Identity Oriented Networking and Ubiquitous Mobility for IP 2020

Padma Pillay-Esnault Distinguished Engineer, Future Networks Padma@huawei.com

www.huawei.com

12th AICT - Valencia, May 2016

HUAWEI

Agenda

- Introduction Trends
- Ubiquitous Mobility
- ID-Oriented Networking
- Unlocking future networks
- Concluding Remarks



Towards 2020: Landmarks in the Internet Protocol



Trends – Number of devices mobile vs fixed



More than half a billion (563 million) mobile devices and connections were added in 2015

By 2020 there will be 1.5 mobile devices per capita. There will be 11.6 billion mobile-connected devices by 2020, including M2M modules—exceeding the world's projected population at that time (7.8 billion).



Phablets (6%,8%)

Figures in parentheses refer to 2015, 2020 device share. Source: Cisco VNI Mobile, 2016

Smartphones (32%,40%)

Billions of

Devices

Global Mobile Devices and Connections Growth

Mobility is the new norm!

HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD.



8% CAGR 2015-2020

Trend – Traffic Mobile vs Fixed

Cisco Forecasts 30.6 Exabytes per Month of Mobile Data Traffic by 2020



Source: Cisco VNI Mobile, 2016

- Global mobile data traffic grew 74 percent in 2015
- Mobile video traffic accounted for 55 percent of total mobile data traffic in 2015
- Three-fourths of the world's mobile data traffic will be streaming video by 2020

Mobile Traffic Passed Desktop in 2014



Mobile Video Will Generate Three-Quarters of Mobile Data Traffic by 2020



Figures in parentheses refer to 2015 and 2020 traffic share. Source: Cisco VNI Mobile, 2016

HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD.

Page 5



Trend of Connectivity patterns Mobile vs Fixed





Global IPv6-Capable Mobile Devices

Offload pertains to traffic from dual-mode devices (excluding laptops) over Wi-Fi or small-cell networks. Source: Cisco VNI Mobile. 2016

Mobile offload exceeded cellular traffic for the first time in 2015

- In 2015, 34 percent of mobile devices were potentially IPv6-capable.
- By 2020 there will be 7.6B ipv6 capable mobile devices out of the 11.6B mobile devices which is almost 66%





The Bliss Point is



- > Simpler
- Faster to deploy
- ➢ Mobile

Financial +

- Almost zero-touch config (less opex)
- Virtualized (Less capex)
- Everything over anything (commodity HW)

User +

- Accessible anywhere
- Fast and customized services
- Self aware apps

Page 7



Agenda

- Introduction
- Ubiquitous Mobility 5G and New requirements
- ID-Oriented Networking
- Unlocking future networks
- Concluding Remarks





Mobile Data Services as a Pillar to New Experiences in 2020



Applications have Heterogenous Requirements





Newer Applications - Multidimensional Requirements



Requirements are actually n-dimensional

- > Low power device
- > Security
- Context awareness
- Retention of profile
- Single signon
- > QOS
- Session continuity

≻



5G - Redefining Mobility in Future Networks





Tomorrow's needs and today's network ...

- The adoption of 5G with its new requirements are going to challenge the infrastructure.
 - > Mobility (large range and fast)
 - > High throughput and low latency
 - Deployment over a heterogeneous
 Access
 - > Security
 - Master Identity for single sign-on for contextual and privacy
 - Energy preservation and low power consumption for devices
 - > Session continuity

- The Internet was originally designed as a static network.
- The EPC/RAN interconnects with the core IP network hybrid of 2 Architectures
- Good enough for 5G and beyond?
 - > Scale with more density?
 - > Bigger "pipes" & Faster CPU vs Green
 - > Context Awareness?
 - > Identity Awareness?
 - > Session continuity?



Some known or anticipated difficulties/issues?







Handoff delay and latency



Multi homing features with heterogeneous access



A single host may be connected to multiple networks.

A UE might be simultaneously connected to a WiFi network and RAN and each will have a different ip address which will need to be advertised.

Advantages Opportunistic BW use is the way to go Redundancy Loadbalancing

Multihoming has global repercussions Larger RT on routers as the address is not aggregatable and need to be known across multiple AS

HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD. Page 16 VE HUAWEI

IP Addresses

- The IP address semantic is overloaded
 - Name/Identifier of the node
 - > Physical Address of the node/Locator of the node
- As the ID is tied to the node "Bob" at the office ID will be different from "Bob" at home
- TCP can only work with no break if we retain the IP address which implies sub optimal routing in mobility





IP Scale

As addresses get harder to 6500 aggregate the RT are growing 6000 55000 25000 Multi-homing requires the 50000 20000 4500 8I = BI: addresses to be globally 40000 15000 350000 TE is also contributed to RT growth 5000 1500 1000 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 04 05 06 07 80 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 Date

Growth of BGP table



Throughput Matters!





- □ User experience is more related to the session throughput.
- □ The session throughput is dependent on TCP which is an end to end solution
- □ Links of varying quality can be perceived by TCP as congested causing unnecessary throttling
- □ Throughput issues which will not work for UHD and other sensitive applications



Overview of standardized mobility solutions

SDO	Solution	Methodology	Advantages	Limitations	Market Proven
IETF	Mobile IPv4	Home Agents, Home Address, Care-of addresses	Use of IPv4, retain same ip address	Handover latency, signaling overheads in transition, suboptimal triangular routing, Limited QOS	
IETF	MIP V6	Address Autoconfig, autodiscovery of neighbors, Care-of-Addresses use of ipv6 hdr options for destination options	Always On Use of IPv6 Session persistence	Handoff latency, Limited awareness of heterogeneity, requires kernel changes,Security issues -	
3GPP	3G/GTP	Tunnels through eNB, S-GW and P-GW	Fast handoff	Tunnel re-creation on move, no session continuity.	Yes
3GPP	4G/LTE/GTP	Tunnels through eNB, S-GW and P-GW	Fast handoff	Tunnel re-creation on move. Service continuity is limited within a P-GW	Yes
IETF	Proxy Mobile IPv6 (PMIPv6)	Mobile Access Gateway (MAG) and Location Mobility Anchor (LMA)	Fast handoff retain same ip address	Session continuity limited to local administrative domain, centralized LMA may not scale well.	Yes
IETF	Distributed Mobility Management (DMM)	Mobility anchors, partial session distribution	Fast handoff	Triangular routing only for on-going sessions same as Mobile IP. Optimized for new sessions only. No RFC yet	
IETF	LISP	ID separation from location. Both ID and locator are IP address based	Use of ID over IP	Under Research	Experimental, ongoing trials through beta-network, waiting for multi-vendor market adoption.



Agenda

- Introduction
- Ubiquitous Mobility
- ID-Oriented Networking Overview
- Unlocking future networks
- Concluding Remarks





ID Oriented Networks - Basics

- Simple Principle : Need to dissociate the name and location and make them independent.
- ID can be the name of a node, an app or anything
- The Identifier movement is transparent to the higher layers.
- The forwarding is achieved by binding the ID with an ip address or locator.
- Usually there are mapping servers that bind the ID to a location.
- One user can have multiple IDs (fixed known or anonymous for privacy as needed



ID Oriented Networks

- Native mobility It does not matter where "Bob" is
- Apps can be based on ID
- Addresses multi-homing ID have global significance(scope),
- Context awareness based on ID profile
- Security also can be ID based
- Fast deployment Reuses already deployed and working (if IP)
- For scalability the Mapping Servers are distributed and redundant







1.No Detour to EPC Anchor: End-to-End latency is minimized 2.Mobility is independent of the access network type

HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD.	Page 24	MUAWEI

ID-Oriented Networking (ION)





- No need for clean slate
- Reduced Capex and Opex
- Global Reachability Possible: Everything, allocated with a unique ID,
- Innovation Speedup : Locator plane as a transport layer, while ID plane as a service and business layer. New services and business can be developed on top of ID without changing the underlying locator plane.
- > Map and Encapsulate packets which can run on an IP core



ION: Application Model

Enables Everything through an ID Aware Model



ION Sockets

- Applications connect with ID based sockets
- IP layer locates source and destination ID accurately and sets up path



1. Point to Point



2. P2MP & MP2MP 3. Asynchronous

Easier To Manage Communication Relationships with IDs

- 1. Point to Point
 - Single ID For Multiple Applications
 - Cross-application Channels
- 2. Group Communication with ID
 - Both P2MP and MP2MP
 - Same as (1)
- 3. Support Active/Passive Comm.
 - Synchronous when ID is online
 - Asynchronous when offline.

Unified ID Space

- All apps get same unique ID ('who is').
- ID Mapping system ensures ID is unique and globally accessible
- Possibility of having anonymous ID if needed





ION Unlocks New Opportunities Beyond Mobility



Delivers Better Service Experience

- Optimal traffic path selection
- No detours to mobility anchor point
 Simplified Network Operations
 - Unified ID plane for any fixed and mobile access
- **ID Agnostic Stable Core**
 - FIB remains locator based
 - As user moves, no route change triggers

Benefits and Opportunities



- □ Anonymous IDs for privacy
- **Context** Aware
- Security based on ID
- **Communication**
 - P2P Communications without servers
 - Cross-silo communication possible
 - ID based Group-communication (PIM free)
- Accelerated applications deployment
 - Network/Topology change agnostic
 - Focus on business logic not network
- Refined Edges
 - Fine grained ID aware TE, Policy, LBs
 - ID based End to End Security





Agenda

- Introduction
- Ubiquitous Mobility
- ID-Oriented Networking
- Unlocking future networks Self-X and secured.
- Concluding Remarks





Intelligent Networking – An Urgent Need



Security and Encrypted Traffic in Higher and Higher Demand



Data sourced from httparchive.org. Top 100 and million sites as ranked by Alexa

- 2011 : Facebook adds an option for secure login
 2011 : Google Search provides secure search
 2013 : Facebook, Google Search are encrypted
 2014 : Gmail is encrypted
 2014 : YouTube traffic is encrypted
- Internet traffic encryption are implemented and provided by Google, FB, Twitter, Yahoo and Snapchat, which accounts for 45-50% (source from VDF, Mozilla)
- Content providers are increasingly planning to provide encryption for their traffic, for example, Netflix and BBC are testing their networks for encryption.

Encrypted traffic is growing after 2011. Now it accounts for 45-50% of the total Internet traffic, and it continues to increase.



Agenda

- Introduction
- Ubiquitous Mobility
- ID-Oriented Networking
- Unlocking future networks
- Concluding Remarks





Moving towards a Connected Digital Society



Where are we at regarding standards?

- 5G will intersect with many technologies
- A practical approach is necessary to aim for a deployment in 2020.
- It is a unique opportunity to have a holistic view and to simplify/flatten the topology.
- Mutliple Standard bodies are working on different aspects
 - ✓IETF/IRTF
 - √3GPP
 - ✓ ETSI NGP



The timeline for 5G (3GPP)





Protocols for IP 2020: A Summary





Thank you

www.huawei.com

HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD.

Page 36

