

Geographic Knowledge Engineering for Smart Planning

1 – Introduction

2 – Components of a GKB

3 – Knowledge-base planning \rightarrow Tuesday Afternoon

4 – Conclusions

1 – Introduction

- Dataless urban planning
- Statistical analysis (Baxter, 76)
- Computer-Assisted Cartography
- Urban Data Bases (80s)
- Information
 - Geographic information systems (80s)
 - Fundamentals of Spatial Information Systems (Laurini-Thompson, 91)
 - "Information Systems for Urban Planning" (Laurini, 01)
- Now Knowledge
 - Business intelligence to Territorial Intelligence
 - Knowledge society

Specific characteristics

- Space 2D, 3D, 3D+T → coordinates
- Computational geometry, topology
- Cartography and geovisualization
- Spatial analysis
- Features and geographic objects
 - Measurement accuracy
 - Multiple representations
 - Acquisition devices

Example of a street





Geometric Homology



Geom(A) ₪Geom (B) Reflexive, associative But non-transitive

Generic and Applicative Knowledge

Generic knowledge

- valid everywhere and
- linked to acquisition devices
- and linguistics aspects
- Applicative knowledge linked to applicative domains such as
 - urban planning,
 - environmental planning,
 - transportation, etc.

Geographic Projects

- Where to put a new airport, a new hospital, a new stadium, etc.?
- Is this new construction project compliant with planning rules?
- What is the best mode or the best way to get from A to B?
- How to organize a plan for green spaces in a city?
- How to reorganize common transportation?
- etc.



Origin of Geographic Knowledge



2 – GKB components



GKB Formalization

- $GKB \equiv (Terr, \lambda, \Omega, GO, \Gamma, REL, \Sigma, RULES, PROJECTS)$
 - *Terr* defines a territory, which is a part of *Earth*,
 - λ a language,
 - GO is the set of all geographic objects stored in GKB,
 - Γ a gazetteer,
 - Ω an ontology,
 - REL a set of relationships between geographic objects,
 - Σ a set of structures linking some geographic objects,
 - RULES a set of rules and
 - *PROJECTS* a set of old and ongoing projects.

2.1 – Geographic objects

- Geodetic objects
- Administrative objects
- Manmade objects (crisp boundaries)
- Natural objects
- With fuzzy boundaries
- Fractal geometry
- Continuous fields

Geodetic Objects

- Theoretical objects on the globe
 - Equator
 - North and south poles
 - Meridians
 - Parallels



- Modeled with points, lines and circles
- Basis for definition of coordinates
- Cannot disappear

Administrative objects

- Without considering disputes at borders
- Non-connected polygons
- Often organized in hierarchical tessellations
 - Countries, regions, provinces, municipalities
 - Parks
- Total coverage of the Earth
- A some scales, they can disappear

Manmade Objects

- Manmade
 - Buildings, bridges, streets, etc.
- Usually Euclidean objects
- Modeled as non-connected polygons
- At some scales
 - Roads can become linear
 - They can disappear

Natural Objects

- Shape can evolve
 - River, minor and major bed
- Boundary not easy to define
- Fractal geometry can be useful
 - Multi-scale
- Fuzzy sets
 - Egg-yolk

Characteristics of Geo Objects



What is it?



(a) A car park? No, the roof of a small used as a car park.



(b) A meadow? No, a water catchment area

Geometric types

- Math tradition
 - Points
 - Lines
 - Areas
- Proposition
 - Ribbons
 - Areas



For geographic modeling

From Lines to Ribbons

- Ribbon = line with a width
- Rectangular Ribbons



Loose Ribbons



Construction of a ribbon

• Line as a starting skeleton



Modeling a street with ribbons



Sidewalk		
Parking lane		
Bus Lane		
Driving lane		
Median		
Driving lane		
Bike lane		
Sidewalk		

General Process



Final Remarks Concerning Geographic Objects

- $GO \equiv \{O_1, O_2, \dots, O_n : n \in N\}.$
- $O_i \equiv (GeolD_i, G-Type_i, Topo_i, Geom_i, \Omega-Type, (Attribute, Value)*)$
- G-Type ∈ {Point, Line, Area, Ribbon, Void, Null}.
- Modifiers
 - Crisp and Fuzzy for points, lines, ribbons and areas
 - *Oriented* or *Not_Oriented* for lines and ribbons.

2.2 – Geographic relations

- Not only spatial relations (Egenhofer)
- Geographic relations can vary according to scale
- Ribbon relations

Egenhofer Relations



Ribbon relations



Examples



SIDE_BY_SIDE (Platform, railways) SIDE_BY_SIDE (Bus_stop, Bus_lane) SIDE_BY_SIDE (Levee, River) SIDE_BY_SIDE (Towpath, River).

Chaining ribbons



Scales

• According to scale, relations vary



• Touches or Disjoint?



Example of mutation



Disjoint-to-touches Rule

$$\forall O^{1}, O^{2} \in GO, \forall \sigma \in Scale, \\ O_{\sigma}^{1} \equiv Dmap(O^{1}), O_{\sigma}^{2} \equiv 2Dmap(O^{2}), Disjoint (O^{1}, O^{2}): \\ Dist (O^{1}, O^{2}) < \varepsilon^{2} \\ \Rightarrow \\ Touches (O_{\sigma}^{1}, O_{\sigma}^{2}). \\ \end{cases}$$
 Rule 5.12

From-to mutation	Initial scale	Smaller scale
Overlap ∧ C1 ⇒ Equal		
<i>Disjoint ∧ C2</i> ⇒ Touches		
Overlap ∧ C3 ⇒ Touches		
Overlap ∧ C4 ⇒ Covers		
Contains ∧ C5 ⇒ Covers		

2.3 – Geographic Ontologies

- Organizations of geo features
- In addition to relations "is_a", "has_a", "whole_part"
 - Necessity of spatial relations
Example of ambiguities

French	Picture	English	Spanish	Italian
		Warf	Muelle	Molo
Quai	u south	Riverside	Avenida a lo largo de un río	Lungofiume
		Platform	Andén	Binario





2.4 – Gazetteers

- Placenames / toponyms
- Can change over time
- Multiple translations
- Different places can have same name



• Not only cities, but also streets and landmarks

Some problems regarding toponyms

- "Mississippi" can be the name of a river or of a state.
- The city, "Venice", Italy, is also known as "Venezia", "Venise", "Venedig", respectively, in Italian, French and German.
- The local name of the Greek city of "Athens" is "Aθήνα"; read [a'θina].
- "Istanbul" was known as "Byzantium" and "Constantinople" in the past.
- The modern city of Rome is much bigger than in Romulus's time.



2.5 – Geographic Rules

- in the United Kingdom, we drive on the left;
- in Canada, the majority of the population lives along the border with the United States;
- each capital city has an international airport nearby;
- between the two capital cities, in general, there are direct flights;
- in the Northern Hemisphere, the more you are going to the north, the colder (but locally this is not always true).

Examples of Geographic Rules

- the more you climb a mountain, the colder;
- heavy rain upstream, downstream flooding.
- mosques are oriented towards Mecca;
- if a zone is a swamp, it is necessary to prohibit construction;
- if there is unemployment, the creation of companies or industrial areas must be encouraged;

Decision trees, tables and maps







Different Types of Geographic Rules

- Applicative rules
 - Urban and Environmental Planning
 - Transportation
 - Tourism, etc
- Generic rules (to ensure reasoning robustness)
 - Quality control
 - Independence from data acquisition devices
 - Taking human languages and reasoning into account
 - Variation according scales (mutation of shapes, relations, etc.)

Tobacco shop rule in France



Zone Determination

$\forall F_i \in GO, \exists Z \in Terr,$	Rule 10.8
G-Type (F_i) = Point, G-Type (Z) =Area,	
Ω - <i>Type</i> (<i>F_i</i>) = "Tobacconist",	
Geom $(F_i) \in Terr$	
\Rightarrow	
$Geom(Z) = Terr - Union (Buffer (F_i, 500))$	

Urban Planning Rules

- Rule 1: If a zone is a marsh or floodplain then prohibit construction.
- Rule 2: If there is unemployment then support the creation of businesses and/or create industrial zones.
- Rule 3: If a plot is adjacent to an airport then limit the height of the building.

Urban Planning Rules



Encoding

$\forall B \in PROJECT, \exists P \in GO$	Rule
Ω - <i>Type</i> (<i>B</i>) = "Building",	10.9-
Ω - <i>Type</i> (<i>P</i>) = "Parcels",	10.13
Contains (Geom (P) , Geom (B)):	
Height(B) < 10	
\land <i>Street_distance</i> (<i>B</i> , <i>P</i>) > 3	
\land Neighbor_distance (B, P) > 3	
\Rightarrow	
UP-Allowed (B, P)	

Naïve relation between places and rules



Relations between Rules and Locations



2.6 – External Knowledge

- In GIS, usually coverage = spatial extension of the jurisdiction of the owning entity
- Importance of the vicinity
- Two kinds of external knowledge
 - At the vicinity of the jurisdiction
 - Technology watching
- "intra muros" and "extra muros" knowledge

External Knowledge



3 - Conclusions (1/2)

- 80 % of data in the world have some geographic base
- Only a rapid presentation of geographic knowledge in urban planning
- Territorial intelligence more complex than business intelligence
- Many additional aspects must be developed

3 - Conclusions (2/2)

<Roberto.Laurini@gmail.com>



Geographic Knowledge Infrastructure

Robert Laurini

Applications to Territorial Intelligence and Smart Cities



