

Tutorial 3

November 21, 2020 to November 25, 2020 - Valencia, Spain

The role of sensors in Agriculture 4.0

Lorena Parra

Universitat Politecnica de Valencia & Instituto Madrileño de Investigación y Desarrollo Rural, Agrario y Alimentario, Spain Ioparbo@doctor.upv.es



NetWare

2020







Index

Introduction

Sensors for agriculture

Drones for agriculture

The limitations or barriers to be overcome

Introduction - Why is it so important to include sensors in Agriculture?

Maximize the productivity of lands.

Increase the quality of harvested food.

Reduce the inputs of agriculture (water, fertilizers, pesticides,...).

Improve the working conditions of farmers.

Have smart and automatized machinery.

Introduction - What technologies can be included?

Sensors in Wireless Sensor Networks (WSN) \rightarrow If possible physical sensors

Sensors in remote sensing (cameras, drones, and satellites)

Sensors in others (machinery and portable devices)

Introduction – In what crops can be included?

It can be included in all the existing crops.

Majorly included in extensive crops and greenhouses

Other examples can be found in woody crops (vineyards, citrus, and olives trees)

Motivation: Controlling the use of fertilizer in water

Task: Physical sensor (based on cooper coils) that measure the concentration of fertilizer

Objectives:

- 1. Evaluate if copper coils can be used to measure the concentration of fertilizer
- Define the best prototype among the tested ones (multilayer ones)



ØWPC

Water

Motivation: Controlling the use of fertilizer in water

Task: Physical sensor (based on cooper coils) that measure the concentration of fertilizer

Objectives:

- 1. Evaluate if copper coils can be used to measure the concentration of fertilizer
 - 1. Simulation of magnetic flux density of powered coil
- Define the best prototype among the tested ones (multilayer ones)



Basterrechea, D. A., Parra, L., Botella-Campos, M., Lloret, J., & Mauri, P. V. (2020). New Sensor Based on Magnetic Fields for Monitoring the Concentration of Organic Fertilisers in Fertigation Systems. *Applied Sciences*, *10*(20), 7222.

Motivation: Controlling the use of fertilizer in water

Task: Physical sensor (based on cooper coils) that measure the concentration of fertilizer

Objectives:

- 1. Evaluate if copper coils can be used to measure the concentration of fertilizer
 - 2. Calibration 4 configurations per prototype
- Define the best prototype among the tested ones (multilayer ones)

Basterrechea, D. A., Parra, L., Botella-Campos, M., Lloret, J., & Mauri, P. V. (2020). New Sensor Based on Magnetic Fields for Monitoring the Concentration of Organic Fertilisers in Fertigation Systems. *Applied Sciences*, *10*(20), 7222.



Example of calibration of Prototype 1 and its 4 configurations

Motivation: Controlling the use of fertilizer in water

Task: Physical sensor (based on cooper coils) that measure the concentration of fertilizer

Objectives:

- 1. Evaluate if copper coils can be used to measure the concentration of fertilizer
 - 3. Verifications
- 2. Define the best prototype among the tested ones (multilayer ones)

Basterrechea, D. A., Parra, L., Botella-Campos, M., Lloret, J., & Mauri, P. V. (2020). New Sensor Based on Magnetic Fields for Monitoring the
Concentration of Organic Fertilisers in Fertigation Systems. Applied Sciences, 10(20), 7222.

Concentration of OE (all)		P1		P2	2	P3	
Concentration of OF (g/L)	Conf.	AE (g/L)	RE (%)	AE (g/L)	RE (%)	AE (g/L)	RE (%)
5.00		1.04	20,81	0.66	13.26	0.02	0.43
12.50	1	2.37	18,94	0.85	6.81	0.41	3.3
17.50		0.31	1,77	2.04	11.64	0.02	0.12
5.00		0.78	15,57	2.11	42.25	1.71	34.1
12.50	2	0.97	7,77	0.52	4.19	1.05	8.43
17.50		2.49	14,22	3.04	17.37	1.06	6.03
5.00		1.41	28,14	2.66	53.14	0.52	10.43
12.50	3	1.70	13,59	1.03	8.21	0.84	6.71
17.50		1.35	7,73	2.42	13.85	2.46	14.05
5.00		1.14	22,82	3.15	62.91	0.85	16.97
12.50	4	1.53	12,26	0.03	0.28	0.55	4.44
17.50		0.37	2,12	3.61	20.63	1.28	7.33

Motivation: Controlling the use of fertilizer in water

Task: Physical sensor (based on cooper coils) that measure the concentration of fertilizer

	Carl	R1	R1 R2 R3		R4	R5		A11
	Conf.	ΔVout [S1–S9] (V)	Min. Vout (V)	Nº Groups	WF (kH)	AE [S3] (V)	RE (%)	
	1	0.53	9.28 *	7	100 *	0.02 *	0.19*	
P1	2	0.44	6.37 *	7	90 *	0.03 *	0.52 *	
F1	3	0.39	6.15 *	9*	90 *	0.22 *	3.5*	
	4	0.43	6.40 *	8	90 *	0.07 *	1.05 *	
	1	1.41 *	8.64 *	8	110 *	0.65 *	7.05 *	
Do	2	1.41 *	7.95 *	6	110 *	0.75 *	8.45 *	
P2	3	1.41 *	8.40 *	6	110 •	0.7*	7.41 *	
	4	1.84 *	7.41 *	6	110 •	0.83 *	9.53 *	
	1	1.29 *	7.65 *	9*	140 *	0.62 *	8.86 *	
The	2	1.15 *	7.23 *	7	140 *	0.41 *	6.28 *	
P3	3	1.47 *	7.65 *	8	140 *	0.6 *	8.81 *	
	4	1.09 *	3.79*	9•	130 *	0.36 *	8.34 *	

Objectives:

- 1. Evaluate if copper coils can be used to measure the concentration of fertilizer
- 2. Define the best prototype among the tested ones (multilayer ones)
 - 1. Comparison of prototypes (5 predefined requisites)

Basterrechea, D. A., Parra, L., Botella-Campos, M., Lloret, J., & Mauri, P. V. (2020). New Sensor Based on Magnetic Fields for Monitoring the Concentration of Organic Fertilisers in Fertigation Systems. *Applied Sciences*, *10*(20), 7222.

Prototype 3 with first configuration obtain the best results

Motivation: Controlling the soil moisture to irrigate

Task: Physical sensor (based on cooper coils) that measure the soil moisture

Objectives:

- 1. Evaluate if copper coils can be used to measure the soil moisture
- 2. Define if same calibration can be used for all soils (commercial devices need info. of soil type)
- 3. Define if temperature affects to its performance

Parra, M., Parra, L., Lloret, J., Mauri, P. V., & Llinares, J. V. (2019, October). Low-cost Soil Moisture Sensors Based on Inductive Coils Tested on Different Sorts of Soils. In 2019 Sixth International Conference on Internet of Things: Systems, Management and Security (IOTSMS) (pp. 616-622). IEEE. Parra, M., Parra, L., Rocher, J., Lloret, J., Mauri, P. V., & Llinares, J. V. (2019, July). A Novel Low-Cost Conductivity Based Soil Moisture Sensor. In International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Systems for Sustainable Development (pp. 27-35). Springer, Cham. García-Navas, J. L., Parra, M., Parra, L, Rocher, J., Sendra, S., Lloret J. (2019, November). Practical Study of the Temperature Effect in Soil Moisture Measurements. The Eighth International Conference on Communications, Computation, Networks and Technologies (pp. 7-13).





Motivation: Controlling the soil moisture to irrigate

Task: Physical sensor (based on cooper coils) that measure	
		ŝ

the soil moisture

Objectives:

- Evaluate if copper coils can be used to measure the soil moisture – 1 soil multiple prototypes
 - Define if same calibration can be used for all soils (commercial devices need info. of soil type)
 - Define if temperature affects to its performance 3.

Parra, M., Parra, L., Rocher, J., Lloret, J., Mauri, P. V., & Llinares, J. V. (2019, July). A Novel Low-Cost Conductivity Based Soil Moisture Sensor. In International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Systems for Sustainable Development (pp. 27-35). Springer, Cham.

Name	Turns PC	Tums IC	Pot	Water	Volumetric water
P1	40	40		(mL)	content (%)
P2	40	80	1	0	0,00%
P3	80	40	2	175	5,83%
P4	40	100	3	250	8,33%
P5	100	40	4	500	16,66%



0 mL
 175 mL
 250 mL
 500 mL

Best working frequencies of P3 and model

Motivation: Controlling the soil moisture to irrigate

Task: Physical sensor (based on cooper coils) that measure the soil moisture



Objectives:

- 1. Evaluate if copper coils can be used to measure the soil moisture
- Define if same calibration can be used for all soils
 – multiple soils and multiple coils → Same model is not valid for both s
- 3. Define if temperature affects to its performance

Parra, M., Parra, L., Lloret, J., Mauri, P. V., & Llinares, J. V. (2019, October). Low-cost Soil Moisture Sensors Based on Inductive Coils Tested on Different Sorts of Soils. In 2019 Sixth International Conference on Internet of Things: Systems, Management and Security (IOTSMS) (pp. 616-622). IEEE.

					P1	P2	P3
Dian	neter of Ir	iner P\	VC tub	e (mm)	25	25	25
]	Layers			8	1	1
		Casing	Yes	No	No		
			10	5	5		
					43		
					80		10
N	umber of	f Wind	ings of	C IC	40	10	5
Water Water Water weight volume (g) (cm3) %			Total weight (g)	Water weight (g)	Water volume (cm3)	Water percentage %	
1689	1689	75.	.21	2363	1689	1689	75.21
1603	1603	71.38		2277	1603	1603	71.38
1507	1507	67.10		2181	1507	1507	67.10
1412	1412	62.87		2086	1412	1412	62.87
1308	1308	58.24		1982	1308	1308	58.24
1159	1159	51.	.61	1833	1159	1159	51.61
Sc	oil 1				So	il 2	
		50					
		45					
				·			
	5				•		
	2	35					
	a for our	30			•		494x+796.48 = 0.8879
	and a set	25					
	3						
		20				. `	
		15					<u>\•</u>
		10					
		28	28.2	28.4 28.6	28.8 29	29.2 29	.4 29.6 29.8
· both	n soile	5					
			Ca	libratioi	n of P1	with So	01/2
	Sep Diam N N Water weight (g) 1689 1603 1507 1412 1308 1159 <i>Sco</i>	Separation b Diameter of Ca Number of Number of Water Water volume (cm3) 1689 1603 1507 1507 1412 1412 1308 1308 1159 1159	Layers Casing Separation between Diameter of Casing P Number of Wind Number of Wind Water weight (g) Water volume (cm3) Parent (cm3) 1689 1669 75 1603 1603 71 1507 1507 67 1412 1412 62 1308 1308 58 1159 1159 51 Soil 1 Soil 1 Soil 1 50 40 50 45 40 52 20 15 10	Layers Casing Separation between coils Diameter of Casing PVC tub Number of Windings of Number of Windings of Number of Windings of Water weight (g) Water (cm3) Water percentage % 1689 1689 75.21 1603 1603 71.38 1507 1507 67.10 1412 1412 62.87 1308 1308 58.24 1159 1159 51.61 Soil 1 Soil 1 Soil 1 10 25 20 15 25 20 26 27 27 28 28 28.2 <td>Casing Separation between coils (mm) Diameter of Casing PVC tube (mm) Number of Windings of PC Number of Windings of IC Water Water Percentage Total weight (g) 1689 1689 75.21 2363 1603 1603 71.38 2277 1507 1507 67.10 2181 1412 1412 62.87 2086 1308 1308 58.24 1982 1159 1159 51.61 1833 Soil 1 Soil 1 Soil 1 Soil 2 10 28 28.2 28.4 28.6</td> <td>Diameter of Inner PVC tube (mm) 25 Layers 8 Casing Yes Separation between coils (mm) 10 Diameter of Casing PVC tube (mm) 43 Number of Windings of PC 80 Number of Windings of IC 40 Water Water volume (cm3) Percentage Total veight (g) Water veight (g) 1689 1603 71.38 2277 1603 1507 1507 67.10 2181 1507 1412 1412 62.87 2086 1412 1308 1308 58.24 1982 1308 1159 1159 51.61 1833 1159 Soil 1 So So So So 12 28 28.2 28.4 28.6 28.8 29 Vott(V) 28 28.2 28.4 28.6 28.8 29</td> <td>Diameter of Inner PVC tube (mm) 25 25 Layers 8 1 Casing Yes No Separation between coils (mm) 10 5 Diameter of Casing PVC tube (mm) 43 Number of Windings of PC 80 5 Number of Windings of IC 40 10 Water Water Percentage Water weight (g) Water weight (g) Water wolume (cm3) 1689 1603 71.38 2277 1603 1603 1507 1507 67.10 2181 1507 1507 1412 1412 62.87 2086 1412 1412 1308 1308 58.24 1982 1308 1308 1159 1159 51.61 1833 1159 1159 Soil 1 Soil 2 9 92.2 29 10 28 28.2 28.4 26.6 28.8 29 29.2 29</td>	Casing Separation between coils (mm) Diameter of Casing PVC tube (mm) Number of Windings of PC Number of Windings of IC Water Water Percentage Total weight (g) 1689 1689 75.21 2363 1603 1603 71.38 2277 1507 1507 67.10 2181 1412 1412 62.87 2086 1308 1308 58.24 1982 1159 1159 51.61 1833 Soil 1 Soil 1 Soil 1 Soil 2 10 28 28.2 28.4 28.6	Diameter of Inner PVC tube (mm) 25 Layers 8 Casing Yes Separation between coils (mm) 10 Diameter of Casing PVC tube (mm) 43 Number of Windings of PC 80 Number of Windings of IC 40 Water Water volume (cm3) Percentage Total veight (g) Water veight (g) 1689 1603 71.38 2277 1603 1507 1507 67.10 2181 1507 1412 1412 62.87 2086 1412 1308 1308 58.24 1982 1308 1159 1159 51.61 1833 1159 Soil 1 So So So So 12 28 28.2 28.4 28.6 28.8 29 Vott(V) 28 28.2 28.4 28.6 28.8 29	Diameter of Inner PVC tube (mm) 25 25 Layers 8 1 Casing Yes No Separation between coils (mm) 10 5 Diameter of Casing PVC tube (mm) 43 Number of Windings of PC 80 5 Number of Windings of IC 40 10 Water Water Percentage Water weight (g) Water weight (g) Water wolume (cm3) 1689 1603 71.38 2277 1603 1603 1507 1507 67.10 2181 1507 1507 1412 1412 62.87 2086 1412 1412 1308 1308 58.24 1982 1308 1308 1159 1159 51.61 1833 1159 1159 Soil 1 Soil 2 9 92.2 29 10 28 28.2 28.4 26.6 28.8 29 29.2 29

Motivation: Controlling the soil moisture to irrigate

Task: Physical sensor (based on cooper coils) that measure the soil moisture

Objectives:

- 1. Evaluate if copper coils can be used to measure the soil moisture
- 2. Define if same calibration can be used for all soils
- Define if temperature affects to its performance
 Comparison of our model with other low cost ones



Variation of out model of 0,2V and 0,35V (±0,1 of 10,6V and ±0,175 of 8,9V) Variation of commercial ones 0,5V and 0,4V (±0,25 of 1,8V and ±0,2 of 1,8V)

García-Navas, J. L., Parra, M., Parra, L, Rocher, J., Sendra, S., Lloret J. (2019, November). Practical Study of the Temperature Effect in Soil Moisture Measurements. The Eighth International Conference on Communications, Computation, Networks and Technologies (pp. 7-13).

Motivation: Differentiate species (based on variables)

Task: Use portable devices to monitor the species using physical sensors in turf grass



Objectives:

- 1. Evaluate if portable devices can differentiate plant species
 - 1. Soil moisture (SM), canopy temperature (CT), NDVI
 - 2. +Images (GA and GGA indixes)
 - 3. 4 Different combination of species (Control, PC, PZ, and PB)

2. Is it possible to estimate one variable from another?

Motivation: Differentiate species (based on variables)

Task: Use portable devices to monitor the species using physical sensors in turf grass



Objectives:

1. Evaluate if portable devices can differentiate plant species GA is the

GA is the best indicator of grass species

	•	1 1					
1.	Soil moisture, canopy temperature, NDVI		SM	CT	NDVI	GA	GGA
2	+Images (GA and GGA indexes)	Control	35.2583 ^a	14.6125 ^a	0.76 ^a	0.67875 ^b	0.35 ^a
2. 2		PC	35.5 ^a	14.8417 ^a	0.745 ^a	0.61805 ^a	0.295 ^a
3.	4 Different combination of species	PB	34.3944 ^a	14.6056 ^a	0.79 ^b	0.77944 ^c	0.48 ^b
	(Control, PC, PZ, and PB)	PZ	36.3722 ^a	14.4694 ^a	0.77 ^b	0.76472 ^c	0.425 ^b
		Level of significance	0.8727 ^{ns}	0.9579 ^{ns}	0.0005***	0.0000***	0.0000***

2. Is it possible to estimate one variable from another?

Motivation: Differentiate species (based on variables)

Task: Use portable devices to monitor the species using physical sensors in turf grass

Objectives:

- 1. Evaluate if portable devices can differentiate plant species
 - 1. Soil moisture (SM), canopy temperature (CT), NDVI
 - 2. +Images (GA and GGA indexes)
 - 3. 4 Different combination of species (Control, PC, PZ, and PB)

2. Is it possible to estimate one variable from another?



Motivation: Differentiate species (based on variables)

Task: Use portable devices to monitor the species using physical sensors in turf grass

NDVI = 0.513359 + 0.329084*GA SM (%) = exp(4.38257 - 12.0825/CT (°C))

Objectives:

- 1. Evaluate if portable devices can differentiate plant species 💈
 - 1. Soil moisture (SM), canopy temperature (CT), NDVI
 - 2. +Images (GA and GGA indexes)
 - 3. 4 Different combination of species (Control, PC, PZ, and PB)

2. Is it possible to estimate one variable from another?



Motivation: Recognizing the status of grass

Task: Autonomous WSN for Lawns Monitoring

Objectives:

- 1. Estimate the possibility of analyzing the image offline
 - Algorithm
 - Operations with matrixes (RGB image)



Motivation: Recognizing the status of grass

Task: Autonomous WSN for Lawns Monitoring

Objectives:

- 1. Estimate the possibility of analyzing the image offline
 - Algorithm
 - Operations with matrixes (RGB image)



Operation algorithm to analyze data

Motivation: Recognizing the status of grass

Task: Autonomous WSN for Lawns Monitoring

Objectives:

- 1. Estimate the possibility of analyzing the image offline
 - Algorithm
 - Operations with matrixes (RGB image)

$A = \begin{bmatrix} a1,1 & a1,2 & \cdots & a1,150 \\ a2,1 & a2,2 & \ddots & a2,150 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a100,1 & a100,2 & \cdots & a100,150 \end{bmatrix}$	(1)
$B = \begin{bmatrix} 39.5 & 39.5 & \cdots & 39.5 \\ 39.5 & 39.5 & \ddots & 39.5 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 39.5 & 39.5 & \cdots & 39.5 \end{bmatrix}$	(2)
C = A - B	(3)
$D = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{c1,1}{ c1,1 } + 1 & \frac{c1,150}{ c1,150 } + 1\\ 2 & \cdots & 2\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ \frac{c1,100}{ c1,100 } + 1 & \frac{c100,150}{ c100,150 } + 1\\ 2 & \cdots & \frac{c100,150}{2} \end{bmatrix}$ $E = \begin{bmatrix} 60.5 & 60.5 & \cdots & 60.5\\ 60.5 & 60.5 & \ddots & 60.5\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 60.5 & 60.5 & \cdots & 60.5 \end{bmatrix}$	(4)
$E_{60.5} = 60.5 \cdots = 60.5 $ F = A - E	(6)
$G = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{f1,1}{- f1,1 } + 1 & \frac{f1,150}{- f1,150 } + 1\\ \frac{2}{1} & \cdots & \frac{2}{1}\\ \frac{f1,100}{- f1,100 } + 1 & \frac{f100,150}{- f100,150 } + 1\\ \frac{2}{2} & \cdots & \frac{2}{2} \end{bmatrix}$	(7)
$H = D \times G$	(8)

Motivation: Recognizing the status of grass

Task: Autonomous WSN for Lawns Monitoring



Objectives:

1. Estimate the possibility of analyzing the image offline

-Tested with plots with: High Grass Coverage (HC) a); Low Grass Coverage (LC) b); Very Low Grass Coverage (VLC) c).



Motivation: Recognizing the status of grass

Task: Autonomous WSN for Lawns Monitoring

Objectives:

Compare the performance of a drone with a 1. terrestrial vehicle



the drone

Motivation: Recognizing the status of grass

Task: Autonomous WSN for Lawns Monitoring

Objectives:

1. Compare the performance of a drone with a terrestrial vehicle



Motivation: Recognizing the status of grass

Task: Autonomous WSN for Lawns Monitoring

Objectives:

- 1. Compare the performance of a drone with a terrestrial vehicle
- -Tested with plots with: High Grass Coverage (HC) a); Low Grass Coverage (LC) b); Very Low Grass Coverage (VLC) c).



Motivation: Recognizing the status of grass

Task: Autonomous WSN for Lawns Monitoring

Objectives:

 Compare the performance of a drone with a terrestrial vehicle

-Results: Comparison of Drone vs. Terrestrial small automated vehicle (SAW)



Motivation: Recognizing the status of grass

Task: Autonomous WSN for Lawns Monitoring

Objectives:

1. Compare the performance of a drone with a terrestrial vehicle

-Results: Comparison of sending picture vs. analyze it offline and send the classification



Sending all the pictures

Sending the green band of the pictures

Sending the label of the picture

Motivation: Recognizing the status of grass

Task: Autonomous WSN for Lawns Monitoring

Conclusions:

Analyzing data in the node before sending it reduces bandwidth and saves energy.

It becomes critical with images and video

Sending the tag supposes a reduction of 99.8% of the data volume compared with sending the picture

Motivation: To have a mobile gateway

Task: Determine if a drone with a predefined flying plan can be used as a mobile gateway.

Objectives:

- 1. Estimate the time in coverage basing of flying parameters.
- 2. Determine the maximum density of the terrestrial network.





Motivation: To have a mobile gateway

Task: Determine if a drone with a predefined flying plan can be used as a mobile gateway.

Objectives:

1. Estimate the time in coverage basing of flying parameters.



Parameter	Fixed Parameter	Acronym	Units	Range
Flying height	Yes	fh	(m)	4 to 104
Flying velocity	Yes	fv	(m/s)	1 to 20
Drone coverage	No	dc	(m)	25 to 200
Node density	Yes	nd	(nodes/m ²)	60 to 5000
Time in coverage	No	-	(s)	Calculated
Required time for communication	Yes	-	(s)	5
Nodes in coverage	No	-	(nodes)	Calculated
Connection feasibility	No	-	No Units	Calculated

Motivation: To have a mobile gateway

Task: Determine if a drone with a predefined flying plan can be used as a mobile gateway.

Objectives:

1. Estimate the time in coverage basing of flying parameters.





Motivation: To have a mobile gateway

Task: Determine if a drone with a predefined flying plan can be used as a mobile gateway.

Objectives:

2. Determine the maximum density of the terrestrial network.



Motivation: To have a mobile gateway

Task: Determine if a drone with a predefined flying plan can be used as a mobile gateway.

Conclusions

For dense networks, the velocity must be drastically reduced to allow using the drone as a mobile gateway.

Nonetheless, most of WSN for precision agriculture have relative low node densities.

Motivation: Simple image processing to recognize weeds

Task: Determine if edge detection can be use to detect weed plants

Objectives:

1. Define a methodology based on edge detection to identify weed plants in turfgrass





Parra, L., Marin, J., Yousfi, S., Rincón, G., Mauri, P. V., & Lloret, J. (2020). Edge detection for weed recognition in lawns. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 176, 105684.

Motivation: Simple image processing to recognize weeds

Task: Determine if edge detection can be use to detect weed plants

Objectives:

1. Define a methodology based on edge detection to identify weed plants in turfgrass



Parra, L., Marin, J., Yousfi, S., Rincón, G., Mauri, P. V., & Lloret, J. (2020). Edge detection for weed recognition in lawns. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 176, 105684.

Motivation: Simple image processing to recognize weeds

Task: Determine if edge detection can be use to detect weed plants

Objectives:

1. Define a methodology based on edge detection to identify weed plants in turfgrass



Parra, L., Marin, J., Yousfi, S., Rincón, G., Mauri, P. V., & Lloret, J. (2020). Edge detection for weed recognition in lawns. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 176, 105684.

C)

Motivation: Simple image processing to recognize weeds

Task: Determine if edge detection can be use to detect weed plants

Objectives:

1. Define a methodology based on edge detection to identify weed plants in turfgrass



Parra, L., Marin, J., Yousfi, S., Rincón, G., Mauri, P. V., & Lloret, J. (2020). Edge detection for weed recognition in lawns. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 176, 105684.

Motivation: Simple image processing to recognize weeds

Task: Determine if edge detection can be use to detect weed plants

Objectives:

1. Define a methodology based on edge detection to identify weed plants in turfgrass



Value of Precision (Pre), Recall (Rec) and F1 Score (F1) for the different thresholds.

	Threshold = 78			Threshold = 85			Threshold = 92		
	Pre	Rec	F1	Pre	Rec	F1	Pre	Rec	FI
Picture D)	80%	86%	83%	83%	68%	75%	84%	57%	68%
Picture E)	67%	86%	75%	71%	71%	71%	75%	43%	55%

Parra, L., Marin, J., Yousfi, S., Rincón, G., Mauri, P. V., & Lloret, J. (2020). Edge detection for weed recognition in lawns. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 176, 105684.

Drones for Agriculture – Identifying plant stress

Motivation: Recognize plant stress with RGB and thermal cameras

Task: Determine which camera offers better results. Plants with reduced irrigation

Objectives:

1. Compare the performance of both cameras in the drone and sensors in soil



Dates



Mauri, P. V., Yousfi, S., Parra, L., Lloret, J., Marín, J. F. (2020 Decembre). *The usefulness of drone imagery and remote sensing methods for monitoring turfgrass irrigation.* The International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Systems for Sustainable Development - AI2SD'2020 -

Drones for Agriculture – Identifying plant stress

Motivation: Recognize plant stress with RGB and thermal cameras

Task: Determine which camera offers better results. Plants with reduced irrigation

Objectives:

- 1. Compare the performance of both cameras in the drone and sensors in soil
- \rightarrow RGB cameras are more representative
- \rightarrow But it can generate false positives



Plots without stress

Plots with stress

Mauri, P. V., Yousfi, S., Parra, L., Lloret, J., Marín, J. F. (2020 Decembre). *The usefulness of drone imagery and remote sensing methods for monitoring turfgrass irrigation.* The International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Systems for Sustainable Development - AI2SD'2020 -

Limitations or barriers to be overcome

The technology is ready to be used

Several authors are proposing systems based on sensors for agriculture

Why are farmers not adopting them?

Other sectors, as Golf Courses, are adopting these technologies



In general, the cost of proposals (commercial ones) are still high

 \rightarrow We need to develop new low-cost (and useful) systems Farmers do not trust these systems

ightarrow We need to show them the performance and usability of them

Some commercial systems are still working to improve (battery limitations, not waterproof cases, coverage problems with wet soil, coverage problems during irrigation...)

 \rightarrow They are offering new versions

Replacing soil moisture sensors in Golf Course





Tutorial 3

November 21, 2020 to November 25, 2020 - Valencia, Spain

The role of sensors in Agriculture 4.0

Lorena Parra

Researcher at: Universitat Politecnica de Valencia & Instituto Madrileño de Investigación y Desarrollo Rural, Agrario y Alimentario,

Spain

loparbo@doctor.upv.es



NetWare

2020





