



### **NICER** Aesthetic Image Enhancement with Humans in the Loop

Michael Fischer, Konstantin Kobs, and Andreas Hotho Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg *m.fischer@informatik.uni-wuerzburg.de* 

Contribution No. 20186







### **Michael Fischer**

2014 - 2017: B.Sc. Aerospace Information Technology, JMU Würzburg, Germany.
2018 - 2020: M.Sc. Computer Science, JMU Würzburg, Germany.
Since 2020: Ph.D. Student, University College London, UK.

My research interests include computer graphics, artificial intelligence, machine learning, its intersections with human perception and human-computer interaction.







NICER - Aesthetic Image Enhancement with Humans in the Loop

- Number of images taken increases steadily
- Casual users do neither have time, patience, nor skills to edit all images

 $\rightarrow$  Automate the enhancement

- There exists automated enhancement software
- Often, enhancement routine is a "black box"
- Users are left with little to no control over the enhancement outcome

 $\rightarrow$  Let the users influence the enhancement. Incorporate their preferences into the process.







Incorporating user preferences into enhancement...









Incorporating user preferences into enhancement...









Two neural networks as main components:

- Image Manipulator
  - Context Aggregation Network (CAN)
  - 8 photographic & artistic filters (e.g., brightness, contrast)
- Quality Assessor
  - Neural Image Assessment (NIMA)
  - CNN feature extractor, regresses to a "beauty score"

• Iterative optimization loop and perceptual loss allow for interactive back-and-forth:







User influence ...

- **before enhancement**: set initial filter intensities (e.g. high contrast)
- during enhancement: control / change filter parameters to guide the next optimization step
- after enhancement: outcome is not fixed, parameters can be manipulated further









- With the iterative approach, a user can interact with NICER to guide the optimization process
- Without user interaction, NICER enhances the image automatically, but might not exactly match the user's imagined outcome









#### NICER's automatic enhancement

- User study (51 subjects) to compare preferences about different image edits
- Rank NICER's output vs. original image and image edit with random parameters





## **First Experiment: Results**



- For 93% of all images, our participants prefer the enhanced image over the random baseline
- 53.7% of images are preferred over the original, which is a statistically significant change (1% confidence interval)
- High variance in ratings shows that perception of beauty depends heavily on subject
  - $\rightarrow$  Incorporate the user in the enhancement process

# Second Experiment



# NICER's enhancement with humans in the loop

Julius-Maximilians-

**UNIVERSITÄT** 

WÜRZBURG

- Letting users choose interaction routes based on their personal likings
- Do users like the output of the interactive enhancement process better?



A sample optimization process where the user (red) interacts with NICER (blue) to create a set of custom enhancements that are tailored to their liking.





- 97.9% of our participants: enhancements are better than the original image
- 68.1% of our participants:

prefer enhancement routes that include at least one of NICER's automatic steps

### **Conclusion:**

- combining user interaction with automatic, intelligent enhancement is a valid approach
- further research can be conducted on the influence of different networks and training data on NICER's performance







### **Our contributions:**

- NICER, a novel way of incorporating users' aesthetic preferences into image enhancement
- a publicly available repository, containing our source code and trained models.

Contribution No. 20186 - "NICER - Aesthetic Image Enhancement with Humans in the Loop"

Github Project Page: <u>https://github.com/mr-Mojo/NICER</u>

Contact Author: Michael Fischer, <u>m.fischer@informatik.uni-wuerzburg.de</u>