The Eighth International Conference on Bioenvironment, Biodiversity and Renewable Energies – BIONATURE 2017

Contradistinction of Arctic and Antarctic Sea Ice Change

Keynote Speaker: S. V. Nghiem NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California, USA

24 May 2017

© 2017. All rights reserved.

Science Issue

A key issue in climate change science is the <u>Polar Sea Ice Paradox</u>: Why Arctic sea ice has been reducing and Antarctic sea ice has been stable or even slightly increasing?

Objective

To examine the differences between Arctic and Antarctic sea ice characteristics and how they have changed under different geophysical and climatic conditions in polar regions to explain the Sea Ice Paradox.

Arctic Sea Ice

Rapid Reduction of Sea Ice Extent

National Climate Assessment Report, 2014

http://nca2014.globalchange.gov/report/our-changing-climate/melting-ice



Two Major Ice Classes

- Perennial sea ice: Surviving at least a summer melt, multi-year age, thick ice, important to ice mass and ice pack stability
- Seasonal sea ice: Thinner ice, forming and melting away seasonally
 Marginal Ice Zone near edge

Satellite Scatterometers



- Covering 90% of the world in a day
- Coarse resolution of 25 km
- NASA QuikSCAT 1999-2009
- ISRO Oceansat-2 1999-2014
- ISRO SCATSAT-1 2016-2021





Perennial Sea Ice Change 1957-1999





Before 1970:

No discernable trend in March perennial ice extent.

1970-1999:

Decrease of 0.5x10⁶ km² per decade in March perennial ice extent as estimated from the Drift-Age model.

Perennial Sea Ice Change 1957-2008





2000-2008:

Decrease of 1.5x10⁶ km² per decade in March perennial ice extent as measured from <u>QuikSCAT data</u> and estimated from the Drift-Age model.

TRIPLE THE LOSS RATE in the previous three decades



The Polar Express Ice loss mechanism in any season (not just summer)



Ice compression from East to West Arctic

Ice compression into Transpolar Drift (TD)

Acceleration of TD¹ carrying ice out of Arctic via Fram Strait

Warm Atlantic water effectively melted ice in Greenland Sea

Nghiem et al. GRL, 2007





The Polar Express in 2005

Barents-Sea low and Canadian-Basin high anomalies set up anomalous winds over Fram Basin and Greenland Sea



Jul to Sep: 2005 to 2005 minus 1954 to 2004 Dipole anomaly





Animation of sea ice 20 frames per second







Arctic River Discharge Effective pathway linked to warming continents



OWST (°C) Nghiem et al., Geophys. Res. Lett., 2014 Nghiem et al., Bionature, 2013

8

10

12





Landfast sea ice hinders river discharge

Mackenzie River Delta

14 June 2012

Memory in landfast sea ice

Lead in 2013 and landfast ice edge in 2012 conformed to 25-m isobath

Lead

Landfast ice

Alaska U.S. Mackenzie Delta Northwest Territories Canada

6/14/2012 vs 5/17/2013

Heat Source from Rivers

Arctic sea ice: Warm river water discharge Antarctic sea ice: Frozen continent



Antarctic Sea Ice

Issues to be addressed for Antarctic sea ice

- **1.** Mechanisms for sea ice production
- 2. What protects the sea ice cover
- 3. What factors sustain Antarctic ice
- 4. What causes regional variability
- 5. Consistency among factors above
- 6. Same physics but opposite effects in Arctic versus Antarctic sea ice

Antarctic Sea Ice Production

- Ozone change increasing sea ice (Marshall, 2003; Gillett & Thompson, 2003; Son et al. 2010) but not effective (Sigmond & Fyfe, 2010)
- Lower salinity/density in the near-surface layer weaken mixing (Manabe et al., 1991)
- Enhancement of thermohalocline stratification (Zhang, 2007); Meltwater from ice shelves in cool layer (Bintanja et al., 2013)
- Wind intensifications for more ridging and thus thickening sea ice (Zhang, 2013)

Synoptic Sea Ice Classes

- Statistical analysis shows a Gaussian distribution of Antarctic sea ice backscatter signatures in contrast to the bimodal distribution for Arctic sea ice.
- Using the Gaussian mean and STD, we define 'YI Class' for younger ice, 'OI Class' for older ice, and 'RI Class' for rough older ice with highest backscatter.
- Use >10 years of backscatter data (1999-2009) to map synoptic sea ice classes over the Antarctic sea ice cover.



Decadal maps of synoptic sea ice classes

Antarctic FIZ surrounded & encapsulated the Antarctic sea ice cover vs Arctic MIZ





Effective Growth of Sea Ice in the Regions of Younger Sea Ice (YI) Class

12





Parish, T. R., and J. J. Cassano, J. J. (2003). Diagnosis of the Katabatic Wind Influence on the Wintertime Antarctic Surface Wind Field from Numerical Simulations. *Monthly Weather Rev.*, *131*, 1128-1139.

Sea Ice and Sea Surface Temperature (MUR Product)





SST Isobaths (1999-2009) and GEBCO-2014 Bathymetry

Southern Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) front (sACCf) delineated by Kim and Orsi (2014)

SST over Kerguelen Plateau



6000 5000 4000 3000 2000 1000 Depth from GEBCO-2014 Bathymetry (m)



Same Physics but Opposite Effects

- Sea Ice Properties: Antarctic circumpolar FIZ with older rougher and thicker sea ice vs Arctic MIZ with younger and thinner sea ice.
- Atmospheric Forcing: Antarctic winds create ice factories, ridging/recirculating ice vs Arctic winds causing ice loss by exporting sea ice.
- Oceanic Forcing: Antarctic bathymetry constrains the location of the sACCf and sea ice vs Arctic bathymetry responsible for mechanisms that aggravate sea ice loss (e.g., release of warm waters from the Mackenzie River).

Conclusions

- "Great Shield" zone of Antarctic Sea Ice: with OI and RI, encapsulating and protecting internal YI sea ice, bounded by the sACC front.
 FIZ recirculation by the persistent westerlies.
- Wind consistently opening internal sea ice for effective ice growth (ice factories in YI areas).
- Geological factors, topography for winds and bathymetry for waters, persistently maintain the stability of Antarctic sea ice.
- Antarctic sea ice behavior is consistent with Antarctic geophysics and thus not a paradox.

Contact

Son.V.Nghiem@jpl.nasa.gov