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R versus D versus M

- **Research:**
  - “… is the process of going up alleys to see if they are blind
  - infinite number of problems but only finite resources
  - challenges is to say no

- **Development:**
  - “… is too boring for research and never sufficiently fast for marketing
  - Murphy’s law loves development as the devil lies in the detail
  - challenge is to deliver

- **Market:**
  - “… is to make people buy things they don’t actually need
  - marketing has much more in common with research than with development
  - challenge is to predict

---
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1 Academic Efforts
Problems First, Please! [1/5]

- Problems in Mathematics:
  - Hilbert presented 23 unsolved problems at Mathematician conference in 1900
  - 4 remain unsolved (proof to Riemann’s Hypothesis is currently being examined)
  - Mathematics poses problem first and then tries to find solution

- Solutions in Engineering:
  - Engineering often tries to find a solution to a problem which is not yet known
  - as per David Goodman, we would gain rethinking this typical approach
  - leads to hype as solutions are hoped to fit all problems

- Example issues understood but yet still researched/hyped:
  - ad hoc networks
  - ultra-wideband systems
  - cognitive radios and networks
  - green communications

Problems First, Please! [2/5]

- Ad Hoc Networks:
  - 40 years of research has not yielded any mass market application
  - infinite degrees of freedom, hence infinite research
  - research efforts would be better of elsewhere
Problems First, Please! [3/5]

- Ultra-Wideband Systems:
  - 19 years of research has not yielded any mass market application
  - Interference onto narrowband was thought problem but inverse is true
  - Solutions are neither cheap nor simple (no magic)

Example of Gaussian

Problems First, Please! [4/5]

- Cognitive Radios & Systems:
  - Mitola: best solution in dependency of every possible observable parameter
  - Community: CR = sensing + AI + SDR
  - Suggestion: CR = radio which is working in conditions it was not designed for
  - Actually: OR = sensing + (simple) decision + SDR

- Restraints for cognitive radios and networks:
  - Intelligence requires some form of learning over time; however, channel and other conditions decorrelate very quickly
  - In my opinion, cognitive networks should exhibit some form of emergent behaviour; however, in a primary/secondary user scenario this is very unlikely
  - How do you standardize intelligence?

- Enabler for opportunistic radios and networks:
  - Wireless systems have inherently a short memory, thereby encouraging usage of resources when there is an opportunity
Problems First, Please! [5/5]

- Green Communications:
  - ICT sector is responsible for about 2% of greenhouse gases
  - Telecom sector probably accounts for a small percentage of these 2%
  - Blackle instead of Google likely saves more energy than entirety of all BSs

- Reshaping focus:
  - Mobilizing ICT sector to save energy is a great idea
  - However, it would be better off being a facilitator
  - E.g., help decreasing consumption of transportation, even if ICT increases

Research Funding [1/5]

- General consensus:
  - Funding opportunities are decreasing
  - Funding money is never enough
  - Application is time consuming

- Example funding bodies:
  - EPSRC (UK): €1 Billion a year
  - NSF (USA): €4 Billion a year
  - EC (Europe): €8 Billion a year

- General problems:
  - How much funding to give
  - To which scientific areas and
  - How to split among research teams
Research Funding [2/5]

- Example of “ad hoc networks”:
  - 100,000 Google Scholar hits
  - assume 2 man-month research for each
  - assume €5k per man-month
  - €1 Billion went into ad hoc

- We are not the only ones with this problem:

Research Funding [3/5]

- Today’s projects and proposals hence focus on:
  - cross-layer approaches
  - inter-disciplinary approaches

- Cross-Layer:
  - layered approach has been labelled as “not good enough” lately
  - however, layers and functionalities have not disappeared as of today
  - cross-layer design mainly depends on sensing capabilities of device

- Inter-Disciplinary:
  - borrowing concepts from info theory, physics, biology, etc. seems promising
  - linguistic barrier is the main challenge
Research Funding [4/5]

- Do you speak Information Theory?

\[
P(Q_{ij}(0)/P_{j}^{(i)}(0) \cap F_{j}(i-1))
\]
\[
\subseteq P(Q_{ij}(0)/P_{j}^{(i)}(0) \cap F_{j}(i-1) \cap P_{j}(i))
\]
\[
\subseteq P\left(\left\{ x \in \mathcal{X} \cap P_{j}^{(i)}(0) \mid x \in \cap_{S_{j}(i) \cap F_{j}(i-1) \cap P_{j}(i)}\right\} \cap F_{j}(i-1) \cap P_{j}(i)\right)
\]
\[
= P\left(\bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{X} \cap P_{j}^{(i)}(0)} \left\{ x \in \mathcal{X} \cap P_{j}^{(i)}(0) \cap \bigcap_{S_{j}(i) \cap F_{j}(i-1) \cap P_{j}(i)}\right\} \cap F_{j}(i-1) \cap P_{j}(i)\right)
\]
\[
\cap \left(\left\{ x \in \mathcal{X} \cap P_{j}^{(i)}(0) \cap \bigcap_{S_{j}(i) \cap F_{j}(i-1) \cap P_{j}(i)}\right\} \cap F_{j}(i-1) \cap P_{j}(i)\right)\right)
\]
\[
\subseteq P\left(\bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{X} \cap P_{j}^{(i)}(0)} \left\{ x \in \mathcal{X} \cap P_{j}^{(i)}(0) \cap \bigcap_{S_{j}(i) \cap F_{j}(i-1) \cap P_{j}(i)}\right\} \cap F_{j}(i-1) \cap P_{j}(i)\right)
\]
\[
\cap \left(\left\{ x \in \mathcal{X} \cap P_{j}^{(i)}(0) \cap \bigcap_{S_{j}(i) \cap F_{j}(i-1) \cap P_{j}(i)}\right\} \cap F_{j}(i-1) \cap P_{j}(i)\right)\right)
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X} \cap P_{j}^{(i)}(0)} P\left(\left\{ x \in \mathcal{X} \cap P_{j}^{(i)}(0) \cap \bigcap_{S_{j}(i) \cap F_{j}(i-1) \cap P_{j}(i)}\right\} \cap F_{j}(i-1) \cap P_{j}(i)\right)
\]

Research Funding [5/5]

- Personal wish-list:
  - funding application process ought to be eased
  - output quality in terms of journals, spin-offs, etc ought to increase
  - encouragement to go beyond being a training program

- Suggestion to funding bodies:
  - give e.g. 80% funding in round-robin to institutions with strong track-record
  - other institutions bid as usual for the remaining budget

- Another suggestion to funding bodies:
  - fund the same project by two competing teams
  - encourages healthy scientific competition
  - encourages quality over quantity
Open Research Issues

- **Embedded Systems:**
  - truly understand embedded systems (bounds, performance, limits)
  - synthesize optimum protocols and design guidelines
  - facilitator for "Internet of Things", "Haptic Computing", "Things That Think", etc.

- **Ubiquitous Communications:**
  - make ubiquitous communications reality
  - wireless Internet >> wireless + Internet
  - facilitator for "Ambient Intelligence", "Everyware", etc.

---
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Industrial Efforts
Product Development

- There are 4 main approaches to product development:
  - norms & standards
  - forums & associations
  - proprietary solutions
  - open source

- These approaches trade:
  - time-to-market
  - development costs
  - obedience to regulations
  - intellectual property, etc.

- They are all subject to heavy lobbying!

Standards – Benefits

- Service Providers benefit:
  - they can design, develop and operate a wide range of services
  - whatever the underlying but standard-compliant, heterogeneous technologies

- Vendors benefit:
  - they can access markets more easily with standard-compliant products
  - at the risk of blurring competitive differentiation

- Customers benefit:
  - they can access a wide range of services
  - without the burden of being tied to a given service provider or technology

- Regulators benefit:
  - regulation of complex technology space
  - facilitates control and billing (e.g. UMTS spectrum license)
Standards – Corporate View

- Standardization as a profitable business:
  - defend business stakes
  - promote patents through the enforcement of a consistent IPR policy

- Speed up the introduction of new products and/or services:
  - facilitated by a set of available standards

- Slow down the standardization process:
  - to extend the lifetime of an already-introduced yet proprietary product or service

- Encountered problems with standards:
  - different IPRs often lead to stalemates in standardization groups
  - Why not bring ready solutions to standards? (Rob Calderbank, Princeton, USA)

Success & Failure Stories

- Europe:
  - success: GSM
  - integrated operators
  - failure: HiperLAN2

- North Americas:
  - success: WLAN
  - promotion of CDMA
  - improvable: IS95

- Asia:
  - success: subscriber growth
  - manufacturing power
  - significant impact on B3G
  - improvable: despite potential, not a driver yet
Yesterday – Today – Tomorrow

- 10 years ago:
  - wireless world was dominated by manufacturers and operators
  - in the news: Nokia, Siemens, France Telecom, Vodafone, etc.

- Today:
  - software giants take liking in extending the Internet to the wireless world
  - in the news: Google, Microsoft, etc.

- 10-20 years from now:
  - if ubiquitous will truly have taken off, then the user will be at the centre
  - in the news: Smith, Allen, Spain, etc.

Concluding Remarks
Music of Chance

- **Academic Efforts:**
  - can be planned, which means limiting the alleys to be taken
  - however, cannot be predicted nor its success guaranteed
  - challenge is to focus on important issues in research
  - *Imagination is more important than knowledge.*

- **Industrial Efforts:**
  - can be planned but, again, success is no guarantee
  - success is more likely if you “live” from given technology
  - challenge is to be on time, i.e. not too early and not too late
  - *The economy depends about as much on economists as the weather does on weather forecasters.*

- *The trouble with our times is that the future is not what it used to be.*

---

**The Road Ahead**
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Quick Intro

- **Wireless Sensor Network:**
  - facilitated by RF chain, antennas and EM propagation
  - saves a lot of cabling costs
  - research keywords: channel, PHY, MAC, etc

- **Wireless Sensor Network:**
  - sensing of physical information from environment, etc
  - T; light, humidity, wind, rain; radioactivity; pH; chemicals, pressure, etc.
  - research keywords: distributed sampling, coverage, security, localization, etc

- **Wireless Sensor Network:**
  - provision of sensed data to sink via network
  - data flow often exhibits converge-cast behavior
  - research keywords: auto-*, routing protocols, etc.
Wireless Sensor Node

- Each WSN node consists of these basic elements:
  - sensor
  - energy supply
  - microcontroller
  - memory
  - RF

- WSN nodes should be:
  - low – cost
  - low – complexity
  - low – size
  - low – energy

Battery & Data Flow

- Typical WSN node types:
  - non-rechargeable battery
  - rechargeable battery with regular recharging (e.g. sunlight)
  - rechargeable battery with irregular recharging (e.g. energy scavenging)
  - capacitive/inductive energy provision (e.g. active RFID)
  - always on (e.g. powered electricity meter)

- Typical data flows through the network:
  - sensed information from the nodes towards one or a subset of the AP(s)
  - query requests from the AP(s) towards the sensing nodes
  - sometimes reverse flows are needed (e.g. for ACK)
  - (control information from the AP(s) towards the actuators)
Wireless Sensor Network

- Typical network phases:
  - **start-up**: (self-)organization, configuration etc;
  - **life-time**: maintenance, (self-)healing;
  - **adaptation**: node failure, mobility, etc;
  - **death**: breakdown in connectivity, etc.

Approach of ‘R’ Folks

- Prime assumption of research community:
  - above topologies with huge amount of nodes (thousands to millions)
  - emphasis on wireless sensor in-networking (nodes to sink)
  - key words: energy-efficiency, scalability
Approach of ‘D’ Folks

- Prime assumption of development community:
  - huge amount of nodes is myth and market is marginal
  - instead, emphasis on wireless sensor out-networking (network to Internet)
  - key words: IPv6, security, data-load on Internet

R&D However Also Agree
IETF ROLL – Overview

- Routing Over Low-Power and Lossy Networks (ROLL):
  - IETF information discussion started 1 year ago
  - today very active working group
  - website: http://tools.ietf.org/wg/roll
  - mailing list: http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/roll/current/threads.html

- Since WSNs are application specific, 4 scenarios are dealt with:
  - home applications: draft-brandt-roll-home-routing-reqs
  - industrial applications: draft-pister-roll-indus-routing-reqs
  - urban applications: draft-dohler-roll-urban-routing-reqs
  - vehicular applications: draft-wakikawa-roll-invehicle-reqs

- requirement overview: draft-levvis-roll-overview-protocols
- protocol survey: draft-levis-roll-protocols-survey

IETF ROLL – If We Did Nothing?

- Lack of end to end consistency in terms of routing, QoS, management, security:
IETF ROLL – We Need To Act

- IP end-to-end:

IETF ROLL – BoF Scoping

- IETF has not actively been working on such networks until recently:
  - 6lowpan WG: RFC4944 (IPv6 over IEEE 802.15.4)
  - Still no routing solution for such networks within IETF!

- IETF 6LoWPAN Internet Area WG:
  - produced IPv6 header compression RFC over IEEE 802.15.4 making IP practical for this class of networks
  - consensus with 6lowpan that an IP routing solution is needed

- Pragmatic, working implementations have been developed:
  - industrial routing solutions over lossy links at low power available today
  - each defines its format, network, transport, gateway, etc

- IETF routing solutions for ROLL are hence needed.
IETF ROLL – Urban WSNs

Sensors in the containers indicate filling level → application optimize journey to empty containers

Results*
- Productivity (25%)
  - No more useless travel
  - Less resources needed (trucks)
- Staff less in contact with waste

* Source Voiron Expé

IETF ROLL – Goals & Milestones

Followed Timeline:
- April 2008: Submit Use case/Routing requirements for Industrial, Connected Home, Building and Urban networks applications to the IESG to be considered as an Informational RFC.
- August 2008: Submit Routing Metrics and Attributes for ROLLs document to the IESG to be considered as an Informational RFC.
- November 2008: Submit Protocol Survey to the IESG to be considered as an Informational RFC.
- January 2009: Submit Security Framework for ROLLS to the IESG to be considered as an Informational RFC.
- February 2009: Submit the Routing for ROLLs Architecture document (summary of requirements, metrics and attributes, path selection model) to the IESG as an Informational RFC.
- March 2009: Recharter.