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Dependency of Cyber Services: How to manage integrity requirements in a cyber supply chain? Integrity of a cyber service is much more than data integrity, it also covers the bona-fide execution of a business contract in terms of personnel training and clearance, spin-off use of data, subcontracting, availability during bankruptcy etc. I will use cases related to Huawei and Snowden, as well as examples from Norway's oil and health industry.
Cybersecurity in Safety-Critical Systems
Addressing cybersecurity in safety-critical systems raises specific opportunities and challenges. On the one hand, stronger assurances can be made, but on the other hand, all cybersecurity measures and services have to meet safety requirements.
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Human-centric cyber physical service
Does cyber-services understand human's thoughts and social context to be able to give impression of the services as a single entity rather than many independent services? Examples will be given to initiate debate on including human-layer parameters in the design of smart cyber physical services.
Thank you to IARIA and all the participants of Cyber 2019.
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Use-cases

- Cyber-enabled Smart home for Neuro-rehab
- Use of BCI controlled Cyber service
- Targeted Advertisement
  - Emotional recognition using sensors
  - Realtime access to Person’s interest, emotional data
- Emotional data for training for better performance
Underlying Technology

- Oddball paradigm
  - BCI application to control robotic arm
- Facial Expression detection Software
- Cost effective reliable devices
- Analysis of EEG signal
- %BPM change
- Social assessment of elderly
Security Issues

- Examples of physical and emotional harm
- Example of compromised BCI system
- Brain Malware
  - Hijak of existing components
  - Side channel attack
  - Use of malicious stimuli in overt of subliminal way
Neuro-security in cyber services

- Inter-disciplinary effort
- Use of laws and policies
- Visibility of security level across products
  - E.g. [https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/technology-48106582](https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/technology-48106582)
- Standardisation to secure BCI systems
- Developers in compliance
Cybersecurity in Safety-Critical Systems

Dr. Daniel Kästner
AbsInt GmbH, 2019
Safety vs. Security

- **Functional Safety**
  - Absence of unreasonable risk to life and property caused by malfunctioning behavior of the system

- **Security**
  - Absence of harm caused by malicious (mis-)usage of the system

- **Observations**
  - Vulnerabilities often based on defects that might cause system to malfunction by itself ⇒ Safety/Security Link ("Common Cause")
  - Increasingly complex software systems (autonomy, connectivity, ...) pose verification challenges
  - Increasing connectivity of embedded devices (automotive, medical) opens up new level of privacy concerns
Common Sources of C Security Vulnerabilities

1. Stack-based buffer overflow
2. Heap-based buffer overflow
3. Further invalid pointer accesses (null, dangling, ...)
4. Uninitialized memory accesses
5. Integer errors
6. Format string vulnerabilities
7. Concurrency defects

Safety-relevant defects
Absence of such defects can be proven in safety-critical software, e.g., by sound static analysis.

But: existence of vulnerabilities unavoidable
- Spectre/Meltdown, ORC attacks
Discussion

- In safety-critical systems proving the absence of (some) code-level defects is possible
  - In security-relevant safety-critical systems the absence of (some) code-level vulnerabilities can be shown
- Trend to increasing connectivity and software complexity could endanger established level of safety
  - Non-safety-critical programming concepts (typical C++ usage)
  - Heterogeneous programming language environments
  - Neuronal networks, deep learning, ...
- New threats: side channel attacks, ...
- What is acceptable level of safety and cybersecurity?
- Should we give up deterministic safety (security) for more connectivity / more ambitious system scope?
- Are there specific services for safety and security properties of embedded code?
email: info@absint.com
http://www.absint.com
Dependency of Cyber Services:

How to manage service integrity requirements in a cyber supply chain?

Dr. Anders Fongen
Norwegian Cyber Defence Academy
What is Service Integrity?

A cyber service delivered in observation of:

- Expectations
- Service contract (Service Level Agreement, SLA)
- Business ethics and legislation
- Good practice

Results in a bona-fide execution of the service interface
Cyber services are delivered:

- From in-house equipment and personnel
- Free online services
- Contractual services, subject to agreements and protected by law

How is service integrity protected during:

- Bankruptcy
- Malware attack
- Misuse by disloyal personnel
Examples

- Huawei products in 4G networks
  - Can we trust the software not to contain back doors for intelligence and cyber attacks?
- Edward Snowden
  - How can DoD trust Booz Allen Hamilton to supply only loyal personnel?
- GPS, free but owned by one single government
- Norwegian Oil Refinery 2014 (Statoil, now Equinor)
  - Operation outsourced to India, a mistake stopped the entire plant
- Norwegian patient journals (2017)
  - System operation outsourced to Bulgaria and Asia
  - Unauthorized personnel had access to 2.8 million journals
Can we provide *Integrity Attestations*?

- A cryptographic document bound to a server state, able to prove:
  - clean, approved service software
  - approved software/hardware platform
  - authorized system personnel
  - location of data storage
  - supervision and control over subcontractors
- Client can validate it (like a public key certificate)
- Trusted Platform Module (TPM)
  - Sealed keys and certificates
- Trusted Execution Environment (TEE)
  - Intel *Trusted Execution Technology*
  - ARM *TrustZone*
  - etc.
- Requires “openness” in OS to extend the trust chain from HW/BIOS/OS to application software
Thank you for your attention!

Suggestions, thoughts?