floor trams, barrier-free public transport, smart cards, etc.
- Economic benefits: Better mobility solutions provide people with better access to their activities
- Green cities: Increasing of road safety, reduced noise pollution, efficient utilization of public space, better air quality,...
- Save time and money: Time wasted sitting in traffic, billion gallons of wasted fuel. Mobility solutions such as ridesharing save time for citizens and reduces expenses.
- Solve urban density problems: The goal is the interoperability of public transportation working together with the private sector.
- The flexibility of city commuting: Users can use cloud platforms and apps dedicated to mobility to organize their journeys, book trips, vehicles in real time, use electronic payment services,...

Vehicle To Vehicle Communication

- The transport sector is tied with several problems, such as traffic congestion and accidents
- Because of that, in recent years, the cooperation between vehicles is developing
- The main goal of this trend is to increase road safety, attempting to anticipate the circumstances of potential danger
- Vehicle to Vehicle Communication (V2V) is an upcoming technology under development by automotive giants, as well as numerous small companies
- Vehicle to vehicle communication helps vehicles form spontaneous wireless networks on the go and transfer data over an ad-hoc mesh network
- Each vehicle sends reports about traffic and road conditions, vehicle position and speed, route direction, and loss of stability and brakes if these occur
- The information is added to the network and serves as a safety warning for the other vehicles, similar as traffic radio stations provide information for people

• Individual cars use the information from the network to create a dynamic view of their surroundings

- A complete overview enables the car can send danger alerts and encourage actions that prevent accidents and reduce traffic congestion
- It promises to make human driving safer and become an enabler for autonomous driving by connecting vehicles and road infrastructure via ad hoc networks
- V2V systems, once fully deployed, could reduce accidents caused by human error by up to 70-80%, and can have a massive impact on congestion and carbon emissions, but there are still many restrictions: technical, security and regulatory concerns



How V2V Communication Works?

- Vehicle-to-Vehicle (V2V), Vehicle-to-Infrastructure (V2I) and Vehicle-to-Everything (V2X) technologies tend to define communication models that can be employed by vehicles in different application contexts; together, the systems form an interactive routing map
- Vehicle to vehicle communication is made possible due to

-the Internet of Things (IoT) devices like GPS receivers, which let vehicles communicate their location through the V2V system, and

road sensors, which send data about road conditions through the V2I system

- DSRC (Dedicated Short Range Communications) connect the two systems and ensure each vehicle receives all the information it needs for safe navigation
- The multiple connected units consists of information exchange through the adoption of suitable communication protocols

V2V

e.g., collision avoidance safety systems

V21

Vehicle-to-infrastructure e.g., traffic signal timing/priority

V2P

Vehicle-to-pedestrian

V2N

Vehicle-to-network e.g., real-time traffic/routing, cloud services



What Is Vehicle-to-Everything (V2X)?

- Vehicle-to-everything (V2X) is a technology that allows vehicles to communicate with moving parts of the traffic system around them, known as connected-vehicle-to-everything communication
- V2X communications systems are mainly used for the purpose of increasing safety and preventing collisions
- In a traditional vehicle, V2X systems can convey important information to the driver regarding inclement weather, nearby accidents, road conditions, and the dangerous activities of nearby vehicles
- In autonomous vehicles, V2X provides extra information to vehicle's existing navigation system

- V2X consists of several components, including:
 - -vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) which allows vehicles to communicate with one another.
 - -vehicle to infrastructure (V2I) which allows vehicles to communicate with external systems such as street lights, buildings, and even cyclists or pedestrians
 - - Intra-Vehicle (InV),
 - - vehicle-to-pedestrian (V2P)
 - - vehicle-to-network (V2N)
- Vehicles exchange information about their state, view of current road, navigation information and other general information about weather report and digital map update
- V2X also offers other benefits like enabling automatic payment of toll fees, parking, and similar fees



• A key for exchanging information in timely manner is an opportunity to access the medium for longer life with low power consumption in various ranges

- They provide high reliability without experiencing long and uncertain delay
- V2X uses a short-range wireless signal to communicate with compatible systems, and this signal is resistant to interference and inclement weather
- Thus, widespread adoption of vehicular networks is fast becoming a reality, where additional functions will be provide by the car electronics and the passengers will be able to access the Internet and other core network resources



References

- Fabio Arena and Giovanni Pau, An Overview of Vehicular Communications, Future Internet, 2019, 11(2), 27; https://doi.org/10.3390/fi11020027
- S. Habib, M.A. Hannan, M.S. Javadi, S.A. Samad, A.M. Muad and A. Hussain, 2013. Inter-Vehicle Wireless Communications Technologies, Issues and Challenges. Information Technology Journal, 12: 558-568, DOI: 10.3923/itj.2013.558.568
- Abdel Hakeem, S.A., Hady, A.A. & Kim, H. 5G-V2X: standardization, architecture, use cases, network-slicing, and edge-computing. Wireless Netw, 26, 6015–6041, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11276-020-02419-8
- Troy Segal, Vehicle-to-Everything (V2X)

Dragana.Krstic@elfak.ni.ac.rs Dragana.Krstic_elfak_ni@yahoo.com



Connected and Autonomous Vehicles (CAV): Road Safety

Xiao-Hong Peng

Faculty of Computing, Engineering and the Built Environment Birmingham City University, UK.

xiahong.peng@bcu.ac.uk





Critical Problems of Transportation

- Safety: More than1.35M people die each year on roads worldwide due to accident. (WHO)
- Energy: In the USA, congestion wasted 3.1B gallons of fuels. (DoE)
- Climate: Road transportation CO2 contributes 21.6% of green house emissions. (EU Parliament)







Major Causes of Accidents

Study by National Highway Transportation and Safety Administration (UK) shows:

✤93% accidents due to human error.

*80% crashes due to driver inattention in 3 seconds before event.

✤ 60% road accident fatalities due to unintentional lane departure.



Five Automation Levels



Source: SAE (Society of Automotive Engineers)



Vehicle Sensing Equipment





Communications for Connected Vehicles





Sensing vs. Communications

Safety can be ensured by applying both sensing and communications technologies.

- Sensing is mainly used to detect instantaneous distance and its change rate between neighboring vehicles.
- Communications can provide prompt road and traffic conditions between vehicles within a long range.
- Both technologies should be used collectively to maximize the level of driving safety required.



Challenges to CAV

Safer: towards zero road accidents.

Greener: reduce air pollution & emissions.

More predictable: foresee risks to operation reliability and public safety.

Productive travel: preplanning, timely update, and uneventful journey.



Promising Technologies for CAV

Heterogeneous connectivity

Service:

Cooperative safety Always-on telematics Connected infor-tainment Wireless EV charging Real-time navigation

Vehicle-to-everything (V2X):

WiFi/HotSpot, DSRC Cellular 3G/4G/5G Bluetooth CAN/ Ethernet /Powerline



On-device intelligence

Applications:

Always-on sensing Augmented reality Immersive multimedia Intuitive instrumentation

Tools:

Al Computer vision Machine learning Integrated cybersecurity architecture Distributed cooperation



Thanks

