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Introduction: horizontal cooperation

Situation where economic actors are both

• competing to gain market share and increase revenues, and
• cooperating to improve their productivity and decrease costs

Examples:

• in logistics of retail business of global brands
• subcontractors in the mechanical watch-making industry

Requires a well defined cooperation function and finely tuned coordination between the co-opetitors
If the cooperation function and coordination are to be automated and supported by IT, either

- the actors must give in some control on their strategic information processing infrastructure, or
- some central « coordinator » implements cooperation

Example: SWIFT central coordinator for bank transfers

- banks compete aggressively to manage individuals assets
- but cooperate to reduce costs and speed-up customers money transfers
- SWIFT (a Belgian cooperative) plays the role of (transactional) referee
Separate legal entities (possibly operating in foreign legal environments) must keep complete control over their strategic IT infrastructure.

In this case competitors are de facto PEERS: peer-to-peer principles may apply very well to the situation.
It is possible to design and implement a peer-to-peer network that guarantees good security conditions to the competing entities:

- each peer fully controls every operation that runs under its responsibility
- all operations are fully traced and securely logged (at the peer)
- peers that behave can prove « no wrong-doing »

- peers that would misbehave cannot prove « no wrong-doing »
The peer-to-peer platform is built as a middleware to which each peer connects
- locally (a peer’s stub is implemented and operated by the peer)
- that provides the services specific to the application domain and cooperation function
- that is *fully distributed* (no central point of control), adaptable, and scalable
Peers *communicate* through one dedicated communication service with an instance operated at each peer.

Interaction is implemented above this service in a stack-wise layered manner.

**Implementation:**
- middleware implemented in kubernetes
- RPC from core IT over gRPC
- additionally: distributed ledger (HLF) for consensus and order *only if and where necessary* (application dependent)
Applications (use cases)

- Sectors with complicated, possibly archaic, logistic structures (milk sector)
- Management of patient medical information in conformity with regulation (GDPR)
- Tightly networked supply chains in industry
- Distributed implementation of sectorial regulatory control
- Commercial banking and distributed stock trading
- Etc...
• IT vendors favour « enterprise architecture » concepts

• Interactions with external entities (over ERP, through webservices) is a master-slave relationship

• Co-opetition requires a peer-to-peer approach that is ...
  ... transparent and secure (open-source, certification of middleware) ...
  ... fully distributed ...
  ... within the limits provided by the laws of distributed systems and consensus, i.e. *qualified majorities may decide* ...
  ... but each entity is free to participate or not.